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**Background**—Several immune-mediated diseases have been shown to be associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease. However, studies evaluating the association between inflammatory bowel disease and risk of cardiovascular disease reported inconsistent results. We assessed the association between inflammatory bowel disease and risk of ischemic heart disease in a meta-analysis of cohort studies.

**Methods and Results**—We conducted a literature search of PubMed and Embase up to October 2016 to identify relevant studies. The summary relative risks were calculated using the random-effects models. To explore the source of heterogeneity, we performed subgroup and sensitivity analysis. We included 10 cohort studies that satisfied our inclusion criteria. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease were associated with an increased risk of ischemic heart disease (relative risk: 1.244; 95% CI, 1.142–1.355). Considerable heterogeneity was observed. Crohn’s disease showed a significantly increased risk of ischemic heart disease (relative risk=1.243; 95% CI, 1.042–1.482) and a positive association was also observed in ulcerative colitis (relative risk=1.206; 95% CI, 1.170–1.242).

**Conclusions**—Based on meta-analysis of cohort studies, we found an increased risk of ischemic heart disease in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Large long-term prospective studies are warranted to confirm our results. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e005892. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.117.005892.)
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Ischemic heart diseases (IHD) are associated with substantial morbidity and mortality. Traditional cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, family history of coronary artery disease, and obesity are well characterized. A number of epidemiologic studies indicated that inflammation was involved in the development of IHD. Several immune-mediated diseases have been linked to the risk of coronary artery disease, including rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. However, whether patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) are associated with an elevated risk of arterial thromboembolism and IHD is still debated.

The prevalence and incidence of inflammatory bowel disease has increased rapidly in recent years, especially in Asia. The IBDs, including Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), are chronic inflammatory conditions of the gastrointestinal tract. The disease courses are characterized by episodes of flares and remission. The etiology of this disease remains largely unclear. Studies evaluating the relation between IBD and risk of IHD reported conflicting results. A nationwide population-based cohort study from Denmark suggested a markedly elevated risk of IHD in patients with IBD. In contrast, a study using the General Practice Research Database reported no relation between myocardial infarction (MI) and CD in either unadjusted or adjusted analysis. Furthermore, a recent cross-sectional study from the United States suggested a decreased risk of acute MI in the IBD population when compared with the general population.

Two meta-analyses have been conducted with inconsistent results. Since the publication of a previous meta-analysis, several studies have been published that have not been...
synthesize with existing data. We aimed to examine the
relation between IBD and risk of IHD by updating the previous
meta-analysis.

Methods
This systematic review was performed according to the
Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-
Analyses guidelines.

Literature Search
Literature search of PubMed and Embase databases up to
October 2016 was conducted by 2 study investigators (W.F. and
D.C.), independently. The following key words were used:
“ischemic heart disease,” “coronary heart disease,” “coronary
artery disease,” “cardiovascular disease,” “myocardial infarc-
tion,” “myocardial ischemia,” and “inflammatory bowel disease,”
“ulcerative colitis,” “Crohn’s disease.” Additionally, we manually
searched the reference lists of reviews, previous meta-analysis,
and identified studies for potentially relevant studies.

Selection Criteria
Studies were considered if they satisfied the inclusion criteria
as follows: (1) studies of observational cohort design; (2)
reported the incidence of IHD; (3) exposure was IBD (CD
and/or UC); (4) the study provided the risk estimates such as
relative risk (RR), hazard ratio (HR), or other measures with
95% CIs. Nested case-control studies conducted within well
defined cohorts were also included.

Studies were excluded as follows: (1) animal studies; (2)
case report, review, letter to the editor, cross-sectional and
case-control studies; (3) no sufficient data to calculate the
relative risks; (4) if data were derived from the same cohort;
and (5) abstract without sufficient data.

Data Extraction and Quality Assessment
The following information was extracted from the included
articles: first author’s name, year of publication, country, sex,
age, and follow-up duration, sample size, adjusted and unad-
justed relative risk and adjustments. Information abstraction
was independently collected by 2 observers (W.F. and G.C.).
Any disagreements in the article identification were addressed
by discussion and referring back to the original articles.

The methodological quality of included studies was evalu-
ated independently by 2 authors (W.F. and D.C.) by using the
Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale criteria
included the following aspects: selection (4 questions), com-
parability (2 questions), and outcome (3 questions). A total
score of ≥7 was considered to indicate a high-quality study.

