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Research over the past 2 decades has suggested that significant differences exist in the action potentials of endocardial, epicardial, and mid-myocardial (M) cells that comprise the ventricular myocardium. Relative differences in the time course of repolarization of these 3 cell types, referred to as transmural dispersion of repolarization (TDR), is largely responsible for the inscription of the T wave on the electrocardiogram (ECG). Both the morphology and duration of the T wave appear to represent underlying heterogeneity in repolarization, a process initially linked to increased risk of arrhythmia and sudden death in patients with congenital long QT-syndrome (LQTS). It is increasingly apparent that repolarization heterogeneity is present in a variety of cardiovascular diseases and syndromes and is even predictive of sudden death in the general population. Furthermore, repolarization heterogeneity has also been associated with abnormalities in myocardial mechanics, a finding that may have direct implications for understanding the pathophysiology and treatment of heart failure. This review will focus on summarizing current understanding of repolarization heterogeneity, with particular focus on clinical implications.

Physiology of Myocardial Repolarization Heterogeneity

It has been nearly 3 decades since the description of the M cell reframed understanding that regional differences exist in the electrical properties of the ventricular myocardium. Canine models developed in the early 1990s for studying ventricular action potentials first identified electrophysiologically distinct mid-myocardial cells, termed M cells, which were found to exhibit unique repolarization properties compared to the cells contained in the endocardium and epicardium.1,2 M cells are similar to epicardial and endocardial cells histologically, but electrophysiologically and pharmacologically appear to be a hybrid between Purkinje and ventricular cells. The hallmark of the M cell is the characteristic of its action potential (AP) to prolong disproportionately relative to the action potential of other ventricular myocardial cells in response to heart rate slowing and action potential duration (APD)-prolonging agents.1

The ionic basis for these M-cell features include the presence of a smaller slowly activating delayed rectifier potassium (K+) current (I\textsubscript{Ks}), a larger late sodium (Na+) current, and a larger Na+-calcium (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) exchange current.3,4 Accordingly, there are prominent differences between M cells and the surrounding myocardial cells in the response to various drugs. Alpha-adrenergic agonists, such as phenylephrine, produce a prolongation of Purkinje APD, whereas they abbreviate the M-cell APD.5 Rapidly activating delayed rectifier K+ current (I\textsubscript{Kr}) blockers, including d-sotalol and erythromycin, produce a much greater prolongation of APD in M cells than cells in the epicardium or endocardium. Other differences include the mechanisms of development of early afterdepolarizations (EADs). EADs induced in the M cell are more sensitive to changes in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels, whereas EADs elicited in Purkinje cells are not.6 These differences at the cellular level allow for development of larger regional repolarization variations that were finally demonstrated in vitro through development of an arterially perfused myocardial wedge preparation.

In vivo models in dogs and rabbits have the disadvantage of requiring anesthesia, which can directly affect myocardial conduction and repolarization.7,8 Anesthetics, including sodium pentobarbital, were found to be protective of torsades de pointes (TdP) in dogs, whereas halothane offered no protection.7,8 Development of an arterially perfused left ventricular (LV) myocardial wedge preparation allowed for examination of the interaction between these cell types under physiological conditions, without the confounding effects of...
anesthesia (Figure 1). The qualitative differences between the 3 ventricular cell types previously described in isolated tissues and cells are present in the intact canine LV wall preparations. TDR is the result of intrinsic differences in APD of cells spanning the ventricular wall. In the absence of electrolyte or pharmacological provocation, myocytes isolated from the M region display APDs as much as several 100 ms longer than those recorded from the endocardium or epicardium. As a result, transmural dispersion represents the net differences in repolarization times between these distinct action potentials. Accordingly, drugs such as sotalol and erythromycin, which preferentially prolong M-cell APD, will increase dispersion of repolarization dramatically.

Subsequent studies found that the currents flowing adjacent to M cells are, in large part, responsible for the morphology of the ECG T wave (Figure 2). The interplay between these opposing currents determines the height of the T wave as well as the degree to which either the ascending or descending limb of the T wave is interrupted, causing a bifurcated or notched appearance (Figure 3). Under basal conditions, the epicardial cells are always the earliest to repolarize and the M cells are the last. Full repolarization of the M-cell region marks the end of the T wave. The time interval between the peak and end of the T wave, referred to as $T_{peak} - T_{end}$ (Tpeak), therefore represents

**Figure 1.** Arterially perfused left ventricular wedge model of canine myocardium. Schematic diagram of the arterially perfused canine LV wedge preparation. The wedge is perfused by a small native branch of the left descending coronary artery and stimulated from the endocardial surface. Transmembrane action potentials are recorded simultaneously from epicardial (Epi), M region (M), and endocardial (Endo) sites using three floating microelectrodes. A transmural ECG is recorded along the same transmural axis across the bath, registering the entire field of the wedge. Reproduced with permission from Yan et al. Promotional and commercial use of the material in print, digital, or mobile device format is prohibited without the permission from the publisher Wolters Kluwer Health. ECG indicates electrocardiogram; LV, left ventricular.

