

Prospective Assessment of Sex-Related Differences in Symptom Status and Health Perception Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation

Steffen Blum, MD;* Christoph Muff, MD;* Stefanie Aeschbacher, PhD; Peter Ammann, MD; Paul Erne, MD; Giorgio Moschovitis, MD; Marcello Di Valentino, MD; Dipen Shah, MD; Jürg Schläpfer, MD; Andreas Fischer, MD; Tamara Merkel, BSc; Michael Kühne, MD; Christian Sticherling, MD; Stefan Osswald, MD; David Conen, MD, MPH

Background—We prospectively assessed sex-specific differences in health perception, overall symptom status, and specific symptoms in a large cohort of patients with atrial fibrillation.

Methods and Results—We performed a prospective multicenter observational cohort study of 1553 patients with atrial fibrillation. Patients completed questionnaires about personal characteristics, comorbidities, and symptoms on a yearly basis. Mean age was 70 ± 11 years among women and 67 ± 12 years among men. Health perception on a visual analogue scale ranging from 0 to 100 (with higher scores indicating better health perception) was significantly lower in women than in men (70 [interquartile range: 50–80] versus 75 [interquartile range: 60–85]; $P < 0.0001$). More women than men had any symptoms (85.0% versus 68.3%; $P < 0.0001$), palpitations (65.2% versus 44.4%; $P < 0.0001$), dizziness (25.6% versus 13.5%; $P < 0.0001$), dyspnea (35.7% versus 21.8%; $P < 0.0001$), and fatigue (25.3% versus 19.1%; $P = 0.006$). At 1-year follow-up, symptoms decreased in both sexes but remained more frequent in women (49.1% versus 32.6%, $P < 0.0001$). In multivariable adjusted longitudinal regression models, female sex remained an independent predictor for lower health perception ($\beta = -4.8$; 95% CI, -6.5 to -3.1 ; $P < 0.0001$), any symptoms (odds ratio [OR]: 2.6; 95% CI, 2.1–3.4; $P < 0.0001$), palpitations (OR: 2.6; 95% CI, 2.1–3.2; $P < 0.0001$), dizziness (OR: 2.9; 95% CI, 2.1–3.9; $P < 0.0001$), dyspnea (OR: 2.1; 95% CI, 1.6–2.8; $P < 0.0001$), fatigue (OR: 1.6; 95% CI, 1.2–2.2; $P = 0.0008$), and chest pain (OR: 1.8; 95% CI, 1.3–2.6; $P = 0.001$).

Conclusions—Women with atrial fibrillation have a substantially higher symptom burden and lower health perception than men. These relationships persisted after multivariable adjustment and during prospective follow-up. (*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6:e005401. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.005401.)

Key Words: atrial fibrillation • epidemiology • health perception • sex-related differences • symptoms

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common sustained cardiac arrhythmia in the population,¹ and the number of affected individuals is expected to double until 2060.² Patients with AF have an increased risk of developing stroke, heart failure, cognitive dysfunction, and death, further underscoring the public health importance of the arrhythmia.^{3–6} A

recent meta-analysis highlighted a higher risk of adverse outcomes in women compared with men.⁷

In addition to an increased risk of cardiovascular events and death, patients with AF also have poor quality of life and high prevalence of symptoms related to either the arrhythmia or the underlying structural heart disease.^{8–10} Less evidence

From the Divisions of Internal Medicine (S.B., C.M., A.F.) and Cardiology (S.B., C.M., S.A., A.F., M.K., C.S., S.O., D.C.), Department of Medicine, and Cardiovascular Research Institute Basel (S.B., C.M., S.A., A.F., T.M., M.K., C.S., S.O., D.C.), University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland; Division of Cardiology, Kantonsspital St. Gallen, St. Gallen, Switzerland (P.A.); Lab Signal Transduction, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Switzerland (P.E.); Division of Cardiology, Ospedale Regionale di Lugano, Ticino, Switzerland (G.M.); Division of Cardiology, Ospedale San Giovanni Bellinzona, Ticino, Switzerland (M.D.V.); Division of Cardiology, University Hospital Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland (D.S.); Service of Cardiology, University Hospital Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland (J.S.); Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada (D.C.).

*Dr Blum and Dr Muff contributed equally to this work and are co-first authors.

This work was presented at the American Heart Association Scientific Sessions, November 12–16, 2016, in New Orleans, LA.

Correspondence to: David Conen, MD, MPH, Cardiology Division, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. E-mail: conend@mcmaster.ca

Received December 29, 2016; accepted May 26, 2017.

