

# Heritability of Vascular Structure and Function: A Parent–Child Study

Justin R. Ryder, PhD; Nathan D. Pankratz, PhD; Donald R. Dengel, PhD; James S. Pankow, PhD; David R. Jacobs, Jr, PhD; Alan R. Sinaiko, MD; Vasu Gooty, MD; Julia Steinberger, MD, MS

**Background**—Understanding the heritable contribution of vascular measures, from parent to offspring, may aid in risk stratification and atherosclerosis prevention efforts. We hypothesized that measures of vascular structure and function would be heritable in this cohort of parents and their adolescent offspring.

**Methods and Results**—High-resolution ultrasound scans of the brachial and carotid arteries were obtained in parents ( $n=558$ ) and their offspring ( $n=369$ ). Lumen diameter and flow-mediated dilation were measured in the brachial artery. Intima-media thickness, lumen diameter, incremental elastic modulus, diameter distensibility, and cross-sectional distensibility were measured, and carotid cross-sectional compliance was measured in the carotid artery. Carotid–radial pulse wave velocity was obtained using SphygmoCor<sup>®</sup>. Heritability analysis ( $h^2$ , expressed as %) using Sequential Oligogenic Linkage Analysis Routines was performed on the entire cohort and adjusted for age, sex, race, body-mass index, smoking, and mean arterial pressure. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SE. Measures of brachial artery diameter ( $h^2=25\pm 9\%$ ,  $P=0.001$ ), lumen diameter ( $h^2=55\pm 9\%$ ,  $P<0.001$ ), intima-media thickness ( $h^2=29\pm 13\%$ ,  $P=0.014$ ), diameter distensibility ( $h^2=28\pm 7\%$ ,  $P<0.001$ ), cross-sectional distensibility ( $h^2=27\pm 7\%$ ,  $P<0.001$ ), and pulse wave velocity ( $h^2=26\pm 9\%$ ,  $P<0.001$ ) were significantly heritable. Flow-mediated dilation and incremental elastic modulus were not significantly heritable. Similar associations were observed in analysis restricted to siblings and complete Trios (mother, father, and child).

**Conclusions**—These data show that the majority of noninvasive measures of vascular structure and function are heritable, suggesting that measurement of these subclinical risk factors in parents may be helpful in assessing childhood risk for future cardiovascular disease. (*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6:e004757. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.004757.)

**Key Words:** carotid artery • carotid intima-media thickness • heritability • vascular endothelial function • vascular imaging

Risk factors for cardiovascular disease, including insulin sensitivity,<sup>1</sup> blood pressure,<sup>2</sup> lipids, cholesterol,<sup>3,4</sup> anthropometric measures, and obesity<sup>3,5</sup> have been shown to have significant heritability.<sup>1</sup> However, the heritability of measures of subclinical atherosclerosis have not been well studied.

Noninvasive measures of vascular structure and function are used as surrogate measures of subclinical atherosclerosis.<sup>6</sup> These include measures of arterial stiffness, flow-mediated

dilation (FMD), and carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT), which all have been shown to be predictive of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in adulthood.<sup>7–9</sup> Age, sex, blood pressure, and obesity are well-described modifiers of these noninvasive measures of subclinical atherosclerosis.<sup>10–14</sup> From a cardiovascular disease prevention perspective, understanding the multitude of factors and their corresponding level of contribution to adverse subclinical atherosclerotic risk may aid in risk stratification for individuals early in life.

Genetics<sup>15</sup> has been shown to play a role in modification of the vascular system and twin studies have demonstrated a genetic or heritable association to cardiovascular disease risk,<sup>16–18</sup> including noninvasive measures of subclinical atherosclerosis.<sup>19</sup> However, twin studies provide an upper limit estimate of heritability and may not be applicable to nontwin populations. Moreover, few studies have examined vascular measures among nontwins or among parents and offspring,<sup>20–22</sup> and to our knowledge no studies have examined parent–child relationships while the offspring were measured exclusively during childhood.

The purpose of this study was to examine the heritable relationships of multiple noninvasive measures of vascular

From the Departments of Pediatrics (J.R.R., D.R.D., A.R.S., V.G., J.S.) and Lab Medicine and Pathology (N.D.P.), University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, MN; School of Kinesiology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN (D.R.D.); Division of Epidemiology & Community Health, University of Minnesota School of Public Health, Minneapolis, MN (J.S.P., D.R.J.).

**Correspondence to:** Justin R. Ryder, PhD, Department of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota Medical School, 420 Delaware St S.E., MMC 715, Minneapolis, MN 55455. E-mail: jryder@umn.edu

Received September 24, 2016; accepted January 11, 2017.

