The Impact of Cryoballoon Versus Radiofrequency Ablation for Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation on Healthcare Utilization and Costs: An Economic Analysis From the FIRE AND ICE Trial

In the article by Chun et al, “The Impact of Cryoballoon Versus Radiofrequency Ablation for Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation on Healthcare Utilization and Costs: An Economic Analysis From the FIRE AND ICE Trial” which published online July 27, 2017, and appeared in the August 2017 issue of the journal (J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e006043. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.117.006043.), there were errors found in Figure 1. On page 5, Figure 1, “United Kingdom” and “United States” were misspelled. The corrected figure is presented below.

The publisher regrets these errors.

The online version of the article has been updated and is available at http://jaha.ahajournals.org/content/6/8/e006043

**Figure 1.** Healthcare cost savings: Total trial period payer costs of healthcare utilizations (HCUs) for the cryoballoon and radiofrequency current (RFC) groups calculated by multiplying the number of HCUs by the specific payer cost per HCU across 3 national healthcare systems. The cryoballoon group demonstrated a statistically significant cost reduction in all 3 systems when compared with RFC, with the largest cost reductions in repeat ablations and cardiovascular rehospitalizations. Cryo indicates cryoballoon.
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