Evidence That a Subset of Aneurysms Less Than 7 mm Warrant Treatment
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The management of unruptured intracranial aneurysms (UAs) has been a very controversial topic in neurosurgery. There is no clear consensus as to when a small UA should be treated and when it should be observed. The magnitude of this problem is increasing with advances in imaging, its widespread use, and the introduction of family screening, which have led to an increased rate of identification of small UAs. The prevalence of cerebral aneurysms (CAs) in the general population is thought to be somewhere between 1% and 7%. However, aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) remains a rare event with an incidence of 6 to 20 cases per 100,000 persons per year. This highlights the importance of patient selection for treatment. The estimated 1% annual risk of rupture, even though small, has a tremendous impact on the patient’s health, given its high mortality and morbidity rate. This estimated risk, however, is not absolute, as it may be decreased to 0.1% to 0.7% depending on certain characteristics. The best evidence we have thus far comes from the ISUIA (International Study of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms) trial, which stratified the risk of rupture according to aneurysm size. This study reported a 5-year ruptured rate of 0% for aneurysms smaller than 7 mm in the anterior circulation and 2.5% for those smaller than 7 mm in the posterior circulation. The standard of care has been to observe these lesions, especially in the absence of high-risk factors based on patient history or aneurysm characteristics and geometry. A 2012 study evaluating the natural history of unruptured cerebral aneurysm in a Japanese cohort showed that larger size, posterior circulation aneurysm, and presence of a daughter sac confers a higher risk of rupture.

In contrast to the findings of the ISUIA, several studies have reported results showing that the majority of SAHs result from aneurysms <10 mm in size and a significant proportion of patients present with ruptured aneurysms <5 mm in diameter. Korja et al evaluated the lifelong rupture risk of intracranial aneurysms in a Finnish cohort and concluded that treatment decisions of UAs should be based on the risk factor status since even small UIAs still ruptured. It is still unknown whether it is safe to observe patients harboring small aneurysms, especially if they are planning on engaging in strenuous activities, or planning on getting pregnant, or if there are any anticipated future events that may carry significant hemodynamic changes (diving, athletic sports, etc). It is also not well established whether it is sufficient to advise treatment in this subpopulation based on hypertension, smoking, or some geometrical characteristics such as aspect ratio (defined by height/width), nonsphericity index, and size ratio.

On the basis of hemodynamic factors and inflammatory changes, we review the evidence that goes against the traditional dogma: A subset of aneurysms smaller than 5 to 7 mm are at high risk of rupture and warrant treatment. The predictors of elevated risk of rupture can be categorized into 2 main variables: Inflammatory index and Hemodynamic instability.

Inflammation and Rupture

It is becoming clearer that inflammation is a major player in the pathophysiology of CAs. This has been well studied in human and animals. The previous model of aneurysms that assumes a static passive dilatation of tissue is being abandoned for a more comprehensive model focusing on inflammation, hemodynamic factors, tissue degeneration, and genetic and environmental factors. The currently adopted model presumes that an inflammatory process is initiated by a hemodynamic insult that leads to matrix metalloproteinase-mediated degradation of the extracellular matrix and apoptosis of smooth-muscle cells, which are the predominant matrix-
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Hemodynamic Factors Predisposing to Rupture