© 2017 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley Blackwell. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

structure and function among parents and their offspring during childhood, while accounting for other risk factors. In addition to the general heritability analyses, specific analyses were conducted among nontwin siblings, fathers and their offspring, mothers and their offspring, and complete trios (where mother, father, and child are present) in order to better clarify these associations.

## Methods

### Participants

The original cohort for this study (N=10 423) underwent blood pressure screening in first to third grade in the Minneapolis Public Schools during the 1977–1978 school year. Following this screening, participants were selected for long-term evaluation of cardiovascular risk factors; the longitudinal cohort was composed of all children from the top and bottom 5 percentiles of the normal systolic blood pressure distribution, 50% of the remaining black children, and 1 out of 9 of the remaining white children.<sup>23</sup> These children have been followed since the initial screening and are the parents for the present analysis.

The present sectional study was conducted in 558 of the originally recruited group of parents, current mean (SD) age 39.2 (2.1) years and 369 of their offspring, current mean (SD) age 12.4 (4.6) years. Parents and children were included if they had undergone vascular data collection at the University of Minnesota—Clinical Translational Science Institute and were paired with a sibling, or a parent, or a child participating in the same study. All participants were examined by the same study personnel and followed the same protocols. The study protocol(s) were approved by the University of Minnesota Institutional Review Board, and consent/assent was obtained from parents/children.

### Anthropometrics, Blood Pressure, and Smoking Status

All testing was performed in the morning after the participants had been fasting (including no caffeine consumption) for a minimum of 8 hours. Height and weight were determined using a wall-mounted stadiometer and an electronic scale, respectively. Body-mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. BMI percentiles were determined using age- and sex-based Center for Disease Control definitions.<sup>24</sup>

Blood pressure was measured twice on the right arm with a random-zero sphygmomanometer with subjects in the seated position. The averages of the 2 measurements (systolic and fifth phase Korotkoff diastolic) were used in the analyses for

systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Smoking status was determined by self-report.

### Measurement of Endothelial Function, Vascular Structure, and Arterial Stiffness

Endothelial function was expressed by brachial artery FMD. FMD was measured via standard ultrasound using an 8 to 15 MHz linear array transducer to obtain B-mode images (Sequoia 512; Siemens, New York, NY) following current guidelines.<sup>25</sup> An electronic wall-tracking software program (Medical Imaging Applications, Coralville, IA) was used for the measurement of brachial artery diameter and blood flow. Following baseline measurements, a blood pressure cuff was placed on the forearm (distal to the imaged area) and inflated to a suprasystolic level (>200 mm Hg) for 5 minutes. After 5 minutes, cuff occlusion was released and B-mode ultrasound images were captured for ≈3 minutes after release. The maximum diameter recorded following reactive hyperemia was reported relative to baseline vessel diameter (FMD% = peak diameter – baseline diameter / baseline diameter). The same group of sonographers conducted the measurements under the supervision of the same laboratory director throughout. Our laboratory has previously documented satisfactory FMD reproducibility.<sup>26</sup>

Vascular structure was expressed by carotid intima-medial thickness (cIMT). Images for determining cIMT were obtained at end-diastole (gated by R wave on ECG) using B-mode images of the far wall of the left common carotid artery. Measurements were obtained at the distal 10 mm of the common carotid artery as recommended by pediatric guidelines.<sup>27</sup> An electronic wall-tracking software program was used for the analysis of cIMT. Our laboratory has previously documented satisfactory cIMT reproducibility.<sup>28</sup>

Carotid arteries also were imaged to capture the left common carotid artery lumen diastolic and systolic diameters to determine carotid incremental elastic modulus (cIEM, mm Hg), carotid diameter distensibility (cDD, %), carotid cross-sectional distensibility (cCSD, %), carotid diameter compliance (mm/mm Hg), and carotid cross-sectional compliance (cCSC, mm<sup>2</sup>/mm Hg). Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were recorded with an automated blood pressure sphygmomanometer (Colin Medical Instruments Corp, San Antonio, TX) during the 10-s measurements. The ultrasound scanning system was interfaced with a standard computer, with images collected at 20 frames/s for 10 s (200 frames) to ensure the capture of full arterial diameter change during a cardiac cycle and calculated using a standard formula.<sup>29</sup> Arterial stiffness was also measured by carotid-radial pulse wave velocity (PWV) (SphygmoCor<sup>®</sup> system; AtCor Medical, Sydney, Australia).

PWV was calculated as distance (m)/transit time (s). The distance was measured between the carotid and radial sites and the sternal notch.