© 2017 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

- Women with atrial fibrillation report more symptoms than men, including a higher burden of palpitations, dyspnea, fatigue, and dizziness.
- These findings persist after comprehensive adjustment and during longitudinal follow-up.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Women with atrial fibrillation have a substantially higher symptom burden and lower health perception than men.
- Whether women should be considered more often for interventions to reduce their symptom burden should be studied in a randomized trial.

is available about sex-specific differences in symptom status or overall health perception in AF patients. Symptoms related to AF include palpitations, dyspnea, chest pain, dizziness, and, less commonly, syncope, fatigue, and anxiety.⁹ Some studies reported that women were more symptomatic than men.^{10,11} A recent meta-analysis pointed out that asymptomatic AF is more often associated with male sex, regardless of age.¹² However, none of these studies performed multivariable adjustment to correct for potential confounders. Consequently, it is currently unclear whether sex is an independent predictor of symptom status or whether the increased symptom burden among women is due to their older age^{11,13} or an increased prevalence of risk factors and/or comorbidities. Finally, it is also relatively unknown whether these potential sex-related differences persist during prospective follow-up.

To address some of these issues, we evaluated sex-related differences in overall health perception and various symptom categories at baseline and during prospective follow-up in a large and unselected cohort of patients with AF.

Methods

BEAT-AF (Basel Atrial Fibrillation Cohort) is an ongoing prospective, observational, multicenter cohort study. Between 2010 and 2014, 1553 patients with documented AF were enrolled across 7 centers in Switzerland. All patients were required to have AF that was previously documented by ECG, rhythm strip, or device interrogation. Exclusion criteria were the inability to sign informed consent and the exclusive presence of short transient episodes of AF (eg, AF after cardiac surgery). For the purpose of this study, we excluded 11 (0.7%) patients because of missing symptom data at baseline, such that 1542 (99.5%) patients remained in the analysis. The study protocol

was approved by the local ethics committees, and informed written consent was obtained from each participant.

Assessments

Patients completed detailed questionnaires about personal, medical, nutritional, and lifestyle factors. Symptoms potentially related to AF included palpitations, dyspnea, fatigue, dizziness, chest pain, effort intolerance, and syncope. Overall symptoms included the presence of at least 1 of these symptoms. Overall health perception was self-assessed by the participants using a visual analogue scale (VAS) ranging from 0 (worst) to 100 (best). The VAS used in this study is very similar to the Euro-Quol VAS, which has been validated extensively, including in patients with AF.^{14–16} Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. All participants underwent recording of a resting 12-lead ECG.

Echocardiography was not mandatory in BEAT-AF; however, information on left ventricular ejection fraction from a transthoracic echocardiogram obtained not more than 3 months before enrollment was available for 567 (36.8%) patients.

Follow-up Examinations

Yearly follow-up examinations were performed in all patients by mail and phone interviews. Patients completed information about personal, nutritional, and lifestyle factors and health perception on paper-based, mailed questionnaires. Trained study personnel subsequently completed questionnaires on symptoms potentially related to AF, updated comorbidities, medication use, and intercurrent adverse events by phone. For the present analysis, we used all data up to the 3-year follow-up examination, as available in August 2015. At that time, 1-year follow-up was available for 90.1% of the participants, 2-year follow-up was available for 64.9%, and 3-year follow-up was available for 38.1%.

Statistical Analysis

Baseline and symptom characteristics were stratified by sex. Data distribution of continuous variables was checked using skewness, kurtosis, and visual inspection of the histogram. Continuous variables were presented as mean±SD and compared using Student *t* tests or medians (interquartile range [IQR]) and compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test, as appropriate. Categorical variables were presented as numbers (percentages) and compared using χ^2 or Fisher exact tests.

To assess the covariate-adjusted odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) for the predictor female sex and to take advantage of the longitudinal follow-up available in this cohort, longitudinal mixed logistic regression analysis was performed

using binary symptom-related variables as the outcome. To account for the fact that observations from the same patients are not independent of each other, we included patient identifier as a random effect into the model. Longitudinal mixed linear regression with patient identifier as a random effect was performed to calculate β coefficients (95% CI) for continuous outcome variables. All regression models were adjusted for a predefined set of covariates including baseline body mass index, systolic blood pressure, heart rate, and updated information on history of coronary heart disease, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, heart failure, stroke, oral anticoagulation, information on AF-related intervention (electrical cardioversion and/or pulmonary vein isolation), current AF type (paroxysmal or nonparoxysmal), smoking, and visit number. To assess the potential influence of sex-specific differences in left ventricular function on our findings, we performed a multivariable logistic regression analysis with baseline data, which was additionally adjusted for left ventricular ejection fraction in the subcohort for which this information was available.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute). A 2-sided $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. Overall, 454 (29.4%) study participants were women. Female participants were older than male participants (70 ± 11 versus 67 ± 12 years, $P < 0.0001$) and more often had paroxysmal AF (61% versus 54%, $P = 0.04$), higher heart rate (71 ± 16 versus 69 ± 15 bpm, $P = 0.05$), and higher systolic blood pressure (137 ± 21 versus 134 ± 19 mm Hg, $P = 0.007$). Male patients were more likely to have a history of diabetes mellitus (15% versus 10%, $P = 0.01$) or myocardial infarction (14% versus 8%, $P = 0.0009$). Women had fewer AF-related interventions before enrollment (31% versus 39%, $P = 0.002$).

