Pulse Wave Velocity Predicts Response to Renal Denervation in Isolated Systolic Hypertension
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Background—Renal sympathetic denervation seems to be less effective as a treatment for hypertension in patients with isolated systolic hypertension, a condition associated with elevated central arterial stiffness. Because isolated systolic hypertension can also be caused by wave reflection or increased cardiac output, a more differentiated approach might improve patient preselection for renal sympathetic denervation. We sought to evaluate the additional predictive value of invasive pulse wave velocity for response to renal sympathetic denervation in patients with combined versus isolated systolic hypertension.

Methods and Results—Patients scheduled for renal sympathetic denervation underwent additional invasive measurement of pulse wave velocity and pulse pressure before denervation. Blood pressure was assessed via ambulatory measurement at baseline and after 3 months. In total 109 patients (40 patients with isolated systolic hypertension) were included in our analysis. After 3 months, blood pressure reduction was more pronounced among patients with combined hypertension compared with patients with isolated systolic hypertension (systolic 24-hour average 9.3±10.5 versus 5.0±11.5 mm Hg, P=0.046). However, when stratifying patients with isolated systolic hypertension by invasive pulse wave velocity, patients in the lowest tertile of pulse wave velocity had comparable blood pressure reduction (12.1±12.6 mm Hg, P=0.006) despite lower baseline blood pressure than patients with combined hypertension (systolic 24-hour average 154.8±12.5 mm Hg in combined hypertension versus 141.2±8.1, 148.4±10.9, and 150.5±12.7 mm Hg, respectively, by tertiles of pulse wave velocity, P=0.002).

Conclusions—Extended assessment of arterial stiffness can help improve patient preselection for renal sympathetic denervation and identify a subgroup of isolated systolic hypertension patients who benefit from sympathetic modulation. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e005879. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.117.005879.)
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Isolated systolic hypertension (ISH) was identified recently as a predictor of less pronounced response to renal sympathetic denervation (RDN) in therapy-resistant hypertension.1,2 ISH is believed to be a consequence of central arterial stiffness, which is caused by chronic vascular remodeling3 and thus less modifiable by RDN. Consequently, ISH has been proposed as an exclusion criterion for RDN.4,5

ISH can also be the consequence of pulse pressure amplification secondary to wave reflection from the peripheral vasculature, especially among younger patients,5 where it is representative of an elevated peripheral vascular tone, and it may be caused by increased cardiac output.7 Both parameters are suggestive of elevated sympathetic activity among these patients, hypothetically making them good candidates for RDN.

Selecting those patients with ISH but less vascular stiffness, thereby assuming that elevated peripheral vascular tone is an important contributor to ISH, could result in adequate blood pressure (BP) reduction despite the presence of ISH. We were recently able to demonstrate invasive pulse wave velocity (iPWV), the reference marker for vascular stiffness estimation,8 as an excellent predictor of response to RDN.9

In this study, we evaluated the ability of iPWV to differentiate between responders and nonresponders with ISH in a prospective cohort of patients with therapy-resistant hypertension.
Methods

Patients undergoing RDN at the University of Leipzig Heart Center underwent invasive assessment of central arterial stiffness before RDN. Patients were eligible if treated for resistant hypertension, defined as mean daytime systolic BP ≥135 mm Hg or diastolic BP ≥90 mm Hg in 24-hour ambulatory BP measurement (ABPM) despite intake of at least 3 antihypertensive agents, including 1 diuretic unless intolerant of diuretics. Antihypertensive medication had to be unchanged during the 4 weeks before RDN and was intended to remain stable for a follow-up period of 3 months. Only patients with stable antihypertensive medication after 3 months were included in the analysis. Patients with renal anatomy unsuitable for denervation were excluded. The study was performed according to the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. All patients provided written informed consent.