### Statistical Analysis

All heritability analysis ( $h^2$ , expressed as %) was performed using the variance components approach as implemented in the software package Sequential Oligogenic Linkage Analysis Routines (SOLAR).<sup>30</sup> The heritability of a given phenotype was determined using the variance components technique (SOLAR), and a likelihood ratio test was used to test whether the heritability of a given phenotype was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) greater than zero. Covariates of age, sex, race, BMI, smoking, and mean arterial pressure were controlled for in analysis. Subanalyses were conducted to examine heritability among complete trios (mother, father, and offspring), mother and their offspring, and father and their offspring. Associations between nontwin siblings or between a single parent and their offspring were conducted using partial Pearson correlations adjusted for age, sex, and race. Descriptive data are presented as mean (SE).

### Results

Descriptive and vascular characteristics of parents and their offspring are displayed in Table 1. Along with main analysis conducted on the entire cohort (n=927), subanalyses were conducted on nontwin siblings (n=338), a single adult parent and their offspring (n=447), complete trios (eg, mother and father and child), (n=136), mothers and their offspring (n=291), and fathers and their offspring (n=186).

Table 2 shows results from SOLAR analysis generating  $h^2$  estimates for vascular structure and function on the entire cohort. Model 1 adjusts for age, sex, and race; Model 2 adjusts for all factors included in Model 1 plus BMI; and Model 3 further adjusts for mean arterial pressure and smoking status. In the fully adjusted model (Model 3), brachial artery diameter ( $h^2=24.6\pm 8.5\%$ ;  $P=0.001$ ), carotid lumen diameter (cLD) ( $h^2=55.4\pm 8.8$ ;  $P<0.001$ ), cIMT ( $h^2=29.4\pm 12.6$ ;  $P=0.012$ ), cDD ( $h^2=27.6\pm 7.0$ ;  $P<0.001$ ), cCSD ( $h^2=26.5\pm 6.9$ ;  $P<0.001$ ), cCSC ( $h^2=26.9\pm 8.5$ ,  $P<0.001$ ), and PWV ( $h^2=26.3\pm 8.9$ ;  $P<0.001$ ) had statistically significant heritabilities. FMD, cIEM, and carotid diameter compliance were not significantly heritable. Adjusting for lipid values did not appreciably alter the results and was not included in the final models.

We examined the associations of measures of vascular structure and function among nontwin siblings as well as single parents and their offspring (Table 3). Partial person correlations were adjusted for age, sex, and race. Among siblings, brachial artery diameter ( $r=0.14$ ,  $P=0.012$ ), cLD

**Table 1.** Descriptive Characteristics and Measures of Vascular Structure and Function of Parents and Their Offspring

	Parents n=558	Children (Offspring) n=369
Overall n=927		
Age, y	39.20±2.05	12.37±4.59
Sex, n (%)	270 M/288 F 48.4% M/51.6% F	199 M/170 F 53.9% M/46.1% F
Race, n (%)		
White	371 (66.5%)	231 (62.6%)
Black	139 (24.9%)	110 (29.8%)
Other races	48 (8.6%)	28 (7.6%)
Height, cm	171.51±10.42	151.67±19.80
Weight, kg	86.43±22.86	52.42±26.12
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	29.43±7.28	21.51±6.52
BMI—percentile, %	...	63.47±30.19
SBP, mm Hg	115.01±14.15	103.82±10.32
DBP, mm Hg	73.36±11.51	58.21±8.03
BF, %	35.36±10.98	25.87±12.02
Brachial artery diameter, mm	3.84±0.72	3.18±0.60
FMD, %	6.44±3.80	7.81±3.70
cIMT, mm	0.52±0.08	0.45±0.04
cLD, mm	6.31±0.75	6.03±0.59
cIEM, mm Hg	1851.14±751.16	982.62±440.80
cDD, %	7.86±2.17	14.64±3.84
cCSD, %	16.36±4.68	31.57±8.88
cDC, mm/mm Hg	10.01±0.44	15.48±0.52
cCSC, mm <sup>2</sup> /mm Hg	11.00±4.33	16.31±5.27
PWV, m/s	7.95±1.31	6.97±1.23

Data are mean±SD. BF indicates body fat; BMI, body-mass index; cCSC, carotid cross-sectional compliance; cCSD, carotid cross-sectional distensibility; cDC, carotid diameter compliance; cDD, carotid diameter distensibility; cIEM, carotid incremental elastic modulus; cIMT, carotid intima media thickness; cLD, carotid lumen diameter; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FMD, flow-mediated dilation; PWV, pulse wave velocity; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

