

Association Between Troponin Levels and Embolic Stroke of Undetermined Source

Alexander E. Merkler, MD; Gino Gialdini, MD; Santosh B. Murthy, MD, MPH; Setareh Salehi Omran, MD; Antonio Moya, MD, MPH; Michael P. Lerario, MD; Ji Chong, MD; Peter M. Okin, MD; Jonathan W. Weinsaft, MD; Monika M. Safford, MD; Matthew E. Fink, MD; Babak B. Navi, MD, MS; Costantino Iadecola, MD; Hooman Kamel, MD

Background—Our aim was to determine whether patients with embolic strokes of undetermined source (ESUS) have higher rates of elevated troponin than patients with noncardioembolic strokes.

Methods and Results—CAESAR (The Cornell Acute Stroke Academic Registry) prospectively enrolled all adults with acute stroke from 2011 to 2014. Two neurologists used standard definitions to retrospectively ascertain the etiology of stroke, with a third resolving disagreements. In this analysis we included patients with ESUS and, as controls, patients with small- and large-artery strokes; only patients with a troponin measured within 24 hours of stroke onset were included. A troponin elevation was defined as a value exceeding our laboratory's upper limit (0.04 ng/mL) without a clinically recognized acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Multiple logistic regression was used to evaluate the association between troponin elevation and ESUS after adjustment for demographics, stroke severity, infarction, and vascular risk factors. In a sensitivity analysis we excluded patients diagnosed with atrial fibrillation after discharge. Among 512 patients, 243 (47.5%) had ESUS, and 269 (52.5%) had small- or large-artery stroke. In multivariable analysis an elevated troponin was independently associated with ESUS (odds ratio 3.3; 95% confidence interval 1.2, 8.8). This result was unchanged after excluding patients diagnosed with atrial fibrillation after discharge (odds ratio 3.4; 95% confidence interval 1.3, 9.1), and the association remained significant when troponin was considered a continuous variable (odds ratio for log[troponin], 1.4; 95% confidence interval 1.1, 1.7).

Conclusions—Elevations in cardiac troponin are more common in patients with ESUS than in those with noncardioembolic strokes. (*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6:e005905. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.117.005905.)

Key Words: cardiac biomarkers • cerebrovascular disease/stroke • embolism • infarction • troponin

Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease are 2 major causes of death and disability in the United States.^{1,2} Because adverse cardiac events are associated with increased mortality after stroke, current American Heart Association/American Stroke Association guidelines recommend

evaluating cardiac biomarkers (preferably cardiac troponin [cTn]) in all patients presenting with acute ischemic stroke.^{3–5}

Although cTn is highly specific for myocardial injury, it does not reveal the underlying mechanism of injury.⁶ The majority of patients with acute ischemic stroke have neither typical symptoms nor electrocardiographic evidence of acute coronary ischemia, but between 5% and 34% of these patients have cTn levels above the diagnostic threshold, suggesting ongoing myocardial injury, when conventional assays are used; when high-sensitivity assays are used, this rate can be as high as 60%.^{7,8} Irrespective of the underlying mechanism, elevated cTn values are associated with worse clinical outcomes and a higher risk of mortality in patients with acute ischemic stroke.^{8–11}

In order to gain further insight into the underlying pathophysiology of cTn in patients with ischemic stroke, we evaluated whether patients with elevations in cTn but without clinically recognized acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (MI) were more likely to have embolic stroke of undetermined source (ESUS) than patients with small- or

From the Clinical and Translational Neuroscience Unit, Feil Family Brain and Mind Research Institute (A.E.M., G.G., S.B.M., S.S.O., A.M., M.E.F., B.B.N., C.I., H.K.), Division of Cardiology (P.M.O., J.W.W.), and Departments of Neurology (A.E.M., S.B.M., S.S.O., A.M., J.C., M.E.F., B.B.N., C.I., H.K.) and Medicine (M.M.S.), Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY; Department of Neurology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York-Presbyterian Queens, Flushing, NY (M.P.L.).

An abstract of this work was presented at the International Stroke Conference, February 22–24, 2017, in Houston, TX.

Correspondence to: Alexander E. Merkler, MD, Weill Cornell Medicine, 525 East 68th Street, F610, New York, NY 10065. E-mail: alm9097@med.cornell.edu
Received February 17, 2017; accepted July 3, 2017.