Symptoms According to AF Type

Patients with paroxysmal AF had more symptoms than patients with persistent and permanent AF (80%, 76%, and 51%, respectively, $P < 0.0001$), as shown in Table 2. When comparing individual symptoms according to AF type, palpitations, dizziness, and chest pain were more often reported in paroxysmal AF patients ($P < 0.0001$, $P = 0.009$, and $P = 0.02$), whereas dyspnea, fatigue and effort intolerance were more prevalent in patients with nonparoxysmal AF (all $P < 0.0001$).

Symptoms According to Sex

Health perception and prevalence of various symptom categories according to sex at baseline and up to 3 years of

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics According to Sex

Characteristic	Women (n=454)	Men (n=1088)	P Value*
Age, y	70.4±10.7	67.2±11.9	<0.0001
Body mass index, kg/m ²	26.6±5.7	27.4±4.3	0.007
Heart rate, beats/min	71.0±15.7	69.3±15.0	0.05
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	136.8±21.4	133.7±18.9	0.007
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	78.5±13.4	79.0±12.8	0.5
Type of atrial fibrillation			
Paroxysmal	275 (60.6)	584 (53.7)	0.04
Persistent	94 (20.7)	274 (25.2)	
Permanent	85 (18.7)	229 (21.1)	
Atrial flutter	64 (14.1)	228 (21.0)	0.002
History of myocardial infarction	37 (8.2)	155 (14.3)	0.0009
History of stroke/TIA	54 (11.9)	141 (13.0)	0.6
History of hypertension	320 (70.5)	724 (66.5)	0.1
History of heart failure	81 (17.8)	233 (21.4)	0.1
History of diabetes mellitus	46 (10.1)	164 (15.1)	0.01
History of renal failure	61 (13.4)	167 (15.4)	0.3
Smoking status			
Current	37 (8.2)	101 (9.3)	<0.0001
History	151 (33.6)	565 (52.1)	
Never	261 (58.1)	418 (38.6)	
Aspirin as monotherapy	52 (11.6)	167 (15.7)	0.04
Oral anticoagulation	345 (76.0)	781 (72.0)	0.1
History of AF-related intervention [†]	139 (30.7)	422 (38.9)	0.002

Data are means±SD or counts (percentages). Data may not sum to the given number because of missing data. AF indicates atrial fibrillation; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

*P-values were based on Student *t* tests or χ^2 tests, as appropriate.

[†]Intervention: either pulmonary vein isolation and/or electrical cardioversion.

follow-up are shown in Table 3. At baseline, health perception on a VAS was significantly worse in women compared with men (70 [IQR: 50–80] versus 76 [IQR: 60–85], $P < 0.0001$). Women reported symptoms significantly more often than men (85% versus 68%, $P < 0.0001$). When the different symptom categories were assessed individually, women more often indicated palpitations (65% versus 44%, $P < 0.0001$), dizziness (26% versus 14%, $P < 0.0001$), fatigue (25% versus 19%, $P = 0.006$), and dyspnea (36% versus 22%, $P < 0.0001$), whereas men more often reported effort intolerance (14% versus 9%, $P = 0.005$). No significant differences were observed for chest pain (12% of women versus 9% of men, $P = 0.12$) and syncope (4% of women versus 3% of men, $P = 0.26$).