( $r=0.31$ ,  $P<0.001$ ), cIEM ( $r=0.16$ ,  $P=0.002$ ), cDD ( $r=0.15$ ,  $P=0.005$ ), cCSD ( $r=0.15$ ,  $P=0.005$ ), cCSC ( $r=0.21$ ,  $P<0.001$ ), and PWV ( $r=0.24$ ,  $P<0.001$ ) were significantly correlated. Among single parents and their offspring, brachial artery diameter ( $r=0.11$ ,  $P=0.021$ ), cLD ( $r=0.22$ ,  $P<0.001$ ), cDD ( $r=0.19$ ,  $P<0.001$ ), cCSD ( $r=0.19$ ,  $P=0.003$ ), cCSC ( $r=0.14$ ,  $P=0.015$ ), and PWV ( $r=0.14$ ,  $P=0.018$ ) all were significantly correlated.

Table 4 shows heritability among complete trios (father, mother, and a child), between mothers and their offspring, and between fathers and their offspring. All models were adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, mean arterial pressure, and smoking status.

**Table 2.** Familial Relationships of Measures of Vascular Structure and Function for Entire Cohort (n=927)

Measure	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	Age, Sex, and Race		Model 1+BMI		Model 2+MAP+Smoking	
	h <sup>2</sup> (SE)	P Value	h <sup>2</sup> (SE)	P Value	h <sup>2</sup> (SE)	P Value
Brachial artery diameter, mm	27.2 (8.2)	<0.001	22.3 (8.5)	0.003	24.6 (8.5)	0.001
FMD (%)*	7.3 (8.3)	0.19	4.3 (8.4)	0.31	3.5 (8.4)	0.34
cLD, mm	60.2 (8.5)	<0.001	54.7 (8.9)	<0.001	55.4 (8.8)	<0.001
cIMT, mm	26.1 (13.4)	0.027	26.8 (12.9)	0.02	29.4 (12.6)	0.012
cIEM, mm Hg	18.7 (9.9)	0.027	13.4 (9.4)	0.071	11.9 (9.3)	0.09
cDD (%)	28.6 (7.0)	<0.001	28.6 (7.0)	<0.001	27.6 (7.0)	<0.001
cCSD (%)	27.4 (6.9)	<0.001	27.4 (6.9)	<0.001	26.5 (6.9)	<0.001
cDC, mm/mm Hg	8.0 (9.7)	0.20	7.0 (9.6)	0.22	3.4 (9.3)	0.35
cCSC, mm <sup>2</sup> /mm Hg	28.6 (8.5)	<0.001	28.6 (8.5)	<0.001	26.9 (8.5)	<0.001
PWV, m/s	22.9 (8.9)	0.003	23.3 (8.9)	0.003	26.3 (8.9)	<0.001

Model 1 is adjusted for age, sex, and race of both parents and offspring; Model 2 further adjusts Model 1 for BMI of both parent and offspring; Model 3 further adjusts Model 2 for MAP and smoking status of both parent and offspring. BMI indicates body-mass index; cCSC, carotid cross-sectional compliance; cCSD, carotid cross-sectional distensibility; cDC, carotid diameter compliance; cDD, carotid diameter distensibility; cIEM, carotid incremental elastic modulus; cIMT, carotid intima media thickness; cLD, carotid lumen diameter; FMD, flow-mediated dilation; h<sup>2</sup>, heritability analysis; MAP, mean arterial pressure; PWV, pulse wave velocity.

\*Denotes all models further adjusted for brachial artery diameter.

Estimates of cIMT, FMD for father-offspring, and carotid diameter compliance for mother-offspring could not be reliably performed even after data transformations were attempted. Among complete trios, brachial artery diameter (h<sup>2</sup>=21.4±12.3%; P=0.035), cLD (h<sup>2</sup>=58.3±10.3; P<0.001),