Ambulatory BP Measurement

ABPMs were acquired with a cuff-based oscillometric device (Spacelabs model 90207; Spacelabs Healthcare GmbH). Cuff size was adapted to the patient’s arm circumference by specially trained study nurses. BP recordings were performed every 15 minutes during the day (7 AM to 10 PM) and every 30 minutes at night (10 PM to 7 AM). BP recordings were analyzed with a dedicated software (CardioNavigator version 2.4.13; Del Mar Reynolds Medical).

Invasive Measurement of Arterial Stiffness

iPWV was determined immediately before renal denervation. A 6-French sheath was placed in the right femoral artery and a 4-French pigtail catheter (Cordis) in the ascending aorta. The foot-to-foot method was used to determine iPWV. iPWV was calculated using the following equation: \( v = \frac{\text{pigtail-length (m)}}{\text{foot-to-foot distance (m)/recording speed (m/s)}} \).

Invasive pulse pressure (iPP) was measured from the foot of the pressure wave in the ascending aorta to the top of the wave or directly from continuous invasive recordings. The average of 3 measurements was used to calculate iPWV and iPP.

Renal Sympathetic Denervation

RDN was performed according to a standardized protocol, as described previously.\(^{10,11}\) In brief, repeated ablation runs were delivered to each renal artery. The ablation points were placed circumferentially to the renal artery wall from distal to proximal. All patients received intravenous remifentanil to control visceral pain. Overall, 83 patients underwent ablation with a Symplicity Flex catheter, 21 patients were treated with Sypral catheters (both from Medtronic), and 27 patients underwent ablation with an ultrasound-based denervation system (Paradise; ReCor Medical).

Definitions

ISH was defined as 24-hour average diastolic BP <80 mm Hg on ABPM, as recommended in the latest practice guidelines for ABPM by the European Society of Hypertension.\(^{12}\) BP response was defined as a drop ≥5 mm Hg of daytime systolic BP on ABPM after 3 months, as recommended in a recent consensus paper by the European Society of Cardiology.\(^{5}\) Responder rate was defined as the percentage of patients fulfilling this criterion in the analyzed group.

Statistics

Categorical variables are expressed as number and percentage of patients. Continuous data are reported as mean and standard deviation or standard error of mean, as appropriate. Within-group change was assessed using a paired Student t test. Between-group differences were compared using a 2-tailed independent samples t test for continuous data or univariate ANOVA with post hoc-testing, as appropriate. The \( \chi^2 \) test was used for categorical variables. Because the tertiles of iPWV were not perfectly balanced, an additional age-adjusted regression model was calculated for the average drop in daytime BP at 3 months. In addition, a stepwise-forward logistic regression analysis for BP response at 3 months was calculated using previously described predictors for a successful RDN (office pulse pressure, presence of ISH, use of vasodilators and aldosterone antagonists as well as iPWV).\(^{1,9}\) All statistics were calculated using SPSS 19.0.0.2 (IBM Corp).

Results

In total, 131 consecutive patients underwent RDN and invasive measurement of iPWV and iPP at our center. Of these, 109 patients were on stable medication at 3-month follow-up and were included in analyses. Patients with ISH were split into 3 groups according to iPWV tertiles.

Baseline Characteristics

At baseline, patients with combined hypertension (CH) were younger than patients with ISH (Table 1) and had higher systolic and diastolic BP on ABPM average (Table 2). Comorbidities were balanced between the groups, with no significant differences in prevalence of diabetes mellitus or...
cardiovascular diseases. The mean number of prescribed antihypertensive drug classes was not different between the groups (CH versus ISH 5.0 ± 1.6 versus 4.8 ± 1.3), and drug classes did not differ significantly between the groups apart from renin antagonists, which were prescribed more frequently among patients with CH with small absolute numbers (Table 3).

Invasive Measurements
iPWV was lower in patients with CH compared with patients with ISH (15.2 ± 3.7 versus 17.8 ± 5.6 m/s, P = 0.014) but was lowest in the lower tertile of patients with ISH (Figure 1A). iPP was lowest in patients with CH and increased among the iPWV tertiles in patients with ISH (87.6 ± 21.6 in CH versus 107 ± 22.1 mm Hg in ISH, P < 0.001) (Figure 1B).