Statistical Analysis
We extracted odds ratios, or RRs, or hazard ratios or incidence
rate ratios. We also pooled unadjusted estimates if the studies
reported or provided sufficient data to allow calculation. The
summary RRs were calculated in both unadjusted and adjusted
form. Analysis was done using the random-effects models. We
computed Q and I² statistics to assess the heterogeneity.
P<0.1 indicated heterogeneity. For I² statistics, a value of >50%
was considered significant heterogeneity. To explore the
source of heterogeneity, we conducted subgroup analysis on
the basis of age, sex, and follow-up duration. Sensitivity
analysis was undertaken to estimate the influence of each
individual study in the main analysis by removing each study in
turn and evaluating the remaining studies. We assessed
publication bias by conducting Egger’s test and Begger’s funnel
plot (P<0.05 was considered to represent publication bias). All
the statistical analysis was conducted with STATA version 12.0.
P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Study Characteristics
We initially identified 978 studies from database search and 4
studies through manually searching reference lists of reviews.
Through screening the titles and abstracts, 43 citations were
included for the full-text review. Finally, we included 10 cohort
studies that satisfied our inclusion criteria. McAuliffe et al
addressed the rate of myocardial infarction in patients with moderate to severe IBD when compared with mild
IBD patients. Close et al conducted 2 cohort studies using the
GPRD. A subset of patients with IHD following IBD and their
matched controls (cohort B) was used for our analysis. (The details of study search and selection are shown in Figure 1).

All included studies were published recently; the publication year ranged from 2008 to 2015. Of the 10 studies, 3 were performed in the United Kingdom, \(^{16,20,23}\) 1 from Taiwan, \(^{22}\) 2 from the United States, \(^{21,32}\) 2 from Denmark, \(^{15,30}\) 1 from Canada, \(^{29}\) and 1 from Sweden. \(^{31}\) All identified studies were published in English. Characteristics of included studies are presented in Table 1.

Using the Newcastle–Ottawa quality tool, most studies were considered high quality, with an average Newcastle–Ottawa Scale score of 8.2 (range, 6–9). Nine studies adjusted for potential confounders, Close et al provided unadjusted RR, \(^{20}\) and hence we included it in the meta-analysis of crude risk estimates. Among included studies, most studies controlled for traditional cardiac risk factors, such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and hyperlipidemia. Baseline demographic data from the IBD patients included in these studies are presented in Table 2.

**Summary Estimates of Outcomes**

Patients with IBD were associated with an increased risk of IHD (adjusted RR: 1.244; 95% CI, 1.142–1.355). Considerable heterogeneity was observed in the overall analysis (\(P\) for heterogeneity <0.001, \(I^2=87.1\%\)) (Figure 2).

We also conducted a meta-analysis of studies that reported risk of IHD by type of IBD. Patients with CD showed an elevated risk of IHD (RR: 1.243; 95% CI, 1.042–1.482) with substantial heterogeneity (\(P\) for heterogeneity <0.001, \(I^2=89.5\%\)), and a positive association was also observed in UC (RR: 1.206; 95% CI, 1.170–1.242), while heterogeneity was eliminated (\(P\) for heterogeneity=0.731, \(I^2=0\%\)) (Figure 3).

**Subgroup Analysis**

To investigate the source of heterogeneity, we conducted subgroup analysis on the basis of age, sex, and follow-up duration (Table 3).

Four studies provided risk estimates specific for male and female. In subgroup analysis for sex, the risk for IHD was more pronounced among females (adjusted RR: 1.351; 95% CI, 1.206–1.513) than among males (adjusted RR: 1.189; 95% CI, 1.028–1.375).