cIEM (h<sup>2</sup>=30.2±11.8; P=0.005), cDD (h<sup>2</sup>=33.2±9.9; P<0.001), cCSD (h<sup>2</sup>=30.6±9.8; P<0.001), cCSC (h<sup>2</sup>=23.5±10.7, P=0.012), and PWV (h<sup>2</sup>=29.4±12.2; P=0.006) all had significant heritability estimates. Among mothers and their offspring, brachial artery diameter (h<sup>2</sup>=37.5±10.2%; P<0.001), cLD (h<sup>2</sup>=49.2±10.6; P<0.001), cDD (h<sup>2</sup>=23.4±9.8; P=0.006), cCSD (h<sup>2</sup>=22.9±9.7; P=0.007), cCSC (h<sup>2</sup>=19.4±9.9, P=0.02), and PWV (h<sup>2</sup>=33.3±11.0; P<0.001) all had significant heritability estimates. Among fathers and their offspring, cLD (h<sup>2</sup>=74.1±12.8; P<0.001), cIEM (h<sup>2</sup>=42.7±12.7; P<0.001), cDD (h<sup>2</sup>=41.5±10.7; P<0.001), cCSD (h<sup>2</sup>=40.5±10.7; P<0.001), cCSC (h<sup>2</sup>=51.0±12.8, P<0.001), and PWV (h<sup>2</sup>=23.1±13.0; P=0.032) all had significant heritability estimates.

**Table 3.** Association Between Vascular Structure and Function Measures Among Siblings and Parent and Their Offspring

Measure	Siblings (n=338)		Parent-Offspring (n=477)	
	r	P Value	R	P Value
Brachial artery diameter, mm	0.14	0.012	0.11	0.021
FMD, %*	0.04	0.53	0.01	0.90
cLD, mm	0.31	<0.001	0.22	<0.001
cIMT, mm	0.11	0.052	0.08	0.073
cIEM, mm Hg	0.16	0.002	0.04	0.36
cDD, %	0.15	0.005	0.19	<0.001
cCSD, %	0.15	0.005	0.19	<0.001
cDC, mm/mm Hg	-0.03	0.58	0.03	0.46
cCSC, mm <sup>2</sup> /mm Hg	0.21	<0.001	0.14	0.015
PWV, m/s	0.24	<0.001	0.14	0.018

Data are partial Pearson correlations adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, MAP, and smoking of both participants. BMI indicates body-mass index; cCSC, carotid cross-sectional compliance; cCSD, carotid cross-sectional distensibility; cDC, carotid diameter compliance; cDD, carotid diameter distensibility; cIEM, carotid incremental elastic modulus; cIMT, carotid intima media thickness; cLD, carotid lumen diameter; FMD, flow-mediated dilation; MAP, mean arterial pressure; PWV, pulse wave velocity.

\*Denotes further adjustment for brachial artery diameter.

### Conclusion

The results from this study show that in a large, multiracial cohort of adults and their children, the majority of noninvasive measures of vascular structure and function have a significant heritable relationship. To our knowledge this is the first study conducted in parents and children prior to adulthood. Of the variables measured, cLD had the highest level of heritability. Most measures of arterial stiffness, cIMT, and brachial artery diameter showed only modest heritable relationships, and FMD was not found to be heritable in any of the analyses performed. Nontwin siblings demonstrated familial vascular measurement associations that were similar to the associations of parents and their offspring. Heritable relationships in

**Table 4.** Relationship of Mothers, Fathers, or Complete Trios (Both Mother and Father) With Their Offspring for Measures of Vascular Structure and Function

Measure	Complete Trios (n=136)		Mother-Offspring (n=291)		Father-Offspring (n=186)	
	h <sup>2</sup> (SE)	P Value	h <sup>2</sup> (SE)	P Value	h <sup>2</sup> (SE)	P Value
Brachial artery diameter, mm	21.4 (12.3)	0.035	37.5 (10.2)	<0.001	34.0 (11.6)	<0.001
FMD (%) <sup>*</sup>	2.2 (12.4)	0.43	18.6 (14.1)	0.08	N/A <sup>†</sup>	N/A <sup>†</sup>
cLD, mm	58.3 (10.3)	<0.001	49.2 (10.6)	<0.001	74.1 (12.8)	<0.001
cIMT, mm	N/A <sup>†</sup>	N/A <sup>†</sup>	N/A <sup>†</sup>	N/A <sup>†</sup>	N/A <sup>†</sup>	N/A <sup>†</sup>
cIEM, mm Hg	30.2 (11.8)	0.005	5.3 (10.9)	0.31	42.7 (12.7)	<0.001
cDD (%)	33.2 (9.9)	<0.001	23.4 (9.8)	0.006	41.5 (10.7)	<0.001
cCSD (%)	30.6 (9.8)	<0.001	22.9 (9.7)	0.007	40.5 (10.7)	<0.001
cDC, mm/mm Hg	8.6 (10.1)	0.19	N/A <sup>†</sup>	N/A <sup>†</sup>	6.2 (10.2)	0.27
cCSC, mm <sup>2</sup> /mm Hg	23.5 (10.7)	0.012	19.4 (9.9)	0.02	51.0 (12.8)	<0.001
PWV, m/s	29.4 (12.2)	0.006	33.3 (11.0)	<0.001	23.1 (13.0)	0.032

Data are adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, MAP, and smoking of both parents and offspring. BMI indicates body-mass index; cCSC, carotid cross-sectional compliance; cCSD, carotid cross-sectional distensibility; cDC, carotid diameter compliance; cDD, carotid diameter distensibility; cIEM, carotid incremental elastic modulus; cIMT, carotid intima media thickness; cLD, carotid lumen diameter; FMD, flow-mediated dilation; h<sup>2</sup>, heritability analysis; MAP, mean arterial pressure; PWV, pulse wave velocity.