The physiopathology that leads to the development of aneurysms and their subsequent rupture has been partially explained by hemodynamic components in a multitude of studies; high wall shear stress seems to play the predominant role in high-flow aneurysm, whereas high intra-aneurysmal pressure and low-flow stasis are the main factors in low-flow aneurysms.25 It is hypothesized that the lower the flow, the higher the degenerative changes, and the higher the risk of rupture. Ruptured aneurysms (RAs) can have areas with higher than average and more concentrated wall shear stress with smaller impingement zones (where the inflow jet impacts...
against the aneurysm wall) when compared with unruptured ones.\textsuperscript{26–28} Furthermore, it is reported that hemodynamic variables are dependent on the morphology of the aneurysm and on its feeding vessels.\textsuperscript{25,29,30} Chronic hypertension seems to play a major role in aneurysm formation since it is more prevalent in patients with CAs compared to the general population.\textsuperscript{31} It is also a well-established independent risk factor.\textsuperscript{31} Lin et al introduced the parent–daughter angle parameter,\textsuperscript{32} which is formed by the vector of flow in the feeding artery with the vector of flow in the aneurysm. They found that a smaller angle was associated with increased rupture rate, a finding consistent with previous studies that showed increased wall shear stress when the angle of bifurcation decreases.\textsuperscript{32} Hasan and colleagues directly measured the pressure changes between the aneurysm and the systemic circulation.\textsuperscript{33} Dual-sensor microwires with the capacity to simultaneously measure flow velocity and pressure were used to measure systolic, diastolic, and mean pressure inside the aneurysm sac and to measure both pressures and flow velocities in the feeder vessel just outside the aneurysm in patients undergoing endovascular treatment. Measurements were taken at baseline and then during a gradual increase in systemic systolic blood pressure to a target value of \( \approx 25 \) mm Hg above baseline. This study demonstrated several important points. First, peak and mean flow velocities in the parent arteries did not change significantly with increased systolic blood pressure, nor did vessel diameters as measured by angiography. This is an important finding since traditionally, investigators have studied geometrical characteristics and variables governing the aneurysm risk of rupture since geometry could indirectly reflect hemodynamic variables. One example would be the aspect ratio (defined as the maximum perpendicular distance between the neck and the dome, divided by the neck width), with the rationale that the smaller the aneurysm neck, the slower the flow and subsequently the higher the risk of rupture.\textsuperscript{29,34–36} A common threshold of the aspect ratio, above which there is a significant risk of rupture, varied between studies and was hard to define. This could be possibly attributable to the fact that velocity alone cannot explain the rupture mechanism, since low-flow velocity may lead to the opposite outcome, which is aneurysm thrombosis.\textsuperscript{35} Another reason would be that mean and peak flow velocities do not significantly change.\textsuperscript{33}

Second, there was a clear linear relationship between changes in radial and aneurysm pressures: An acute change in

---

**Figure.** Early versus late uptake. Twenty-five aneurysms were imaged to compare early (24 hours) vs late (72 hours) or no uptake. Seven of the 25 aneurysms demonstrated early uptake. Of these 7 aneurysms, 4 were clipped and 3 were observed. All 3 observed aneurysms progressed to rupture, including a \(<7\)-mm aneurysm. On the other hand, 18 of the 25 aneurysms did not show early uptake. Of these, 9 were clipped and 9 were observed. None of the observed progressed to rupture, including a giant aneurysm (The authors elected not to treat the aneurysm due to the patient’s age and morbidity).
systemic arterial pressure resulted in nearly equal changes in arterial pressure inside the aneurysm, with the intra-aneurysm pressure being almost always below that of the systemic one. This highlights that hypertension may result in an increased intra-aneurysm pressure and stresses the aneurysm wall. More importantly, the authors found a significant variation in the slopes of this relationship from patient to patient. This means that some aneurysms were more vulnerable to changes in pressure. The authors measured this vulnerability index and found that it is independent of the aneurysm size or location. In fact, 1 aneurysm of <5 mm had the steepest slope in pressure changes, showing an exaggerated response to pressure variation. This could potentially explain why some smaller aneurysms progress to growth and even rupture. Furthermore, in a different patient, the intra-aneurysm pressure was consistently higher than the systemic one, possibly due to vessel sclerosis and poor autoregulation. The clinical implications of this study were that a subpopulation of patients may have an exaggerated aneurysm pressure response and would be particularly prone to rupture, and that in some individuals with chronic hypertension and vessel sclerosis, the intra-aneurysm pressure can even surpass the systemic one. In fact, Takao et al indicated that RAs have a significantly lower pressure loss coefficient that can be translated to lesser resistance to blood flow. This facilitation to flow renders the vessel susceptible to sudden changes in pressure, thereby increasing the risk of rupture. The findings of these studies suggest that a subcategory of small aneurysms (<7 mm) can be considered hemodynamically unstable and may proceed to rupture.