The age divided into different categories in included studies; we stratified patients as young group (<50 years old) and old group (>50 years old). Our analysis found that young patients had a higher risk of IHD (adjusted RR: 1.354;
Table 1. Characteristics of the 10 Studies Included in the Meta-Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Study Period</th>
<th>No. of IBD Patients</th>
<th>Assessment of IBD</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zöller et al&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt; (2012)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>National Swedish data registers</td>
<td>1964 to 2008</td>
<td>1304 CD</td>
<td>ICD-7</td>
<td>CD: 1.06 (1.01–1.12)</td>
<td>Age, period, socioeconomic status, hospitalization of chronic lower respiratory diseases, obesity, alcohol, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, arterial flutter, heart failure, and renal disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2568 UC</td>
<td>ICD-8</td>
<td>UC: 1.21 (1.17–1.26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristensen et al&lt;sup&gt;30&lt;/sup&gt; (2013)</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>A nationwide Danish cohort</td>
<td>1996 to 2009</td>
<td>20 795 IBD</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>IBD: 1.17 (1.05–1.31)</td>
<td>Age, sex, comorbidity, cardiovascular medication and socioeconomic status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>199 978 controls</td>
<td></td>
<td>CD: 1.35 (1.03–1.77)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UC: 1.17 (1.03–1.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rungoe et al&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt; (2013)</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>A nationwide Danish cohort</td>
<td>1997 to 2009</td>
<td>28 833 IBD</td>
<td>ICD-8</td>
<td>IBD: 1.22 (1.14–1.30)</td>
<td>Age, sex, socioeconomic status and calendar year, use of antidiabetic agents, antihypertensive drugs, cholesterol-lowering drugs, anticoagulant drugs and antiarrhythmic agents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 541 987 controls</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>CD: 1.15 (0.99–1.35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UC: 1.22 (1.13–1.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80 489 controls</td>
<td></td>
<td>CD: 1.26 (1.04–1.53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UC: 1.26 (1.05–1.51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osterman et al&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt; (2011)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>GPRD (general practice research database)</td>
<td>4.7 y</td>
<td>9829 CD</td>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td>CD: 1.09 (0.89–1.34)</td>
<td>Age; sex; history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, smoking status, hypercholesterolemia, BMI, aspirin use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92 987 controls</td>
<td></td>
<td>UC: 1.11 (0.98–1.27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McAuliffe et al&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt; (2015)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>HIRD (healthcore integrated research database)</td>
<td>2004 to 2011</td>
<td>14 733 moderate to severe IBD 29 841 mild IBD</td>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td>IBD: 1.40 (0.92–2.13)</td>
<td>Age and sex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 498 UC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>144 605 controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dregan et al&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt; (2014)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>CPRD (clinical practice research database)</td>
<td>2002 to 2013</td>
<td>7628 CD</td>
<td>Read medical codes</td>
<td>CD: 1.10 (0.84–1.45)</td>
<td>Age, age squared, sex, blood pressure, cholesterol, BMI, smoking, alcohol, serum creatinine levels, glucocorticoids, antihypertensives, and statins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 203 UC</td>
<td></td>
<td>UC: 1.13 (0.95–1.35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>373 851 controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsai et al&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt; (2014)</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>NHIRD (national health insurance research database)</td>
<td>1998 to 2010</td>
<td>11 822 IBD</td>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td>IBD: 1.72 (1.53–1.94)</td>
<td>Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, COPD, and heart failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47 288 controls</td>
<td></td>
<td>CD: 1.82 (1.59–2.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UC: 1.31 (1.11–1.55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close et al&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt; (2015)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>GPRD</td>
<td>1987 to 2009</td>
<td>3926 CD</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>IBD: 1.30 (1.20–1.50)</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9992 UC</td>
<td></td>
<td>CD: 1.20 (1.0–1.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61 882 non-IBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>UC: 1.30 (1.10–1.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI indicates body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; CD, Crohn’s disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; ICD-CM, International Classification of Diseases-Clinical Modification; NA, not available; RR, relative risk; UC, ulcerative colitis.
95% CI, 1.055–1.738) compared with old patients (adjusted RR: 1.265; 95% CI, 1.130–1.416).

In the subgroup analysis on the basis of follow-up duration, we observed that patients with short duration of follow-up (<5 years) were related to an elevated risk of IHD (adjusted RR: 1.567; 95% CI, 1.257–1.954), whereas there was no such association among patients with long duration of follow-up (>5 years) (adjusted RR: 1.148; 95% CI, 0.991–1.330).

We also assessed the impact of confounders on the risk estimates. The increased risk of IHD among IBD populations persisted, when restricting analysis to studies that controlled for obesity (adjusted RR: 1.179; 95% CI, 1.057, 1.314). Similar association was found between studies controlled for smoking and those not adjusted (RR: 1.110 and 1.315, 95% CI: 1.110 [1.017–1.212] versus 1.315 [1.179–1.466]).