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes all models further adjusted for brachial artery diameter.

<sup>†</sup>N/A, estimates were unable to be reliably performed.

complete trios were similar to the whole cohort analyses. Examination of parent-offspring relationships showed greater heritability estimates for cLD and measures of arterial stiffness for father-offspring than mother-offspring. All of these relationships were independent of other factors such as age, sex, race, BMI, smoking, and mean arterial pressure.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine heritability for PWV, FMD, and measures of carotid compliance and dispensability (cDD, cCSD, CSC1, and carotid diameter compliance) in parents and their offspring. However, prior studies have measured heritability of other vascular measurements. Similar to this study, significant heritability estimates for cLD, cIMT, and cIEM were reported by North and colleagues from a multigenerational cohort of adult American Indians in the Strong Heart Family Study.<sup>20</sup> By contrast, our adjusted heritability estimates were higher for cLD (55.4% versus 44%), cIMT (28.1% versus 21%), and lower for cIEM or  $\beta$  (12.7% versus 23%), but the CIs overlap each other. These differences may be possibly attributable to differences in age (ie, our inclusion of adolescents) and racial/ethnic groups included in the 2 studies. For cIMT, our heritability estimates are similar to that of Xiang and colleagues,<sup>31</sup> who estimated heritability from parents to offspring in hypertensive Latino families (28.1% versus 34%) and Zannad et al, in a study of parents and their adolescent and young adult offspring (28.1% versus 30%).<sup>32</sup>

However, our findings are not in line with a small cohort study examining the relationship of brachial artery diameter and FMD among mono- and dizygotic twins (n=22). Hopkins

and colleagues found a significant heritable relationship between twins for FMD but a nonsignificant relationship for brachial artery diameter.<sup>19</sup> The present study found the opposite: We report significant heritability for brachial artery diameter in the cohort as a whole, siblings, single parent and offspring, and complete trios, but no significant relationships for FMD. While it is not clear why these conflicting results occurred, it may simply be related to twin studies not being an ideal comparison as opposed to our familial study or the advantage of studying a substantially larger cohort. Of additional interest is our observation that father-offspring heritability estimates for measures of cLD and arterial stiffness were consistently higher than that of mother-offspring, despite similar levels of significance. To our knowledge, none of the previously published studies examined heritability of vascular measures by sex of parents, making comparisons challenging and representing a future direction for other studies to explore.

This study had some potential limitations. The sample size was small, possibly affecting subanalyses, and we were unable to examine racial differences. The cross-sectional nature, as opposed to longitudinal data, did not permit evaluation of the heritable measures relative to cardiovascular outcomes. Our use of carotid-radial PWV is not the standard measurement location of arterial stiffness for this measure (eg, carotid-femoral PWV is standard) and may have affected our findings for this metric. Additionally, the measurement of blood pressure did not comply with current guidelines, as these data were collected before measures in triplicate using

an automated cuff were standard. These limitations are offset by the study strengths, including the large sample of adults and offspring; examination of offspring prior to adulthood; equal sex distribution; racial diversity; an ability to control for several important covariates; and a broad-based measure of vascular structure and function.

In conclusion, we observed in a large cohort of parents and their child-adolescent offspring that the majority of noninvasive measures of vascular structure and function are heritable. Measures of structure showed stronger degrees of heritability than those related to functionality of vessels. Thus, adverse vascular phenotypes that develop early in the lifespan may contribute to increased lifetime burden of cardiovascular disease. These findings, when combined with other measures of cardiovascular risk, particularly in high-risk families, may be beneficial in counseling parents about their children's cardiovascular health.

### Sources of Funding

This project is supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (R01-DK072124-01A3 to Steinberger), the General Clinical Research Center Program (M01-RR00400), the National Center for Research Resources (1UL1-RR033183), and the Clinical and Translational Science Institute at the University of Minnesota-Twin Cities (UL1TR000114).

### Disclosures

None.