Discussion

Traditional Dogma

The ISUIA trial aimed to provide the neurosurgeon with the evidence needed for making decisions regarding patients who would benefit from treatment of UIAs. It was reported that the rupture risk among patients with a UIA of <7 mm in diameter in the anterior circulation was 0% (no prior SAH from other aneurysm) and 1.5% (prior SAH) over 5 years. The rupture risk in the posterior circulation or posterior communicating artery site was 2.5% (no prior SAH) and 3.4% (prior SAH) over 5 years. However, other studies have reported conflicting results from the ISUIA. Wermer et al conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis of the natural history of aneurysms. It was noted that the UIA rupture rate was approximately 1% for smaller UIAs (<5 mm in diameter) and 1% (23/2249) for <7 mm in diameter with follow-up of 3.7 and 7.7 years, respectively). The rupture rate was 0.24% per year in those <5 mm and 0.13% per year in those <7 mm in diameter. Zylkowski et al studied 110 UIAs <7 mm in 70 patients and reported a rupture risk of 2.7%. With the ISUIA study, more emphasis has been given to size, location, and history of subarachnoid hemorrhage, so that these variables became the most important factors used in day-to-day clinical practice guiding the management of incidental aneurysms with addition of several other clinical factors such as life expectancy, family history, and smoking. Traditionally, aneurysms <10 mm are given a 0.5% to 0.7% annual risk of rupture. This estimate was found to be nonhomogeneous since a large percentage of RAs fall in this size category, and many are as small as 5 mm, making the relationship between size and rupture more sophisticated. In addition, shape, a potential indirect estimator of underlying hemodynamic changes, seems to play a role in RAs, as Ryu et al found that irregular aneurysms (multilobular or had 1 or more daughter sacs) tend to rupture more than simple-lobed ones. In a multitude of studies, the daughter sacs were significantly related to rupture, which may be due to higher shear stress values present at or adjacent to the blebs. One study found that for anterior communicating aneurysms, size >5 mm, the presence of blebs, and an anterior direction of the dome are significantly associated with RAs, and recommended that aneurysms with these characteristics should be treated. This study also challenges the IUSIA trial that estimated the risk of rupture to be 0% for small anterior circulation aneurysm. Furthermore, Chalouhi et al reported their experience with treating 151 patients between 2004 and 2011 who presented with small ruptured aneurysm with a diameter size of <3 mm.

Multiple attempts to predict the hemodynamic characteristics of an aneurysm and to quantify the wall shear stress have been made throughout the literature by focusing on shape as a principal estimator. However, direct measurements of the pressure changes showed that it was independent of size, suggesting that a subset of small aneurysms tend to rupture.

Incidence and Rate of Growth in Small Aneurysms (<7 mm)