© 2017 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

- Elevated cardiac troponin levels are often found in patients with ischemic stroke, yet their underlying mechanism remains uncertain.
- In this study elevations in cardiac troponin were more common in patients with embolic stroke of undetermined source than in those with noncardioembolic strokes.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Further study is necessary to understand the clinical importance of cardiac troponin in cases of embolic stroke of undetermined source; elevations may signify acute myocardial injury as a cause or effect of embolic stroke of undetermined source.

large-artery strokes. We hypothesized that patients with ESUS would be more likely to have elevated cTn than patients with noncardioembolic strokes because many cases of ESUS are believed to originate from the heart.^{12,13}

Methods

Design

We performed a retrospective cohort study using CAESAR (the Cornell Acute Stroke Academic Registry). All patients with stroke at New York-Presbyterian Hospital/Weill Cornell Medicine are prospectively enrolled into the American Heart Association's Get With The Guidelines-Stroke registry. This registry provides the foundation for CAESAR, which is then supplemented through retrospective collection of additional clinical, laboratory, and radiographic data. For this analysis we included all adults with acute ischemic stroke between 2011 and 2014. The etiology of stroke was retrospectively ascertained by 2 independent neurologists, with a third neurologist resolving any disagreements. We used the TOAST (from Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment) criteria and consensus ESUS definition to adjudicate the etiology of all strokes.^{12,14} For this study, all patients with cardioembolic stroke were excluded, given the known association between established cardioembolic risk factors and elevated cTn.^{15,16} Patients with strokes from other determined etiologies were also excluded given the rarity of those individual stroke mechanisms. We also excluded patients who had an incomplete stroke workup, which comprised the following tests: at least 24 hours of telemetry, echocardiogram, brain MRI or CT of head, and head and neck vascular imaging. Thus, our final cohort consisted of all patients with ESUS and, as controls, those with small- or large-artery strokes who underwent a

standard stroke workup. We then excluded all patients without a cTn value within 24 hours of stroke onset.

Measurements

Our predictor variable was a cTn elevation, defined in accordance with the third universal definition of MI as a cTn level value above the 99th percentile of our laboratory's upper limit of normal (0.04 ng/mL)^{17,18} in the absence of a clinically recognized acute ST-segment elevation MI. The occurrence of a clinically recognized acute ST-segment elevation MI was based on documentation in the medical record by the primary neurology attending, cardiology attending, or the hospitalization diagnosis list. An attending cardiologist formally interpreted all ECGs. For the purposes of our study, only cTn values within 24 hours of acute ischemic stroke onset were considered in our primary analysis. Our outcome of interest was ESUS. To account for confounding factors that might explain differences in the association between elevations in cTn and stroke subtype, we identified the following risk factors using data from the Get With The Guidelines-Stroke registry: stroke onset to time of cTn testing, initial systolic blood pressure, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease (as defined by a history of MI or angina, or previous percutaneous coronary intervention), peripheral vascular disease, congestive heart failure, serum creatinine, chronic kidney disease, tobacco use, alcohol or drug abuse, and prior stroke.

In addition, we recorded information on both stroke severity and the presence of insular infarction, as these have been associated with elevations in cTn.^{19–23} Stroke severity was measured using the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.

Statistical Analyses

The chi-squared test was used to compare the rate of ESUS versus small- or large-artery strokes in patients with cTn elevation. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous variables because the data were not normally distributed. Multiple logistic regression was used to evaluate the association between cTn elevation in the absence of a clinically recognized ST-segment elevation MI and ESUS after adjustment for demographics, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score, insular infarction, and cardiovascular risk factors. Because the goal of the study was to isolate the association between cTn elevation and ESUS rather than to build a parsimonious prediction model, and because we had more than 10 outcomes per covariate, all covariates were included in the model regardless of statistical significance.