### References

- Rasmussen-Torvik LJ, Pankow JS, Jacobs DR, Steffen LM, Moran AM, Steinberger J, Sinaiko AR. Heritability and genetic correlations of insulin sensitivity measured by the euglycaemic clamp. *Diabet Med*. 2007;24:1286–1289.
- Alwan H, Ehret G, Ponte B, Pruijm M, Ackermann D, Guessous I, Staessen JA, Asayama K, Kutalik Z, Vuistiner P, Paccaud F, Pechere-Bertschi A, Mohaupt M, Vogt B, Martin PY, Burnier M, Bochud M. Heritability of ambulatory and office blood pressure in the Swiss population. *J Hypertens*. 2015;33:2061–2067.
- Heckerman D, Gurdasani D, Kadie C, Pomilla C, Carstensen T, Martin H, Ekoru K, Nsubuga RN, Ssenyomo G, Kamali A, Kaleebu P, Widmer C, Sandhu MS. Linear mixed model for heritability estimation that explicitly addresses environmental variation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2016;113:7377–7382.
- Tada H, Won HH, Melander O, Yang J, Peloso GM, Kathiresan S. Multiple associated variants increase the heritability explained for plasma lipids and coronary artery disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2014;7:583–587.
- Wang X, Ding X, Su S, Spector TD, Mangino M, Iliadou A, Snieder H. Heritability of insulin sensitivity and lipid profile depend on BMI: evidence for gene-obesity interaction. *Diabetologia*. 2009;52:2578–2584.
- Deanfield JE, Halcox JP, Rabelink TJ. Endothelial function and dysfunction: testing and clinical relevance. *Circulation*. 2007;115:1285–1295.
- Gokce N, Keaney JF Jr, Hunter LM, Watkins MT, Nedeljkovic ZS, Menzoian JO, Vita JA. Predictive value of noninvasively determined endothelial dysfunction for long-term cardiovascular events in patients with peripheral vascular disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;41:1769–1775.