Pooled Results of Crude Estimates

Three studies provided unadjusted RRs. The results were consistent when using these unadjusted risk estimates, with an elevated risk of IHD in the IBD populations (unadjusted RR: 1.350; 95% CI, 1.107–1.645).16,20,32

Sensitivity Analysis and Publication Bias

In the sensitivity analysis, when each study was removed in turn, the pooled RR of the rest of the studies did not alter significantly. McAuliffe et al evaluated the rates of MI in patients with moderate to severe IBD versus those with mild IBD. When this study was removed, the positive association between IBD and IHD remained (RR: 1.189; 95%CI, 1.083–1.31). The pooled RR ranged from 1.229 (95% CI, 1.105–1.367; when the study by Zöller et al was removed) to 1.170 (95% CI, 1.063–1.288; when the study by Tsai et al was removed). No evidence of publication bias was found. (Egger’s test: P=0.285 and Begg’s test: P=0.837).

Discussion

In this updated meta-analysis of cohort studies, we observed an elevated risk of IHD among IBD populations in both adjusted and unadjusted analysis. The results were stable across stratified analysis. Consistent with previous studies, our analysis showed the risk for IHD was higher in females than in males and this risk is more pronounced in younger patients.

Our finding was supported by most studies but not all. Among these 10 studies, 7 studies suggested an elevated risk of IHD in patients with IBD.15,20,22,29–32 However, 3 studies did not find such association.16,21,23 Additionally, 2 cross-sectional studies using a nationwide inpatient database demonstrated lower rates of acute MI among the IBD population than the general population.17,33

The meta-analysis conducted by Fumery et al suggested no association between IBD and risk of IHD (RR, 1.23; 95% CI, 0.94–1.62),19 whereas another meta-analysis including 6 studies found a modestly increased risk of IHD among IBD patients.
populations (RR: 1.19; 95% CI, 1.08–1.31). The reason for the apparent discrepancy is that a cross-sectional study that demonstrated reduced risk of IHD in the IBD population was included in the meta-analysis by Fumery et al. Since the previous meta-analysis was published, another cross-sectional study has been conducted and also observed lower rates of MI in the IBD population (RR: 0.51; 95% CI, 0.50–0.52). In our analysis, inclusion of these 2 cross-sectional studies, which used the Nationwide Inpatient Sample database, significantly changed the result (adjusted RR: 1.161; 95% CI, 0.878–1.534). Results from large long-term prospective studies may provide precise information about the association of IBD and the risks of IHD.

IBD, including UC and CD, is a group of chronic relapsing inflammatory conditions of the gastrointestinal tract. Despite the shared clinical features, differences in the inflammatory burden, histology findings, and prognosis were observed in UC and CD. Considering its frequent systemic inflammation, patients with CD may have a higher risk of developing IHD. Interestingly, our analysis found similar risk of IHD in CD and UC. Low median age of participants, short study duration, and use of anti–tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\) (TNF) agents may contribute to this finding. Patients with CD are more likely to receive anti-TNF treatment and surgery than UC patients are. It would be anticipated that more potent therapy in CD patients reduced the inflammatory burden, and hence, significantly decreased the incidence of cardiovascular disease (CVD). Although similar risk was observed, the study found that a higher risk of cardiovascular death was observed in patients with CD than those with UC.

It is well characterized that tobacco smoking is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events. Studies have shown that smoking exerts opposing effects in UC and CD. Smoking is thought to worsen the clinical course of CD and increase the need for steroid use and risk of early surgery. Interestingly, smoking has a protective effect on UC and thus less inflammatory burden. Despite the opposing effects of smoking in the inflammatory burden of CD and UC, our subgroup analysis found similar risk of IHD between studies adjusted for smoking and those not smoking. To better understand the association between IBD and cardiovascular events, further studies should evaluate the effect of smoking on this issue.