We performed 5 sensitivity analyses. In accordance with the third universal definition of MI, which states that cardiac

damage occurs with a rise and/or fall of cardiac biomarker values,¹⁸ in the first sensitivity analysis, we considered our predictor variable to be a dynamic change in the initially elevated cTn to exclude patients with chronic elevations in cTn. A dynamic change in cTn was considered to occur when the cTn value had changed by at least 0.01 ng/mL >6 hours after the initial cTn was drawn.¹⁷ In the second sensitivity analysis we excluded ESUS patients who were found to have atrial fibrillation during follow-up ambulatory heart-rhythm monitoring. Because baseline antithrombotic use may have potentially reduced myocardial injury and led to lower levels of cTn, in the third sensitivity analysis, we included baseline antithrombotic use as an additional covariate in our model. Some patients with ESUS and large- or small-artery strokes did not have an available cTn drawn within 24 hours of stroke onset, so in the fourth sensitivity analysis, we estimated missing cTn values using a multiple imputation analysis based on variables associated with an elevated cTn in a backward stepwise logistic regression with a $P=0.2$. In the fifth sensitivity analysis, rather than including all covariates in our multiple logistic model, in order to account for possible overfitting, we performed a backward stepwise logistic regression with a $P=0.2$. Finally, we also performed a multiple logistic regression using the logarithmically transformed cTn value as a continuous variable. The Weill Cornell Medicine Institutional Review Board approved this study and waived the requirement for patient consent. All statistical analyses were performed by A.E.M. and G.G. using Stata/MP (version 13, College Station, TX). The threshold of statistical significance was set at $\alpha=0.05$.

Results

Between 2011 and 2014, we identified 642 patients with ESUS or small- or large-artery strokes, of whom 512 had an available cTn drawn within 24 hours of stroke onset. A similar number of patients with ESUS and with small- or large-artery strokes were excluded due to lack of cTn measurement within 24 hours of stroke onset ($P=0.37$). Of the 512 patients included in the analysis, 67 (13.1%) had an elevation in cTn in the absence of a clinically recognized acute ST-segment elevation MI, and 56 (10.9%) had a dynamic change in cTn levels. Patients with elevations in cTn were of similar age to patients without elevations in cTn (70.7 years versus 67.5 years) and more likely female. Patients with elevations in cTn had more severe strokes (median National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale 6 versus 3), had higher rates of insular infarction, were more likely to have coronary artery disease, chronic kidney disease, and a higher initial serum creatinine, and were less likely to be active smokers than patients without elevations in cTn (Table 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of Patients, Stratified by Presence of Elevated cTn

| Characteristic* | Elevated cTn (N=67) | Normal cTn (N=445) | P Value |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Age, mean (SD), y | 70.7 (15.4) | 67.5 (14.4) | 0.05 |
| Female | 38 (56.7) | 192 (43.2) | 0.04 |
| Race | | | 0.46 |
| White | 59 (88.1) | 386 (86.7) | |
| Black | 2 (3.0) | 33 (7.4) | |
| Hispanic | 2 (3.0) | 8 (1.8) | |
| Other | 4 (6.0) | 18 (4.0) | |
| Payment source | | | 0.32 |
| Medicare | 37 (55.2) | 193 (43.4) | |
| Medicaid | 11 (16.4) | 83 (18.7) | |
| Private | 16 (26.9) | 158 (35.5) | |
| Other | 3 (4.5) | 11 (2.5) | |
| Ischemic stroke subtype | | | 0.003 |
| Large-artery | 18 (26.9) | 132 (30.0) | |
| Small-artery | 6 (9.0) | 113 (25.4) | |
| ESUS | 43 (64.2) | 200 (44.9) | |
| Initial SBP, mean (SD) | 154 (33) | 156 (31) | 0.78 |
| Hypertension | 48 (71.6) | 319 (71.7) | 0.99 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 21 (31.3) | 129 (29.0) | 0.69 |
| Coronary heart disease | 19 (28.4) | 67 (15.1) | 0.007 |
| Congestive heart failure | 1 (1.5) | 7 (1.6) | 0.96 |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 5 (7.5) | 15 (3.4) | 0.11 |
| Dyslipidemia | 29 (43.3) | 227 (51.0) | 0.24 |
| Initial serum creatinine [†] , mean (SD) | 1.55 (1.52) | 1.0 (0.66) | 0.003 |
| Chronic kidney disease | 6 (9.0) | 3 (0.7) | <0.001 |
| Valvular disease | 1 (1.5) | 1 (0.2) | 0.12 |
| Prior stroke | 14 (20.9) | 103 (23.2) | 0.68 |
| Tobacco use | 2 (3.0) | 52 (11.7) | 0.03 |
| Drug or alcohol use | 0 (0) | 7 (1.6) | 0.30 |
| Insular infarction | 18 (26.9) | 43 (9.7) | <0.001 |
| NIHSS, median (IQR) | 6 (2–16) | 3 (1–6) | 0.004 |
| Stroke onset to cTn testing [‡] , mean (SD) | 1.3 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.2) | 0.50 |
| Baseline antithrombotic use | 29 (43.3) | 203 (45.6) | 0.72 |

cTn indicates cardiac troponin; ESUS, embolic stroke of undetermined significance; IQR, interquartile range; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