- Lorenz MW, Markus HS, Bots ML, Rosvall M, Sitzer M. Prediction of clinical cardiovascular events with carotid intima-media thickness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2007;115:459–467.
- Laurent S, Cockcroft J, Van Bortel L, Boutouyrie P, Giannattasio C, Hayoz D, Pannier B, Vlachopoulos C, Wilkinson I, Struijker-Boudier H. Expert consensus document on arterial stiffness: methodological issues and clinical applications. *Eur Heart J*. 2006;27:2588–2605.
- Juonala M, Kahonen M, Laitinen T, Hutri-Kahonen N, Jokinen E, Taittonen L, Pietikainen M, Helenius H, Viikari JS, Raitakari OT. Effect of age and sex on carotid intima-media thickness, elasticity and brachial endothelial function in healthy adults: the cardiovascular risk in Young Finns Study. *Eur Heart J*. 2008;29:1198–1206.
- Lorenz MW, von Kegler S, Steinmetz H, Markus HS, Sitzer M. Carotid intima-media thickening indicates a higher vascular risk across a wide age range: prospective data from the Carotid Atherosclerosis Progression Study (CAPS). *Stroke*. 2006;37:87–92.
- Sass C, Herbeth B, Chapet O, Siest G, Visvikis S, Zannad F. Intima-media thickness and diameter of carotid and femoral arteries in children, adolescents and adults from the Stanislas cohort: effect of age, sex, anthropometry and blood pressure. *J Hypertens*. 1998;16:1593–1602.
- Ryder JR, Dengel DR, Jacobs DR Jr, Sinaiko AR, Kelly AS, Steinberger J. Relations among adiposity and insulin resistance with flow-mediated dilation, carotid intima-media thickness, and arterial stiffness in children. *J Pediatr*. 2016;168:205–211.
- Ferreira JP, Girerd N, Bozec E, Machu JL, Boivin JM, London GM, Zannad F, Rossignol P. Intima-media thickness is linearly and continuously associated with systolic blood pressure in a population-based cohort (STANISLAS Cohort Study). *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2016;5:e003529. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.003529.
- Genetic variants in novel pathways influence blood pressure and cardiovascular disease risk. *Nature*. 2011;478:103–109.
- Feinleib M, Garrison RJ, Fabsitz R, Christian JC, Hrubec Z, Borhani NO, Kannel WB, Rosenman R, Schwartz JT, Wagner JO. The NHLBI twin study of cardiovascular disease risk factors: methodology and summary of results. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1977;106:284–295.
- Sun C, Burgner DP, Ponsonby A-L, Saffery R, Huang R-C, Vuillermin PJ, Cheung M, Craig JM. Effects of early-life environment and epigenetics on cardiovascular disease risk in children: highlighting the role of twin studies. *Pediatr Res*. 2013;73:523–530.
- Ge D, Young TW, Wang X, Kapuku GK, Treiber FA, Snieder H. Heritability of arterial stiffness in black and white American youth and young adults. *Am J Hypertens*. 2007;20:1065–1072.
- Hopkins N, Stratton G, Maia J, Tinken TM, Graves LE, Cable TN, Green DJ. Heritability of arterial function, fitness, and physical activity in youth: a study of monozygotic and dizygotic twins. *J Pediatr*. 2010;157:943–948.
- North KE, MacCluer JW, Devereux RB, Howard BV, Welty TK, Best LG, Lee ET, Fabsitz RR, Roman MJ. Heritability of carotid artery structure and function: the Strong Heart Family Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2002;22:1698–1703.
- Seidlerová J, Bochud M, Staessen JA, Cwynar M, Dolejšová M, Kuznetsova T, Nawrot T, Olszanecka A, Stolarz K, Thijs L, Wojciechowska W, Struijker-Boudier HA, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Elston RC, Fagard R, Filipovský J. Heritability and intrafamilial aggregation of arterial characteristics. *J Hypertens*. 2008;26:721–728.
- Sayed-Tabatabaei FA, van Rijn MJE, Schut AFC, Aulchenko YS, Croes EA, Zillikens MC, Pols HAP, Witteman JCM, Oostra BA, van Duijn CM. Heritability of the function and structure of the arterial wall. *Stroke*. 2005;36:2351.
- Prineas RJ, Gillum RF, Horibe H, Hannan PJ. The Minneapolis children's blood pressure study. Part 1: standards of measurement for children's blood pressure. *Hypertension*. 1980;2:118.
- Kuczmariski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Mei Z, Wei R, Curtin LR, Roche AF, Johnson CL. CDC Growth Charts for the United States: methods and development. *Vital Health Stat 11*. 2000;2002:1–190.
- Thijssen DH, Black MA, Pyke KE, Padilla J, Atkinson G, Harris RA, Parker B, Widlansky ME, Tschakovsky ME, Green DJ. Assessment of flow-mediated dilation in humans: a methodological and physiological guideline. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2011;300:H2–H12.
- Kelly AS, Kaiser DR, Dengel DR, Bank AJ. Comparison of B-mode and echo tracking methods of assessing flow-mediated dilation. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 2004;30:1447–1449.
- Urbina EM, Williams RV, Alpert BS, Collins RT, Daniels SR, Hayman L, Jacobson M, Mahoney L, Mietus-Snyder M, Rocchini A, Steinberger J, McCrindle B; on behalf of the American Heart Association Atherosclerosis H and Obesity in Youth Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young. Noninvasive assessment of subclinical atherosclerosis in children and adolescents: recommendations for standard assessment for clinical research: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Hypertension*. 2009;54:919–950.

28. Dengel DR, Jacobs DR, Steinberger J, Moran AM, Sinaiko AR. Gender differences in vascular function and insulin sensitivity in young adults. *Clin Sci*. 2011;120:153–160.
29. Marlatt KL, Kelly AS, Steinberger J, Dengel DR. The influence of gender on carotid artery compliance and distensibility in children and adults. *J Clin Ultrasound*. 2013;41:340–346.
30. Almasy L, Blangero J. Multipoint quantitative-trait linkage analysis in general pedigrees. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1998;62:1198–1211.
31. Xiang AH, Azen SP, Buchanan TA, Raffel LJ, Tan S, Cheng LS, Diaz J, Toscano E, Quinones M, Liu CR, Liu CH, Castellani LW, Hsueh WA, Rotter JJ, Hodis HN. Heritability of subclinical atherosclerosis in Latino families ascertained through a hypertensive parent. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2002;22:843–848.
32. Zannad F, Visvikis S, Gueguen R, Sass C, Chapet O, Herbeth B, Siest G. Genetics strongly determines the wall thickness of the left and right carotid arteries. *Hum Genet*. 1998;103:183–188.



## Heritability of Vascular Structure and Function: A Parent–Child Study

Justin R. Ryder, Nathan D. Pankratz, Donald R. Dengel, James S. Pankow, David R. Jacobs, Jr, Alan R. Sinaiko, Vasu Gooty and Julia Steinberger

*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6:e004757; originally published February 2, 2017;  
doi: 10.1161/JAHA.116.004757

The *Journal of the American Heart Association* is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231  
Online ISSN: 2047-9980

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://jaha.ahajournals.org/content/6/2/e004757>