Table 2. Symptom Status According to AF Type and Sex

Characteristic	Paroxysmal			Persistent			Permanent			P Value [†]
	Women	Men	P Value*	Women	Men	P Value*	Women	Men	P Value*	
Any symptoms	247 (89.8)	442 (75.7)	<0.0001	82 (87.2)	198 (72.3)	0.003	57 (67.1)	103 (45.0)	0.0005	<0.0001
Palpitations	210 (76.4)	328 (56.2)	<0.0001	57 (60.6)	105 (38.3)	0.0002	29 (34.1)	50 (21.8)	0.03	<0.0001
Dyspnea	87 (31.6)	111 (19.0)	<0.0001	42 (44.7)	86 (31.4)	0.02	33 (38.8)	40 (17.5)	<0.0001	<0.0001
Fatigue	60 (21.8)	104 (17.8)	0.2	38 (40.4)	70 (25.6)	0.006	17 (20.0)	34 (14.9)	0.3	<0.0001
Dizziness	77 (28.0)	90 (15.4)	<0.0001	23 (24.5)	35 (12.8)	0.007	16 (18.8)	22 (9.6)	0.03	0.009
Effort intolerance	18 (6.6)	84 (14.4)	0.0009	18 (19.2)	56 (20.4)	0.8	4 (4.7)	13 (5.7)	1.0	<0.0001
Chest pain	35 (12.7)	64 (11.0)	0.4	9 (9.6)	16 (5.8)	0.2	8 (9.4)	17 (7.4)	0.6	0.02
Syncope	12 (4.4)	19 (3.3)	0.4	3 (3.2)	6 (2.2)	0.7	2 (2.4)	4 (1.8)	0.7	0.2

Data are numbers (percentages). AF indicates atrial fibrillation.

*P values were based on χ^2 or Fisher exact tests, as appropriate, and indicate differences in symptoms according to sex within the same atrial fibrillation type.

†P values are based on χ^2 tests and indicate differences in symptoms across different atrial fibrillation types.

After 1 year of follow-up, health perception increased from 70 (IQR: 50–80) to 74 (IQR: 60–80) among women and from 76 (IQR: 60–85) to 80 (IQR: 65–90) among men, such that the significant sex-specific differences persisted ($P<0.0001$), as shown in Table 3. Similar findings were observed after 2 years of follow-up (75 [IQR: 60–85] for women versus 80 [IQR: 65–90] for men, $P=0.0004$).

After 1 year of follow-up, the overall prevalence of symptoms decreased to 49% among women and 33% among men, but the sex-specific difference remained highly significant ($P<0.0001$). After 1 year of follow-up, women still had a higher prevalence of palpitations (35% versus 21%, $P<0.0001$), dyspnea (13% versus 9%, $P=0.01$), and dizziness (14% versus 7%, $P<0.0001$) compared with men. The higher symptom burden in women also persisted after 2 years of follow-up (48% versus 32% for men, $P<0.0001$) and after 3 years of follow-up (41% versus 31%, $P=0.02$). Differences in symptom categories remained generally consistent over time, although not all comparisons were statistically significant (Table 3).

Between baseline and 1 year of follow-up, a total of 405 (26.3%) patients had either pulmonary vein isolation or electrical cardioversion, with similar percentages among women and men (25.7% versus 30.6%, $P=0.07$). The symptom burden declined overall by 28.4% among patients without intervention and by 56.3% among those with intervention, as shown in Table 4.

After multivariable adjustment in the longitudinal models, female sex remained a strong predictor of overall symptoms (OR: 2.6; 95% CI, 2.1–3.4; $P<0.0001$), palpitations (OR: 2.6; 95% CI, 2.1–3.2; $P<0.0001$), dizziness (OR: 2.9; 95% CI, 2.1–3.9; $P<0.0001$), dyspnea (OR: 2.1; 95% CI, 1.6–2.8; $P<0.0001$), fatigue (OR: 1.6; 95% CI, 1.2–2.2; $P=0.0008$), and chest pain (OR: 1.8; 95% CI, 1.3–2.6; $P=0.001$), as shown in Table 5. No association was observed for effort intolerance (OR: 0.7; 95% CI, 0.5–1.0; $P=0.051$). Similarly, lower health perception

among women also persisted after multivariable adjustment ($\beta=-4.8$; 95% CI, -6.5 to -3.1 ; $P<0.0001$).

Additional adjustment for left ventricular function among those patients with this information available did not influence our results, as shown in Table 6. In these baseline models, female sex remained a significant predictor of overall symptoms (OR: 2.3; 95% CI, 1.3–4.0; $P=0.004$), palpitations (OR: 2.3; 95% CI, 1.5–3.7; $P=0.0002$), dyspnea (OR: 1.8; 95% CI, 1.2–2.8; $P=0.008$), fatigue (OR: 1.7; 95% CI, 1.1–2.6; $P=0.03$), and dizziness (OR: 2.5; 95% CI, 1.5–4.1; $P=0.0003$).

Discussion

In this large prospective cohort study of patients with AF, we found that women had substantially lower health perception and a higher symptom burden compared with their male counterparts. Most individual symptoms potentially related to AF were more frequent in women than in men. These findings remained statistically significant after extensive multivariable adjustment and persisted during prospective follow-up, despite the fact that the overall symptom burden substantially decreased over time.