*Data are presented as number (%) unless otherwise specified.

[†]Serum creatinine expressed as mg/dL.

[‡]Onset to cTn expressed in hours.

A total of 243 (47.5%) had ESUS, and 269 (52.5%) had a small- or large-artery stroke. Patients with ESUS were younger (mean age, 66.0 years versus 69.7 years) and more often female, had equally severe strokes (median NIHSS, 3) and similar rates of insular infarctions, had lower initial systolic blood pressure values, and were less likely to have hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, or a history of prior stroke (Table 2).

In univariate analysis, 17.7% (95% confidence interval [CI], 12.9%, 22.5%) of patients with ESUS had an elevated cTn in the absence of a clinically recognized ST-segment elevation MI as compared to 8.9% (95% CI, 5.5%, 12.4%) of patients with noncardioembolic stroke ($P=0.003$). The median (interquartile range) value of cTn elevation was 0.17 ng/mL (0.08–0.59 ng/mL) in patients with ESUS as compared to 0.10 ng/mL (0.07–0.32 ng/mL) ($P=0.003$) in patients with small- or large-artery stroke.

After adjustment for demographics, stroke severity, insular involvement, and cardiovascular risk factors, elevated cTn in the absence of clinically recognized ST-segment elevation MI remained associated with ESUS (odds ratio [OR] 3.3; 95% CI 1.2, 8.8, $P=0.017$) (Table 3). This result was unchanged in all 5 sensitivity analyses: the analysis in which our predictor variable was a dynamic change in cTn levels (OR 3.0; 95% CI 1.0, 9.1, $P=0.047$), the analysis in which we excluded patients who had atrial fibrillation documented on postdischarge continuous heart-rhythm monitoring (OR 3.4; 95% CI 1.3, 9.1, $P=0.01$), the analysis in which we included baseline antithrombotic use as an additional covariate in our model (OR 3.3; 95% CI 1.2, 8.8, $P=0.018$), the analysis in which we performed a multiple imputation analysis to estimate cTn values for patients without an available cTn drawn within 24 hours of stroke onset (OR 2.2; 95% CI 1.2, 3.9, $P=0.01$), and the analysis in which we performed a backward stepwise logistic regression in order to account for residual overfitting (OR 3.9 95% CI 1.6, 9.6, $P=0.003$) (Table 3). When we assessed cTn as a continuous variable, the association between cTn and ESUS remained significant (OR for log[cTn] 1.4; 95% CI 1.1, 1.7).

Discussion

Given the clinical and pathophysiological overlap of stroke and cardiovascular disease and the prognostic significance of cTn, the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association guidelines recommend evaluating cTn in every patient with an acute ischemic stroke.³ Although cTn elevations are common in patients with acute ischemic stroke, the underlying mechanism remains unknown.^{7–9,24,25} Our results suggest that patients with elevations in cTn but without clinically recognized acute ST-segment elevation MI are more likely to have ESUS as opposed to those who have had

