How Symptomatic Should a Hypertrophic Obstructive Cardiomyopathy Patient Be to Consider Alcohol Septal Ablation?
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Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is a complex and heterogeneous disease with different anatomical variants, physiologic manifestations, and genetic underpinnings. Even asymptomatic patients with HCM are at potential risk for sudden cardiac death and require risk stratification and consideration of an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator for primary or secondary prophylaxis. However, the progression to symptomatic heart failure depends most often on upper septal hypertrophy with systolic anterior motion of the anterior mitral valve leaflet causing left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) obstruction, elevated gradients, mitral regurgitation, and often atrial fibrillation. Symptoms in patients with LVOT obstruction usually manifest as exertional dyspnea or chest pain, and β-blockers or calcium channel blockers are the mainstay of medical therapy to reduce LV contractile forces and associated LVOT gradients. When symptoms are refractory to medical management, surgical myectomy or alcohol septal ablation (ASA) is considered, and both have been shown to be effective in carefully selected patients.1 Nonrandomized studies have determined that patients after surgical myectomy or ASA have an expected long-term survival that is comparable to that of the general population, and superior to similar patients who do not undergo surgery.2,3 Historically though, these procedures have been reserved for patients with severe symptoms and LVOT gradients ≥50 mm Hg, with the primary objective of symptom improvement, as reflected in major society guidelines.4,5

In the current study by Veselka and colleagues in this issue of JAH A, the authors report the retrospective outcomes of 161 patients enrolled in the Euro-ASA registry from 1996 to 2016 who underwent ASA despite being classified as only having New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II symptoms.6 All patients had LVOT obstruction with gradients ≥50 mm Hg at rest or after provocation, and none reported angina or syncope. Importantly though, all patients reported symptoms that were refractory to medical therapy and a significantly reduced quality of life because of dyspnea. Reassuringly, the authors demonstrate that ASA in this population was safe with a 0.6% mortality at 30 days and a 9.4% rate of permanent pacemaker implantation, and show that long-term mortality was comparable to a matched cohort in the general population. Patients in the study were followed for 895 patient-years (median 4.8 years) of follow-up. ASA was shown to be effective, with 88% of patients experiencing sustained resting gradient reductions ≤30 mm Hg at last follow-up with only 9.3% of patients requiring repeat septal reduction (only 4 of whom went on to surgical myectomy). Importantly, the patients’ symptoms seemingly improved, with 69% reporting NYHA Class I symptoms at last follow-up, and only 2% of patients progressing to NYHA Class III symptoms. Therefore, the authors conclude that ASA was safe and effective in treating patients with symptomatic, medically refractory HCM with significant resting obstruction and NYHA Class II symptoms in this retrospective analysis.

There are several limitations of this retrospective study that should be mentioned. The first is that the authors do not have data on the use of provocative maneuvers to measure LVOT gradients postablation. The second is that we do not have data on the use of medications before or after ASA, which is a potential confounding variable, although we would have to assume that all patients were being treated with maximally tolerated doses. Finally, clinical improvement is only reported based on NYHA Class without other, objective measurements of quality of life or exercise capacity being available.

So how should the results of the current study be interpreted and applied? First, it must be noted that septal reduction procedures, either surgical or percutaneous, have not been shown in a randomized clinical trial to reduce long-
term mortality, and there are no data that support these invasive procedures in asymptomatic patients. There are, however, data to show that LVOT obstruction increases mortality risk in patients with hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy and that after myectomy, mortality is similar to that of patients without LVOT obstruction, suggesting potential mortality benefit of myectomy. However, because of this type of indirect evidence, the primary objective of any intervention is to improve symptoms or quality of life. The current study is consistent with this recommendation, as all patients had both objectively severe gradients, and symptoms that significantly impacted their quality of life. In fact, the severity of obstruction in this cohort was quite high with a mean resting LVOT gradient of 63±32 mm Hg. Thus, despite being labeled as NYHA Class II, it is perhaps somewhat unfair to consider these patients mildly symptomatic. By definition, Class II symptoms are described as a slight limitation of physical activity, comfortable at rest, but ordinary physical activity results in fatigue, palpitation, or dyspnea. Comparatively, Class III symptoms are defined as marked limitation of physical activity, comfortable at rest, but less than ordinary activity causes symptoms of heart failure.

There are obvious, potential limitations to using NYHA Class alone in evaluating patients with heart failure. Self-report measures such as the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) provide additional information about symptoms and quality of life. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing, 6-minute walk test, and NT-pro-BNP may also be useful in assessing the severity of symptoms.

Table. Methods for Evaluating Intermediately Symptomatic Patients With Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy and Obstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York Heart Association (NYHA)</td>
<td>Class I: No limitation of physical activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class II: Slight limitation of physical activity. Comfortable at rest. Ordinary physical activity results in fatigue, palpitation, or dyspnea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class III: Marked limitation of physical activity. Comfortable at rest. Less than ordinary activity causes fatigue, palpitation, or dyspnea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class IV: Unable to carry on any physical activity without discomfort. Symptoms of heart failure at rest. If any physical activity is undertaken, discomfort increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ)</td>
<td>23-item, self-administered questionnaire. Clinical score includes physical limitations and total symptom frequency and burden. Overall Score includes the clinical score plus measures of the stability of symptoms, self-efficacy or perceived ability to manage symptoms as an outpatient, quality of life, and social limitations. Also available as a short form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing</td>
<td>Symptom-limited treadmill exercise with respiratory gas analysis. Peak VO2 is measured over 30-s intervals during the test and ventilatory threshold (the point where body demands exceed the capacity for aerobic metabolism) is calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Minute Walk Test</td>
<td>Measures distance walked in a 6-minute time period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-Pro-BNP</td>
<td>Blood test that is elevated in a variety of heart failure conditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NT-Pro-BNP indicates N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.

Figure. Algorithm for evaluating patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with significant obstruction based on the severity of symptoms. ICD indicates implantable cardioverter defibrillator; KCCQ, Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.
reported symptoms are inherently subjective, and it is well known that some patients under-report the severity of their limitations, especially when a decline is experienced gradually or over a long period of time. Thus, it is no surprise that society guidelines do not place a specific requirement for NYHA Class as a criterion for considering septal reduction techniques, and the severity of symptoms is left to the clinician to determine based on all available tools. As such, there has been much interest in studying more granular or more objective tools to help further stratify and follow patients with heart failure over time (Table). Cardiopulmonary exercise testing has been an important tool in the evaluation of patients with valve disease and hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy when more mild symptoms are reported. In a study, peak VO₂ had a significant association with NYHA class, but the authors noted considerable overlap between NYHA classes I through III, indicating that many patients with significantly abnormal VO₂ were classified as NYHA Class I or II only. Other parameters have been shown to be predictive of outcomes in patients with NYHA Class I and II symptoms undergoing exercise stress testing including achieved metabolic equivalents and heart rate recovery. The 6-Minute Walk Test is an objective measure of exercise capacity that can be used, and is especially effective in following patients over time. Other tools have been developed to better quantify the subjective effects of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy on quality of life such as the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire, which may be useful in this population as poor quality of life was cited as a strong factor in patients undergoing ASA in the current study. Finally, even a simple measurement of plasma natriuretic peptide (brain natriuretic peptide) has been shown to correlate with both NYHA Class and mortality in patients with HCM, and can be another useful tool in patients with questionable symptoms.
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