Prior studies have shown that female participants with AF were older and had more frequent paroxysmal AF and a higher symptom burden than male participants.^{10,11,17,18} In a study of patients with new-onset AF and normal echocardiogram, Potpara et al showed that women more often had palpitations, fatigue, and chest pain.¹⁷ Another study also found that women had a more symptomatic European Heart Rhythm Association class compared with men.¹¹

Our data extend these findings in several respects. First, the observed difference of 5 points in the VAS between men and women is clinically meaningful; for example, the post-procedural VAS score improved by 5 points in patients with paroxysmal AF who underwent pulmonary vein isolation.¹⁹

Table 3. Symptom Status and Health Perception According to Sex

	Baseline		1-Y Follow-up		2-Y Follow-up		3-Y Follow-up		P Value*
	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	
n	454	1088	409	981	292	708	177	411	
Any symptoms, n (%)	386 (85.0)	743 (68.3)	201 (49.1)	320 (32.6)	140 (48.0)	226 (31.9)	73 (41.2)	128 (31.1)	0.02
Palpitations	296 (65.2)	483 (44.4)	142 (34.7)	203 (20.7)	92 (31.5)	138 (19.5)	49 (27.7)	71 (17.3)	0.004
Dyspnea	162 (35.7)	237 (21.8)	55 (13.4)	87 (8.9)	41 (14.0)	49 (6.9)	20 (11.3)	28 (6.8)	0.07
Fatigue	115 (25.3)	208 (19.1)	50 (12.2)	71 (7.2)	18 (6.2)	50 (7.1)	12 (6.8)	27 (6.6)	0.9
Dizziness	116 (25.6)	147 (13.5)	58 (14.2)	66 (6.7)	31 (10.6)	41 (5.8)	14 (7.9)	22 (5.4)	0.2
Effort intolerance	40 (8.8)	153 (14.1)	14 (3.4)	46 (4.7)	8 (2.7)	29 (4.1)	4 (2.3)	16 (3.9)	0.3
Chest pain	52 (11.5)	97 (8.9)	23 (5.6)	29 (3.0)	17 (5.8)	14 (2.0)	7 (4.0)	7 (1.7)	0.1
Syncope	17 (3.7)	29 (2.7)	12 (2.9)	5 (0.5)	7 (2.4)	1 (0.1)	2 (1.1)	1 (0.2)	0.2
Health perception, 0–100, median (IQR)	70.0 (50.0–80.0)	76.0 (60.0–85.0)	74.0 (60.0–80.0)	80.0 (65.0–90.0)	75.0 (60.0–85.0)	80.0 (65.0–90.0)	ns	ns	0.0004

IQR indicates interquartile range; ns indicates not surveyed. *P-values were based on the χ^2 test or Wilcoxon rank sum test, as appropriate.

Given that this intervention is the most effective for symptom control among patients with symptomatic paroxysmal AF, we consider this difference as clinically meaningful. Second, we showed that sex-specific differences persist over time, despite the fact that a sizable number of enrolled participants received AF-related interventions and that the overall symptom burden decreased substantially. In contrast, our study is in line with previous reports showing that symptom burden among AF patients can be substantially reduced with currently available treatment tools.²⁰ Previous studies suggested that women were less likely to receive AF-related interventions,^{10,21,22} and our results are in line with this finding. The totality of evidence suggests that symptomatic women should be considered for interventions to reduce their symptom burden. This hypothesis should be tested in a randomized trial.

It is also interesting that patients with no interventions during follow-up had considerably fewer symptoms at 1-year follow-up. A possible explanation for this finding is the development of tolerance to the arrhythmia over time. In addition, this could reflect the dynamic nature of symptoms and the many factors contributing to the interaction between symptoms and AF.⁹ Improvement in antiarrhythmic or rate control treatment may be another potential explanation. Unfortunately, detailed data on changes in medical treatment over time are currently unavailable in our database.

Third, our study is one of the first to show that sex-specific differences in AF-related symptoms persisted after multivariable adjustment. Most studies published on patients with AF have shown important sex-specific differences in baseline characteristics; therefore, adjustment for potential confounders in multivariable models is crucial to determine whether differences in symptoms are related to differences in baseline characteristics or whether there may be real sex-specific differences in symptom status. Our study clearly suggests that there are differences related to sex.

Several factors that might explain the persistent sex-related differences have to be considered. Increased heart rate is an important predictor of symptoms.¹⁵ Although we adjusted for resting heart rate, women with paroxysmal AF

Table 4. Symptom Status Over Time Stratified by Intervention

Characteristic	Symptoms Baseline	Symptoms at 1-Y Follow-up	P Value*
No intervention	652 (66.3)	373 (37.9)	<0.0001
Intervention	376 (92.8)	148 (36.5)	0.008
P value*	<0.0001	0.6	

Data are numbers (percentages).