Table 2. Characteristics of Patients, Stratified by Stroke Subtype

| Characteristic* | ESUS (N=243) | Small- or Large-Artery Stroke (N=269) | P Value |
|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Age, mean (SD), y | 66.0 (16.0) | 69.7 (12.9) | 0.03 |
| Female | 126 (51.9) | 104 (38.7) | 0.003 |
| Race | | | 0.23 |
| White | 219 (90.1) | 226 (84.0) | |
| Black | 13 (5.4) | 22 (8.2) | |
| Hispanic | 3 (1.2) | 7 (2.6) | |
| Other | 8 (3.3) | 14 (5.2) | |
| Payment source | | | 0.36 |
| Medicare | 105 (43.2) | 125 (46.5) | |
| Medicaid | 42 (17.3) | 52 (19.3) | |
| Private | 92 (37.9) | 84 (31.2) | |
| Other | 4 (1.7) | 8 (3.0) | |
| Initial SBP, mean (SD) | 151 (29) | 159 (32) | 0.009 |
| Hypertension | 151 (62.1) | 216 (80.3) | <0.001 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 50 (20.6) | 100 (37.2) | <0.001 |
| Coronary heart disease | 33 (13.6) | 53 (19.7) | 0.06 |
| Congestive heart failure | 4 (1.7) | 4 (1.5) | 0.89 |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 8 (3.3) | 12 (4.5) | 0.50 |
| Dyslipidemia | 105 (43.2) | 151 (56.1) | 0.003 |
| Initial serum creatinine, [†] mean (SD) | 1.05 (0.90) | 1.12 (0.80) | 0.24 |
| Chronic kidney disease | 5 (2.1) | 4 (1.5) | 0.62 |
| Valvular disease | 1 (0.4) | 1 (0.4) | 0.94 |
| Prior stroke | 44 (18.1) | 73 (27.1) | 0.02 |
| Tobacco use | 20 (8.2) | 34 (12.6) | 0.11 |
| Drug or alcohol use | 3 (1.2) | 4 (1.5) | 0.81 |
| Insular infarction | 34 (14.0) | 27 (10.0) | 0.17 |
| NIHSS, median (IQR) | 3 (1–7) | 3 (1–7) | 0.77 |
| Stroke onset to cTn testing [‡] , mean (SD) | 1.8 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.3) | 0.12 |
| Baseline antithrombotic use | 95 (39.1) | 137 (50.9) | 0.007 |

cTn indicates cardiac troponin; ESUS, embolic stroke of undetermined significance; IQR, interquartile range; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

*Data are presented as number (%) unless otherwise specified.

[†]Serum creatinine expressed as mg/dL.

[‡]Onset to cTn expressed in hours.

noncardioembolic strokes. This association remained significant even after stroke severity and insular involvement have been taken into consideration, when only patients with a dynamic change in cTn levels are considered, when patients

Table 3. Logistic Regression Models Evaluating the Relationship Between cTn and ESUS

| | OR (95% CI) | P Value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Unadjusted | 2.2 (1.3-3.7) | 0.004 |
| Primary analysis* | 3.3 (1.2-8.8) | 0.017 |
| Sensitivity analysis 1 [†] | 3.0 (1.0-9.1) | 0.047 |
| Sensitivity analysis 2 [‡] | 3.4 (1.3-9.1) | 0.01 |
| Sensitivity analysis 3 [§] | 3.3 (1.2-8.8) | 0.018 |
| Sensitivity analysis 4 | 2.2 (1.2-3.9) | 0.01 |
| Sensitivity analysis 5 [¶] | 3.9 (1.6-9.6) | 0.003 |

CI indicates confidence interval; cTn, cardiac troponin; ESUS, embolic stroke of undetermined source; OR, odds ratio.

*Adjusted for demographics, stroke severity, insular involvement, and cardiovascular risk factors.

[†]In which the predictor variable was a dynamic change in cTn levels.

[‡]In which patients with atrial fibrillation documented on postdischarge continuous heart-rhythm monitoring were excluded.

[§]In which baseline antithrombotic use was included as an additional covariate in our model.

^{||}In which a multiple imputation analysis was used to estimate cTn values for patients without an available cTn drawn within 24 hours of stroke onset.

[¶]In which backward stepwise logistic regression was used in order to account for residual overfitting in our primary model.

with atrial fibrillation discovered on follow-up prolonged rhythm monitoring have been excluded, and after accounting for baseline antithrombotic use.