Disease severity has an important influence on the risk of CVD. Among included studies, disease severity was prospectively assessed using frequency of hospitalization or initiation of biological and glucocorticoids treatment. Their observations suggested that the inflammatory activity was
associated with an increased risk of CVD.22,30,31 A population-based cohort study reported an elevated risk of MI in the flare and persistent IBD activity period, whereas no increased risk of MI was observed during the remission period.30 However, another study reported no significantly increased rates of MI in patients with moderate or severe IBD versus mild IBD. This study may have been limited by the potential for misclassification and lack of generalizability.21

Given the significant role of chronic inflammation in the risk of IHD, it may be expected that therapy for IBD might reduce the incidence of IHD by reducing the inflammatory burden.40 A nationwide cohort study suggested a markedly lower risk for IHD in users of 5-aminosalicylates compared with nonusers. They also suggested slightly lower risk of IHD among users than nonusers of azathioprine or TNF-\(\alpha\) antagonist,15 whereas another study found that patients with IBD who used anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) therapies have no decreased risk of MI compared with patients who had never received anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) treatment.21 We could not perform the subgroup analysis to evaluate the effect of lowering inflammatory drugs on the IHD risk because of limited data in the primary studies. Moreover, most of the studies included in our analysis did not control for indication, that is, patients with severe IBD, with an increased risk of CVD, are more likely to use the anti-inflammatory drugs.38,41

Glucocorticoids are widely used in the treatment of IBD and other immune-mediated diseases. It is well characterized that glucocorticoids are associated with an increased risk of hypertension, obesity, dyslipidemia, and insulin resistance, which are the risk factors for cardiovascular events. Epidemiological studies suggested that glucocorticoids exposure might increase the risk of CVD.42–44 A nationwide Danish cohort study found that patients requiring corticosteroids were at increased risk of IHD.15 However, another retrospective cohort study reported that exposure to corticosteroids was not associated with an increased risk of CVD in patients with IBD.32 This association warrants further investigation.

The association between IBD and risk of IHD is biologically plausible. However, the mechanism underlying this association has not been well elucidated.40 Chronic inflammation was thought to play a prominent role in the development of both IBD and IHD.45 Patients with IBD are more likely to develop early atherosclerosis compared with non-IBD controls. Elevated levels of C-reactive protein and pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNF-\(\alpha\) and interleukin-6, were observed in both IBD and atherosclerosis. Increasing evidence suggested that activation of coagulation cascade and endothelial injury may also account for the risk of IHD in IBD populations.46 Other possible mechanisms included persistent thrombocytosis, arterial stiffening, coronary
Table 3. Summary Relative Risks and 95% CI of IHD in Patients With IBD, According to the Characteristics of Included Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratification by</th>
<th>Relative Risk (95% CI)</th>
<th>Heterogeneity (P for Heterogeneity)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All studies</td>
<td>1.244 (1.142, 1.355)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratification by type of IBD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>1.243 (1.042, 1.482)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>1.206 (1.170, 1.242)</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratification by age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td>1.354 (1.055, 1.738)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old</td>
<td>1.265 (1.130, 1.416)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratification by sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.351 (1.206, 1.513)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.189 (1.028, 1.375)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratification by duration of follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 y</td>
<td>1.567 (1.257, 1.954)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 y</td>
<td>1.148 (0.991, 1.330)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.179 (1.057, 1.314)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.329 (1.147, 1.540)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.110 (1.017, 1.212)</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.315 (1.173, 1.466)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD indicates Crohn’s disease; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; IHD, ischemic heart disease; UC, ulcerative colitis.

microcirculatory dysfunction, and increased carotid intima–media thickness. 34,36,47,48

Our analysis has several strengths. It has the advantage of large size, with nearly 155,970 cases of IBD. To explore the potential effect of covariates on the association, we simultaneously evaluated the unadjusted and adjusted risk estimates, and hence, were able to evaluate the potential influence of measured confounders. We also performed the subgroup and sensitivity analyses to investigate the heterogeneity.

The present study had limitations. First, it is impossible to adjust all the covariates; the unmeasured covariates may have an unclear impact on the relation between IBD and risk of IHD. Second, observational studies may suffer from various sources of bias. The cohort studies are susceptible to detection bias because patients with IBD had more frequent contact with professional observation and hence were more likely to be diagnosed with IHD. This bias may affect the true association. Third, great statistical and clinical heterogeneity was observed among studies, which was partly explained by age at diagnosis, disease severity, duration of follow-up, and covariates. Given the significant heterogeneity, a random-effects model was used to calculate summary risk estimates and subgroup analyses may offer some clues to explain this heterogeneity.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis of cohort studies indicates an increased risk of IHD in patients with IBD, but our results should be interpreted with caution given the great heterogeneity among included studies. To confirm the findings of our analysis, large prospective studies well designed to evaluate the association of IBD and IHD are clearly warranted.
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