*P-values were based on χ^2 tests; intervention was pulmonary vein isolation and/or electrical cardioversion between baseline and 1-year follow-up.

Table 5. Differences in Symptom Status in Women Vs Men

Characteristic	OR (95% CI) Univariate	P Value*	OR (95% CI) Multivariate	P Value*
Any symptoms	2.6 (2.1–3.3)	<0.0001	2.6 (2.1–3.4)	<0.0001
Palpitations	2.6 (2.1–3.3)	<0.0001	2.6 (2.1–3.2)	<0.0001
Dizziness	2.7 (2.0–3.6)	<0.0001	2.9 (2.1–3.9)	<0.0001
Dyspnea	2.2 (1.7–2.8)	<0.0001	2.1 (1.6–2.8)	<0.0001
Fatigue	1.5 (1.2–2.0)	0.0014	1.6 (1.2–2.2)	0.0008
Chest pain	1.8 (1.3–2.5)	0.0009	1.8 (1.3–2.6)	0.001
Effort intolerance	0.6 (0.4–0.8)	0.002	0.7 (0.5–1.0)	0.051
	β (95% CI)	P Value [†]	β (95% CI)	P Value [†]
Health perception, 0–100	–4.5 (–6.3 to –2.7)	<0.0001	–4.8 (–6.5 to –3.1)	<0.0001

ORs (95% CIs) are for women compared with men. *P* values were based on mixed logistic regression models or mixed linear models, as appropriate. Univariate models were adjusted for visit number; multivariable models were adjusted for baseline body mass index, systolic blood pressure, heart rate (>100 and <100 bpm), and updated information on age, current smoking, oral anticoagulation, intervention (pulmonary vein isolation and/or electrical cardioversion), coronary heart disease (myocardial infarction, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, or aortocoronary bypass), diabetes mellitus, hypertension, heart failure, history of stroke, atrial fibrillation type (paroxysmal or nonparoxysmal), and visit number. CI indicates confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

*Mixed logistic regression model.

[†]Mixed linear model.

may still have higher ambulatory heart rates, as has been observed previously on Holter-ECG recordings in patients with paroxysmal AF.²³ A decreased vagal tone and a greater prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in women may be a mechanistic explanation for this observation.¹³ Total heart rate variability was higher in postmenopausal women who were on estrogen replacement therapy compared with those without hormone therapy.²⁴ In addition, resting and ambulatory heart rates were higher in young and healthy women,²⁵

Table 6. Differences in Symptom Status in Women Vs Men in a Subset of Patients With Echocardiographic Baseline Information

Characteristic	OR (95% CI)	P Value*
Any symptoms	2.3 (1.3; 4.0)	0.004
Palpitations	2.3 (1.5; 3.7)	0.0002
Dyspnea	1.8 (1.2; 2.8)	0.008
Fatigue	1.7 (1.1; 2.6)	0.03
Dizziness	2.5 (1.5; 4.1)	0.0003
Chest pain	1.7 (0.9; 3.1)	0.08
Effort intolerance	0.7 (0.4; 1.3)	0.3
Syncope	0.8 (0.2; 2.7)	0.7

ORs (95% CIs) are for women compared to men. CI indicates confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

**P* values were based on logistic regression. Models were adjusted for left ventricular ejection fraction, age, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, heart rate (>100 and <100 bpm), coronary heart disease (myocardial infarction, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, or aortocoronary bypass), diabetes mellitus, hypertension, heart failure, history of stroke, oral anticoagulation at baseline, history of intervention (electrical cardioversion and/or pulmonary vein isolation), atrial fibrillation type (paroxysmal or nonparoxysmal), and current smoking.

another observation that is in line with this hypothesis. Other factors that may explain sex-specific differences but that were not assessed in this study include drug adherence, emotional stress, sleep quality, and sex-specific treatment strategies by healthcare providers. Finally, residual confounding remains an issue in every observational cohort.

Main strengths of this study are the large sample size of an unselected and well-characterized AF population, the prospective follow-up with updating of symptom status and health perception over time, and a clinically relevant main finding. Several limitations need to be taken into account in the interpretation of our findings. Given the observational study design, we were not able to prove causality, and residual confounding may be present despite extensive multivariable adjustment. Nevertheless, our study suggests that differences in symptoms are not explained by the covariates added to the models. Finally, data on cardiac structure and function were not systematically collected in this study. Adjustment for left ventricular function among those with this information available did not change our findings; however, differences in cardiac function may still have influenced our results. Patients with available information on left ventricular function may be different from the overall study population and thus may have experienced symptoms differently or for a reason other than AF.