Elevations in cTn may occur in patients with acute ischemic stroke who have concomitant acute plaque rupture causing myocardial ischemia. However, in the TRELAS (Troponin Elevation in Acute Ischemic Stroke) study, only 7/29 (24%) of patients with acute ischemic stroke with elevations in cTn were thought to have a culprit coronary lesion (the majority of which were asymptomatic), suggesting that there may be alternative mechanisms of cTn elevations in patients with acute ischemic stroke.²⁶ In the absence of a clinically apparent MI, tachyarrhythmia or nonischemic myocardial injury such as neurogenic stunned myocardium (Takotsubo cardiomyopathy) can also result in cTn elevations.^{5,27,28} Neurogenic stunned myocardium may lead to severe cardiac dysfunction and cTn release through alterations in the neural outflow to the heart.²⁹ The insular cortex is believed to play a role in orchestrating central autonomic control, and there is some evidence that injury to the insular cortex may be associated with elevated circulating catecholamines leading to both stunned myocardium and elevated cTn levels.^{19,21–23,30,31} Finally, chronic, stable conditions associated with elevated cTn such as congestive heart failure and chronic kidney disease may all lead to asymptomatic cTn elevations.⁹ Even when these potential confounders were controlled for, an elevated cTn remained associated with ESUS.

It is unclear why patients with ESUS were more likely to have an elevated cTn than were patients with

noncardioembolic strokes. Although patients with ESUS lack clear cardioembolic risk factors such as severe congestive heart failure, atrial fibrillation, or recent MI, a majority of emboli are still thought to arise from the heart.^{12,13} Although nonischemic myocardial injury is a possible explanation for why patients with ESUS are more likely to develop cTn elevations than patients with small- or large-artery strokes, the association between elevations in cTn and ESUS remained unchanged after accounting for factors associated with the development of neurogenic stunned myocardium such as stroke severity and insular cortex involvement; we additionally excluded patients with an ejection fraction of less than 35% because this would be considered a cardioembolic risk factor.^{14,19,21,22} Alternatively, patients with ESUS may have simultaneously embolized to both the brain and the coronary arteries, leading to a symptomatic cerebral infarct and an asymptomatic elevation in cTn. Last, ESUS may be due to an embolism from an unrecognized cardiac insult. Myocardial injury, as represented by an elevated cTn, may lead to abnormal ventricular contraction and, in turn, serve as a nidus for thrombus formation and subsequent cardioembolism.^{32,33} Further research will be necessary to elucidate the pathogenesis linking myocardial injury and ESUS.

Irrespective of the mechanism of myocardial injury, elevated cTn carries prognostic information in patients with acute ischemic stroke. Multiple studies have shown that patients with acute ischemic stroke and elevated cardiac biomarkers face an increased risk of mortality and unfavorable functional outcome at discharge.^{8–11} Because our study suggests that patients with ESUS have higher levels of cTn than those with small- or large-artery strokes, further data are necessary to assess whether stroke subtype affects outcomes in patients with ischemic stroke and elevated cTn.

Our study must be considered in light of its limitations. First, although we accounted for history of congestive heart failure and renal insufficiency, we could not account for patients with chronic baseline elevations in cTn; however, our results were unchanged when a dynamic change in cTn was set as our sole predictor variable. Second, not all patients with ESUS were evaluated with a transesophageal echocardiogram or bubble study, which may have revealed alternative mechanisms of stroke; however, we defined ESUS per consensus definitions, which consider a precordial transthoracic echocardiogram, electrocardiogram, and 24 hours of telemetry as sufficient to rule out causes of cardioembolism.¹² Third, CAESAR is a single-center registry, and therefore, the results of this study may not be generalizable to all patients with acute ischemic stroke. Fourth, we lacked cTn measurements in many patients with ESUS or small- or large-artery strokes, and therefore our results may reflect a bias of ordering cTn in patients with cardioembolic-appearing

strokes. However, a similar number of patients with ESUS and small- or large-artery strokes had missing cTn measurements within 24 hours of stroke onset, and our results were largely unchanged when we imputed missing cTn values based on variables associated with elevated cTn in a backward stepwise logistic regression analysis. Fifth, we may not have fully accounted for differences in pre-morbid antithrombotic use and adherence.

Although our findings must be interpreted in light of the wide confidence intervals in our adjusted analyses, which may be due to the number of cases in our single-center cohort, our results suggest that elevations in cTn without clinically apparent ST-segment elevation MI appear to be more common in patients with ESUS than in patients with small- or large-artery strokes.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Monica Chen for copyediting and clerical assistance.