BP Reduction
After 3 months, ABPM 24-hour systolic BP decreased by 9.3 ± 10.5 mm Hg in the CH group and by 5.0 ± 11.5 mm Hg in the ISH group, ABPM 24-hour diastolic BP decreased by 6.4 ± 7.5 mm Hg in the CH group and by 1.9 ± 4.7 mm Hg in the ISH group (P = 0.046 and P < 0.001, respectively, for between-group comparison, for systolic change within groups P < 0.001 and P < 0.001 and for diastolic change P = 0.010 and 0.013, respectively). Using the median of our previously published study on iPWV,9 patients with iPWV < 14.4 m/s had tertile 1 (n = 13) ISH tertile 2 (n = 14) Tertile 3 (n = 13) P Value (Tertile vs CH)

All values are in mm Hg. CH indicates combined hypertension; ISH, isolated systolic hypertension.
a significantly better daytime BP response than patients above of this value (11.7±12.7 versus 7.2±10.4 mm Hg, \(P=0.047\)) (Figure 2). When stratifying patients with ISH by iPWV tertiles, patients in the lower tertile and patients with CH had the most pronounced reductions in daytime BP compared with the middle and upper tertiles (Figure 2). This

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Baseline Medication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CH</strong> (n=69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of drug classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5 drug classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin receptor antagonists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renin antagonists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta blockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second diuretic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldosterone antagonists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasodilators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha blockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrally acting sympatholytics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CH indicates combined hypertension; ISH, isolated systolic hypertension.
difference persisted after adjusting for age ($P=0.032$). In contrast, stratification of patients with CH among iPWV tertiles did not reveal any significant difference (Figure S1).

Responder rates were 75% for patients with CH and 50% for patients with ISH ($P=0.007$). Among lower, middle, and upper iPWV tertiles, rates were 77%, 50%, and 23%, respectively ($P=0.001$). No difference was observed among the 3 applied ablation devices ($P=0.21$ and $P=0.27$ for 24-hour and daytime values, respectively). Because most patients in this trial were treated with the Symplicity Flex device, a subanalysis of these patients was performed. A strong trend toward better results in patients with lower

Figure 2. Mean change in daytime systolic blood pressure 3 months after renal sympathetic denervation in patients with combined hypertension (CH) and isolated systolic hypertension (ISH) (A), patients with invasive pulse wave velocity (iPWV) >14.4 m/s and <14.4 m/s (B), patients with CH vs ISH stratified among the tertiles of iPWV (C), and patients with CH and patients in the lower tertile of iPWV vs patients in the middle and upper tertiles of iPWV (D).
iPWV was found without reaching statistical significance ($P=0.07$) (Figure S2).

A multivariate logistic regression analysis for BP response after 3 months revealed iPWV as the only significant predictor ($P=0.037$; odds ratio 0.91 per 1 m/s, 95% CI 0.83–0.99).

**Discussion**

Recent results of RDN trials underscore the importance of defining optimal candidates for this procedure. Ideally, the level of sympathetic activation before RDN should differentiate between responders and nonresponders, since RDN can be effective only if sympathetic overdrive is the key contributor to therapy-resistant hypertension. Unfortunately, techniques to assess sympathetic activity in a robust and safe manner are still lacking. Alternatively, ISH has been suggested as a surrogate for advanced and at least partly irreversible central arterial stiffness, which would be unaffected by RDN. Consequently, ISH is considered an exclusion criterion in the latest RDN hypertension trials.

Our results, however, indicate that patients with ISH show a heterogeneous response to RDN rather than uniform nonresponse. Apparently, among patients in whom elevated pulse pressure (and resulting ISH) was predominately mediated by factors other than central stiffness, RDN was effective. The most pronounced BP reduction in the low iPWV tertile is intriguing, given the lowest baseline systolic BP in this cohort; higher baseline BP is usually associated with more pronounced BP reduction.