Conclusion

In this large prospective study of patients with AF, we found that women had a significantly higher symptom burden and lower health perception than men. These findings persisted

over time and after comprehensive multivariable adjustment. Future studies need to elaborate the mechanisms underlying these important differences.

Sources of Funding

This study was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (PP00P3_159322), the Swiss Heart Foundation, the University of Basel, Boehringer Ingelheim, Sanofi-Aventis, Merck Sharp & Dome, Bayer, Daiichi-Sankyo and Pfizer/Bristol-Myers Squibb.

Disclosures

Shah received honoraria from Daiichi-Sankyo and Pfizer; Schläpfer served in the advisory boards for Daiichi-Sankyo, Bayer & Boehringer-Ingelheim; Kühne has served on the speakers' bureau for Boston Scientific, St. Jude Medical and Biotronik. He has received lecture/consulting fees from Sorin, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bayer, Sanofi Aventis, Novartis and MSD and has received unrestricted grants from Sanofi Aventis, Bayer and Boehringer Ingelheim. He is a proctor for Medtronic (Cryoballoon); Conen has received research support from Bayer, Bristol-Myers-Squibb, Pfizer and Daiichi-Sankyo; he also received consultant and/or speaker fees from Bayer, Bristol-Myers-Squibb, Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim and Daiichi-Sankyo. The remaining authors have no disclosures to report.