Sources of Funding

Dr Gialdini is supported by the Feil Family Foundation. Dr Murthy is supported by the American Brain Foundation and the American Academy of Neurology. Dr Navi is supported by NIH grant K23NS091395 and the Florence Gould Endowment for Discovery in Stroke. Dr Iadecola is supported by NIH grants R37NS089323-02, R01NS034179-21, R01NS037853-19, and R01 NS073666-04. Dr Kamel is supported by NIH grants K23NS082367 and R01NS097443 as well as by the Michael Goldberg Research Fund.

Disclosures

Dr Safford received funding from Amgen for investigator-initiated research. The remaining authors have no disclosures to report.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Leading causes of death. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/leading-causes-of-death.htm>. Accessed December 1, 2016.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Stroke. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/stroke/>. Accessed December 1, 2016.
- Jauch EC, Saver JL, Adams HP, Bruno A, Connors JJ, Demaerschalk BM, Khatri P, McMullan PW, Qureshi AI, Rosenfield K, Scott PA, Summers DR, Wang DZ, Wintermark M, Yonas H. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2013;44:870–947.
- Prosser J, MacGregor L, Lees KR, Diener HC, Hacke W, Davis S; VISTA Investigators. Predictors of early cardiac morbidity and mortality after ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2007;38:2295–2302.
- Kumar S, Selim MH, Caplan LR. Medical complications after stroke. *Lancet Neurol*. 2010;9:105–118.
- White HD. Pathobiology of troponin elevations: do elevations occur with myocardial ischemia as well as necrosis? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57:2406–2408.
- Scheitz JF, Nolte CH, Laufs U, Endres M. Application and interpretation of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin assays in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2015;46:1132–1140.
- Kerr G, Ray G, Wu O, Stott DJ, Langhorne P. Elevated troponin after stroke: a systematic review. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2009;28:220–226.
- Scheitz JF, Mochmann HC, Erdur H, Tutuncu S, Haeusler KG, Grittner U, Laufs U, Endres M, Nolte CH. Prognostic relevance of cardiac troponin T levels and their dynamic changes measured with a high-sensitivity assay in acute ischaemic stroke: analyses from the TRELAS cohort. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;177:886–893.
- James P, Ellis CJ, Whitlock RM, McNeil AR, Henley J, Anderson NE. Relation between troponin T concentration and mortality in patients presenting with an acute stroke: observational study. *BMJ*. 2000;320:1502–1504.
- Faiz KW, Thommessen B, Einvik G, Omland T, Ronning OM. Prognostic value of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T in acute ischemic stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2014;23:241–248.
- Hart RG, Diener HC, Coutts SB, Easton JD, Granger CB, O'Donnell MJ, Sacco RL, Connolly SJ. Embolic strokes of undetermined source: the case for a new clinical construct. *Lancet Neurol*. 2014;13:429–438.
- Sacco RL, Ellenberg JH, Mohr JP, Tatemichi TK, Hier DB, Price TR, Wolf PA. Infarcts of undetermined cause: the NINCDS Stroke Data Bank. *Ann Neurol*. 1989;25:382–390.
- Adams HP, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, Biller J, Love BB, Gordon DL, Marsh EE. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment. *Stroke*. 1993;24:35–41.
- Masson S, Anand I, Favero C, Barlera S, Vago T, Bertocchi F, Maggioni AP, Tavazzi L, Tognoni G, Cohn JN, Latini R. Serial measurement of cardiac troponin T using a highly sensitive assay in patients with chronic heart failure: data from 2 large randomized clinical trials. *Circulation*. 2012;125:280–288.
- Tsenovoy P, Aronow WS, Joseph J, Kopacz MS. Patients with infective endocarditis and increased cardiac troponin I levels have a higher incidence of in-hospital mortality and valve replacement than those with normal cardiac troponin I levels. *Cardiology*. 2009;112:202–204.
- Apple FS, Jesse RL, Newby LK, Wu AH, Christenson RH, Cannon CP, Francis G, Jesse R, Morrow DA, Ravkilde J. National Academy of Clinical Biochemistry and IFCC Committee for Standardization of Markers of Cardiac Damage Laboratory Medicine Practice Guidelines: analytical issues for biochemical markers of acute coronary syndromes. *Clin Chem*. 2007;53:547–551.
- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Simoons ML, Chaitman BR, White HD. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J*. 2012;33:2551–2567.
- Colivicchi F, Bassi A, Santini M, Caltagirone C. Cardiac autonomic derangement and arrhythmias in right-sided stroke with insular involvement. *Stroke*. 2004;35:2094–2098.
- Cerebral Embolism Task Force. Cardiogenic brain embolism. The second report of the Cerebral Embolism Task Force. *Arch Neurol*. 1989;46:727–743.
- Murthy SB, Shah S, Venkatasubba Rao CP, Suarez JI, Bershah EM. Clinical characteristics of myocardial stunning in acute stroke. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2014;21:1279–1282.
- Yoshimura S, Toyoda K, Ohara T, Nagasawa H, Ohtani N, Kuwashiro T, Naritomi H, Minematsu K. Takotsubo cardiomyopathy in acute ischemic stroke. *Ann Neurol*. 2008;64:547–554.
- Krause T, Werner K, Fiebach JB, Villringer K, Piper SK, Haeusler KG, Endres M, Scheitz JF, Nolte CH. Stroke in right dorsal anterior insular cortex is related to myocardial injury. *Ann Neurol*. 2017;81:502–511.
- Kral M, Sanak D, Veverka T, Hutyrá M, Vindis D, Kuncarova A, Bartkova A, Dornak T, Svabova M, Kubickova V, Zapletalova J, Herzig R, Skoloudik D. Troponin T in acute ischemic stroke. *Am J Cardiol*. 2013;112:117–121.
- Jensen JK, Ueland T, Aukrust P, Antonsen L, Kristensen SR, Januzzi JL, Ravkilde J. Highly sensitive troponin T in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Eur Neurol*. 2012;68:287–293.
- Mochmann HC, Scheitz JF, Petzold GC, Haeusler KG, Audebert HJ, Laufs U, Schneider C, Landmesser U, Werner N, Endres M, Witzembichler B, Nolte CH. Coronary angiographic findings in acute ischemic stroke patients with elevated cardiac troponin: the troponin elevation in acute ischemic stroke (TRELAS) study. *Circulation*. 2016;133:1264–1271.
- Alpert JS, Thygesen KA, White HD, Jaffe AS. Diagnostic and therapeutic implications of type 2 myocardial infarction: review and commentary. *Am J Med*. 2014;127:105–108.
- Saaby L, Poulsen TS, Hosbond S, Larsen TB, Pyndt Diederichsen AC, Hallas J, Thygesen K, Mickley H. Classification of myocardial infarction: frequency