Villablanca et al showed that the risk of aneurysm growth in UIAs <7 mm in diameter is 14% over a mean follow-up of 2.2 years. They also found an overall 12-fold increased risk of rupture for growing aneurysms when compared to nongrowing aneurysms. In their series, 3 UIA aneurysms <7 mm (1.6%) progressed to rupture. Burns et al showed the risk of rupture of <8 mm UIAs is 6.9% over a mean follow-up of 3.9 years. Ramachandran et al studied 198 aneurysms, and detected growth in 10.1% of UIAs of <7 mm, over a mean follow-up of 4 years. Zylkowski et al studied 110 UIAs <7 mm in 70 patients, and 21% of UIAs enlarged, the majority (75%) of which in the first 3 years of observation. Jeon et al retrospectively evaluated 524 patients harboring 568
paracclinoid UIAs (≤5 mm) during a mean follow-up of 35.4 months. Two aneurysms (0.35%) ruptured and 17 grew (3%). The criticism of this study was limiting UIAs to a specific location, which is known to have a lower rupture/growth rate. Bor et al. reported in a prospective study whereby they defined aneurysm growth as ≥1 mm that the risk of aneurysm growth in UIAs <7 mm was 9% (37/403) and the mean likelihood of growth during the first 4 years of follow-up was 2.75% per year. Inoue et al. found that among 18 patients with UIAs that grew during follow-up, the annual rupture risk after growth was 18.5%/person-year. Mehan et al. noted that UIA morphology and interval growth are characteristics predictive of a higher risk of subsequent rupture during follow-up using computed tomographic angiography. Brinjikji et al. showed that growth of UIAs was associated with a rupture rate of 3.1% per year compared with 0.1% per year for stable UIAs (P<0.01). Juvela et al. found that rupture of UIAs was associated (P<0.001) with UIAs growth during follow-up. For these reasons, most UIAs that demonstrate growth during follow-up are treated because of concern regarding the rupture risk, leading to few such patients being followed over the long term. There has always been the consideration that a subset of smaller aneurysms are “unstable” for some reason and are at risk of growth and rupture, as opposed to those that reach a steady state and remain stable over the long term. This would reconcile the low rupture rates in many small aneurysms followed over the long term (ISUIA and other cohorts), and the reality of clinical practice in which one sees smaller aneurysms that have ruptured. Whether documented growth with intermittent imaging may precede rupture of these smaller aneurysms, or whether growth occurs over a short period of time such that intermittent imaging would not pick it up (or would occur in people who have never had imaging) is also unclear but needs to be answered. So far the standard of care for small IAs has been observation except in a small minority of cases. Chalouhi et al., after reviewing the literature, defined Type A and Type B risk factor based on geometrical consideration as well as past medical history (Table). After carefully assessing the evidence in the literature, they recommended that aneurysms measuring 5 to 7 mm should be treated if any risk factor (Type A or B) is present, while aneurysms <5 mm in diameter should only be treated in the presence of 2 or more Type A risk factors or in the presence of any of the Type B risk factors. However, there is no report in the literature where active inflammation or active hemodynamic changes were investigated.

**Challenges of Treating <7 mm Aneurysm**

Treatment of unruptured small aneurysms carries a high morbidity–mortality. The National Inpatient Sample was used to evaluate the morbidity and mortality in patients who underwent coiling/clipping of their UIA between 2001 and 2008. A total of 34,054 patients underwent coiling and 29,886 patients underwent clipping; in 30% of these, aneurysms were ≤7 mm. The morbidity and mortality for coiling were 4.8% and 0.6%, respectively, and those for clipping were 16.2% and 1.2%. Both surgical and endovascular repair of incidental anterior circulation aneurysms ≤7 mm (with the exception of posterior communicating artery UIAs) results in a net loss of quality-adjusted life-years at all ages over 20 years old. Another obstacle to treating small aneurysm is the cost. The average cost of treatment is $24,000 per aneurysm, assuming zero periprocedural complications. This would amount to a total cost of $288 million per year.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, larger size means higher risk, but small aneurysms need to be carefully evaluated by relying on different indicators. A multitude of studies have reported that a significant portion of SAHs have resulted from small aneurysms. A subset of small aneurysms <5 to 7 mm may be highly unstable and warrant treatment, based on hemodynamic and inflammatory components. Future research is required to individualize aneurysm treatment based on age, anatomy, and possibly molecular/investigational imaging, instead of grouping all aneurysms together.
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**Table.** Types A and B Risk Factors Dictating Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types A and B Risk Factors</th>
<th>Type B: factors that warrant a strong consideration for treatment independent of size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type A: factors that favor intervention over observation</td>
<td>Active smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Young patient age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
<td>Change in the size or configuration of the aneurysm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior circulation aneurysm</td>
<td>Presence of multiple aneurysms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior subarachnoid hemorrhage</td>
<td>Multilobed configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial subarachnoid hemorrhage</td>
<td>Symptomatic aneurysm (emboli or mass effect)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect ratio &gt;3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chalouhi et al. recommended that an aneurysm measuring 5 to 7 mm should be treated if any risk factor (Type A or B) is present while aneurysms <5 mm in diameter should only be treated in the presence of 2 or more Type A risk factors or in the presence of any of the Type B risk factors. Reproduced with permission from Journal of Neurosurgery Publishing Group.
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