References

- Camm AJ, Kirchhof P, Lip GY, Schotten U, Savelieva I, Ernst S, Van Gelder IC, Al-Attar N, Hindricks G, Prendergast B, Heidbuchel H, Alfieri O, Angelini A, Atar D, Colonna P, De Caterina R, De Sutter J, Goette A, Gorenek B, Heldal M, Hohloser SH, Kolh P, Le Heuzey JY, Ponikowski P, Rutten FH. Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: the Task Force for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Europace*. 2010;12:1360–1420.
- Krijthe BP, Kunst A, Benjamin EJ, Lip GY, Franco OH, Hofman A, Witteman JC, Stricker BH, Heeringa J. Projections on the number of individuals with atrial fibrillation in the European Union, from 2000 to 2060. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:2746–2751.
- Bunch TJ, Weiss JP, Crandall BG, May HT, Bair TL, Osborn JS, Anderson JL, Muhlestein JB, Horne BD, Lappe DL, Day JD. Atrial fibrillation is independently associated with senile, vascular, and Alzheimer's dementia. *Heart Rhythm*. 2010;7:433–437.
- Conen D, Chae CU, Glynn RJ, Tedrow UB, Everett BM, Buring JE, Albert CM. Risk of death and cardiovascular events in initially healthy women with new-onset atrial fibrillation. *JAMA*. 2011;305:2080–2087.
- Wang TJ, Larson MG, Levy D, Vasan RS, Leip EP, Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Murabito JM, Kannel WB, Benjamin EJ. Temporal relations of atrial fibrillation and congestive heart failure and their joint influence on mortality: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2920–2925.
- Wolf PA, Abbott RD, Kannel WB. Atrial fibrillation as an independent risk factor for stroke: the Framingham Study. *Stroke*. 1991;22:983–988.
- Emdin CA, Wong CX, Hsiao AJ, Altman DG, Peters SA, Woodward M, Odotayo AA. Atrial fibrillation as risk factor for cardiovascular disease and death in women compared with men: systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *BMJ*. 2016;532:h7013.
- Savelieva I, Paquette M, Dorian P, Luderitz B, Camm AJ. Quality of life in patients with silent atrial fibrillation. *Heart*. 2001;85:216–217.
- Rienstra M, Lubitz SA, Mahida S, Magnani JW, Fontes JD, Sinner MF, Van Gelder IC, Ellinor PT, Benjamin EJ. Symptoms and functional status of patients with atrial fibrillation: state of the art and future research opportunities. *Circulation*. 2012;125:2933–2943.
- Piccini JP, Simon DN, Steinberg BA, Thomas L, Allen LA, Fonarow GC, Gersh B, Hylek E, Kowey PR, Reiffel JA, Naccarelli GV, Chan PS, Spertus JA, Peterson ED. Differences in clinical and functional outcomes of atrial fibrillation in women and men: two-year results from the ORBIT-AF registry. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2016;1:282–291.
- Lip GY, Laroche C, Boriani G, Cimaglia P, Dan GA, Santini M, Kalarus Z, Rasmussen LH, Popescu MI, Tica O, Hellum CF, Mortensen B, Tavazzi L, Maggioni AP. Sex-related differences in presentation, treatment, and outcome of patients with atrial fibrillation in Europe: a report from the Euro Observational Research Programme Pilot Survey on Atrial Fibrillation. *Europace*. 2015;17:24–31.
- Xiong Q, Proietti M, Senoo K, Lip GY. Asymptomatic versus symptomatic atrial fibrillation: a systematic review of age/gender differences and cardiovascular outcomes. *Int J Cardiol*. 2015;191:172–177.
- Humphries KH, Kerr CR, Connolly SJ, Klein G, Boone JA, Green M, Sheldon R, Talajic M, Dorian P, Newman D. New-onset atrial fibrillation: sex differences in presentation, treatment, and outcome. *Circulation*. 2001;103:2365–2370.
- Berg J, Lindgren P, Nieuwlaat R, Bouin O, Crijns H. Factors determining utility measured with the EQ-5D in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Qual Life Res*. 2010;19:381–390.
- Steg PG, Alam S, Chiang CE, Gamra H, Goethals M, Inoue H, Krapf L, Lewalter T, Merioua I, Murin J, Naditch-Brule L, Ponikowski P, Rosenqvist M, Silva-Cardoso J, Zharinov O, Brette S, Neill JO. Symptoms, functional status and quality of life in patients with controlled and uncontrolled atrial fibrillation: data from the RealiseAF cross-sectional international registry. *Heart*. 2012;98:195–201.
- Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. *Ann Med*. 2001;33:337–343.
- Potpara TS, Marinkovic JM, Polovina MM, Stankovic GR, Seferovic PM, Ostojic MC, Lip GY. Gender-related differences in presentation, treatment and long-term outcome in patients with first-diagnosed atrial fibrillation and structurally normal heart: the Belgrade atrial fibrillation study. *Int J Cardiol*. 2012;161:39–44.
- Dagres N, Nieuwlaat R, Vardas PE, Andresen D, Levy S, Cobbe S, Kremastinos DT, Breithardt G, Cokkinos DV, Crijns HJ. Gender-related differences in presentation, treatment, and outcome of patients with atrial fibrillation in Europe: a report from the Euro Heart Survey on Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:572–577.
- Bulkova V, Fiala M, Havranek S, Simek J, Sknouril L, Januska J, Spinar J, Wichterle D. Improvement in quality of life after catheter ablation for paroxysmal versus long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation: a prospective study with 3-year follow-up. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2014;3:e000881. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.114.000881.
- Arbelo E, Brugada J, Lundqvist CB, Laroche C, Kautzner J, Pokushalov E, Raatikainen P, Efremidis M, Hindricks G, Barrera A, Maggioni A, Tavazzi L, Dagres N. Contemporary management of patients undergoing atrial fibrillation ablation: in-hospital and 1-year follow-up findings from the ESC-EHRA atrial fibrillation ablation long-term registry. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:1303–1316.
- Schnabel RB, Pecen L, Ojeda FM, Lucerna M, Rzyayeva N, Blankenberg S, Darius H, Kotecha D, Caterina R, Kirchhof P. Gender differences in clinical presentation and 1-year outcomes in atrial fibrillation. *Heart*. 2017;103:1024–1030.
- Avgil Tsadok M, Gagnon J, Joza J, Behlouli H, Verma A, Essebag V, Pilote L. Temporal trends and sex differences in pulmonary vein isolation for patients with atrial fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm*. 2015;12:1979–1986.
- Hnatkova K, Waktare JE, Murgatroyd FD, Guo X, Camm AJ, Malik M. Age and gender influences on rate and duration of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1998;21:2455–2458.
- Huikuri HV, Pikkujamsa SM, Airaksinen KE, Ikaheimo MJ, Rantala AO, Kauma H, Lijla M, Kesaniemi YA. Sex-related differences in autonomic modulation of heart rate in middle-aged subjects. *Circulation*. 1996;94:122–125.
- Aeschbacher S, Bossard M, Ruperti Repilado FJ, Good N, Schoen T, Zimny M, Probst-Hensch NM, Schmidt-Trucksass A, Risch M, Risch L, Conen D. Healthy lifestyle and heart rate variability in young adults. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2016;23:1037–1044.



Prospective Assessment of Sex–Related Differences in Symptom Status and Health Perception Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation

Steffen Blum, Christoph Muff, Stefanie Aeschbacher, Peter Ammann, Paul Erne, Giorgio Moschovitis, Marcello Di Valentino, Dipen Shah, Jürg Schläpfer, Andreas Fischer, Tamara Merkel, Michael Kühne, Christian Sticherling, Stefan Osswald and David Conen

J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e005401; originally published June 30, 2017;
doi: 10.1161/JAHA.116.005401

The *Journal of the American Heart Association* is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Online ISSN: 2047-9980

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://jaha.ahajournals.org/content/6/7/e005401>