Several different pathologies can contribute to an elevated pulse pressure, resulting in the clinical phenomenon of ISH: (1) increased stroke volume, (2) increased central arterial stiffness and impedance, and (3) pulse pressure amplification caused by wave reflection from the periphery. Discrimination among these components is of relevance because, unlike central stiffness caused by structural remodelling, peripheral vasoconstriction and stroke volume are believed to be directly or indirectly influenced by sympathetic overdrive. This is in line with the results from a recent study that found a reduction in systemic resistance to be the key effector of RDN. Our data support the hypotheses that ISH can also be caused by increased sympathetic activity and that this subgroup represents a patient population that could benefit from RDN.

Our findings might also explain why the use of iPP and noninvasive central pulse pressure as predictors of nonresponse might be inferior to iPWV: iPP indicates central pressure amplification, leading to ISH, but unlike iPWV, iPP is not specific to central arterial stiffness.

Importantly, iPWV and iPP are sex and age dependent. Among healthy persons, pulse pressure amplification measured by aortic augmentation index increases asymptotically over the first 5 to 6 decades and reaches a plateau thereafter. In contrast, aortic pulse wave velocity follows a flattened exponential course that inclines mostly after the fifth decade. The 2 subgroups with the most BP improvement after RDN in our analysis were younger and had lower pulse wave velocity than the other patients, in whom RDN seemed to be ineffective. Simplified, age is a broad marker of arterial stiffness. However, because age has not been found to be an independent predictor of response in larger cohort studies, we believe that assessing arterial stiffness and estimating the potential to reverse those pathologies that contribute to arterial hypertension represent a better approach than relying on patient age.

**Limitations**

The value of our findings is limited in 2 major respects. First, our cohort consists of patients with severe therapy-resistant hypertension and severely altered vasculature, as indicated by highly pathological overall iPWV values; therefore, these results cannot be transferred to a general hypertensive population. Second, our cohort lacks thorough assessment of other hemodynamic parameters, such as cardiac output, stroke volume, and a more detailed analysis of the wave reflection and augmentation, as well as noninvasive assessment of pulse wave velocity, leaving a detailed investigation of these interesting factors as a task for future trials. Moreover, direct measurement of sympathetic nervous activity would improve interpretation of our results; however, this is known to be a challenging task in human trials. In addition, because it is more reliable than office BP, we used an ABPM-based definition for ISH in our analysis. The fact that previous publications mostly used the office BP-based definition should be considered when comparing results of individual studies. Finally, because patients were on combined drug treatment, we cannot exclude the possibility that some of the patients now diagnosed with ISH would not fulfill this criterion if they were drug naïve.

**Conclusions**

Among patients with ISH, low iPWV—and thus a lower degree of arterial stiffening—indicates a subgroup that might benefit from RDN. Consequently, ISH might not generally be considered an exclusion criterion for interventional treatment of arterial hypertension using RDN. For future trials, systematic assessment of the factors leading to BP elevation might be a superior approach to preselect patients who are likely to benefit the most from RDN.
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**Figure S1.** Mean change in 24 h systolic blood pressure after three months among patients with combined hypertension, stratified among the tertiles of invasive pulse wave velocity (iPWV, n = 69).

![Diagram showing mean change in 24 h systolic blood pressure among patients with combined hypertension, stratified among the tertiles of invasive pulse wave velocity (iPWV, n = 69).](image-url)
Figure S2. Mean change in 24 h systolic blood pressure after three months among patients with combined hypertension and isolated systolic hypertension, stratified among the tertiles of invasive pulse wave velocity (iPWV) in patients treated with the Symplicity Flex device (n = 65).
Pulse Wave Velocity Predicts Response to Renal Denervation in Isolated Systolic Hypertension
Karl Fengler, Karl-Philipp Rommel, Robert Hoellriegel, Stephan Blazek, Christian Besler, Steffen Desch, Gerhard Schuler, Axel Linke and Philipp Lurz

*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6:e005879; originally published May 17, 2017;
doi: 10.1161/JAHA.117.005879

The *Journal of the American Heart Association* is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Online ISSN: 2047-9980

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://jaha.ahajournals.org/content/6/5/e005879