- and features of type 2 myocardial infarction. *Am J Med.* 2013;126:789–797.
29. Wittstein IS, Thiemann DR, Lima JA, Baughman KL, Schulman SP, Gerstenblith G, Wu KC, Rade JJ, Bivalacqua TJ, Champion HC. Neurohumoral features of myocardial stunning due to sudden emotional stress. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352:539–548.
 30. Ay H, Koroshetz WJ, Benner T, Vangel MG, Melinosky C, Arsava EM, Ayata C, Zhu M, Schwamm LH, Sorensen AG. Neuroanatomic correlates of stroke-related myocardial injury. *Neurology.* 2006;66:1325–1329.
 31. Sander D, Winbeck K, Klingelhofer J, Etgen T, Conrad B. Prognostic relevance of pathological sympathetic activation after acute thromboembolic stroke. *Neurology.* 2001;57:833–838.
 32. Mollet NR, Dymarkowski S, Volders W, Wathiong J, Herbots L, Rademakers FE, Bogaert J. Visualization of ventricular thrombi with contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in patients with ischemic heart disease. *Circulation.* 2002;106:2873–2876.
 33. Meltzer RS, Visser CA, Fuster V. Intracardiac thrombi and systemic embolization. *Ann Intern Med.* 1986;104:689–698.



Association Between Troponin Levels and Embolic Stroke of Undetermined Source

Alexander E. Merkler, Gino Gialdini, Santosh B. Murthy, Setareh Salehi Omran, Antonio Moya, Michael P. Lerario, Ji Chong, Peter M. Okin, Jonathan W. Weinsaft, Monika M. Safford, Matthew E. Fink, Babak B. Navi, Costantino Iadecola and Hooman Kamel

J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e005905; originally published September 22, 2017;

doi: 10.1161/JAHA.117.005905

The *Journal of the American Heart Association* is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Online ISSN: 2047-9980

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://jaha.ahajournals.org/content/6/9/e005905>