Electrocardiographic Assessment of Repolarization Heterogeneity

The most often used ECG markers for measuring repolarization heterogeneity include TpTe and QT dispersion (QTD). TpTe is calculated by measuring the interval from the peak of the T wave to its offset. The offset of the T wave is frequently defined as the intersection of the tangent to the steepest portion of the terminal portion of the T wave and the isoelectric line. Most typically, lead V5 is used because previous studies have suggested that precordial leads best reflect repolarization heterogeneity across the ventricular wall, in contrast to limb leads, which reflect apical-basal or interventricular spatial heterogeneity.

A large increase in TDR is likely to be arrhythmogenic because the dispersion of repolarization and refractoriness occurs over a very short distance (the width of the ventricular wall), creating a steep repolarization gradient. Difficulties can arise in measuring the exact duration of TpTe, particularly with low T-wave amplitude, or when T waves are notched or biphasic.

Whereas the canine wedge model suggested that TpTe was an accurate measure of “transmural” dispersion, studies of in vivo animal models have suggested that the generation of the T wave may be more complex. Work done by Xia et al. revealed that in open-chest pig models, the peak of the T wave often occurred 30 to 40 ms before the full repolarization of the epicardium. They concluded that the peak of the T wave likely represented a summation of repolarization gradients both transmurally and apicobasally. Optpho et al. also showed that TpTe intervals did not correlate with TDR, but did correlate with global dispersion of repolarization in the whole heart. Validation of TpTe as a direct measure of TDR is still debated, but most studies agree that TpTe provides at least some measure of spatial dispersion or repolarization heterogeneity.

Another potential measure of TDR is QTD. QTD is calculated as the difference between the maximum and minimum QT intervals measured on all 12 leads of the ECG. Reported values of QTD vary widely, with studies showing normal values between 10 and 71 ms. Work in rabbits revealed that QTD showed significant correlation with dispersion of monophasic AP. Higham et al. found a high positive correlation between the monophasic action potentials and ECG dispersion indices. There has been argument, however, that the main cause of QTD may, in fact, be the unreliable localization of the T-wave offset in patients with abnormal T waves. This was underscored in a study by Malik et al.,
which revealed that although QTD differed between healthy controls and patients with dilated cardiomyopathy and ischemic heart disease, QTD was not correlated with T-wave residuum (TWR). TWR and several other ECG metrics for quantifying TDR have been proposed and are summarized in Table. This suggests that structural heart disease may be associated with more-abnormal T-wave loops, increased difficulty in measuring T-wave offset, and hence increased QTD indices. However, QTD per se may not represent underlying heterogeneity in repolarization and does not itself confer increased cardiovascular risk.

Mechanisms of Repolarization Heterogeneity in Congenital and Acquired LQTS

Much of the current understanding of the arrhythmic risk associated with repolarization heterogeneity comes from work in patients with LQTS, both congenital and acquired. In a landmark work, Moretti et al. linked congenital and physiology models of LQTS. The investigators induced pluripotent stem cells from family members affected by LQTS-1 and directed differentiation into cardiomyocytes. Differentiated cardiomyocytes exhibited electrophysiologic features of the LQTS, including prolonged APD. This was associated with a 70% to 80% reduction in I_{KS} current and altered channel activation and deactivation properties. This work linked congenital and physiological models of LQTS and helped elucidate underlying molecular mechanisms of arrhythmogenicity.

Congenital LQTS is associated with abnormal T-wave morphologies on ECG that appear to be specific to the different channel mutations and have even been suggested as a screening tool. Work with the canine wedge-preparation model revealed underlying mechanisms for increased arrhythmogenicity of abnormal “LQTS-type” T waves. Combined I_{Kr} and I_{KS} blockade simulating LQTS led to development of complex T waves with a late “bump sign.” When an I_{KS} blocker was used to prolong the QT interval in an LQTS-1 model, this was not associated with widening of the T wave, increased TDR, or inducible TdP. However, addition of isoproterenol abbreviated the APD of epicardial and endocardial cells, but not that of the M cell, resulting in widening of the T wave and increase in TDR. Only after this exposure to isoproterenol was the myocardium vulnerable to TdP, suggesting that heterogeneously increased APD across the ventricular wall mediates vulnerability to TdP. Isoproterenol block with...
sotalol, simulating an LQTS-2 model resulted in the development of broad- and low-amplitude bifurcated T waves, associated with increased TDR and TpTe in a rate-dependent manner. Clinically, TDR is increased in LQT2 more than LQT1, but LQTS-1 patients show a heart-rate–dependent increase in TDR. When observed in other populations without congenital LQTS, the LQTS-like T-wave abnormalities described previously seem to similarly represent increase arrhythmic risk. In patients with bradycardia, LQTS-2-like T waves were more frequent in those who developed TdP. Furthermore, increased TpTe was highly associated with development of TdP and performed better as a predictor of TdP than either QTc or QT intervals. Findings were similar in patients with drug-induced QT-prolongation, in whom TpTe did not correlate with changes in QTc, suggesting that the arrhythmic risk is not mediated simply by prolonged QTc. In patients initiated on sotalol, LQTS-2-like T-wave changes developed, which were independent of changes in QTc. And, when QT does prolong, dispersion of the QT interval, rather than QT prolongation itself, seems to contribute most to arrhythmogenicity. Thus, work in both congenital and acquired LQTS populations suggested that other ECG markers besides QT interval, including abnormal T-wave morphologies and increased TpTe are associated with abnormalities in repolarization heterogeneity, and even increased arrhythmic risk.

### Repolarization Heterogeneity as a Risk Factor for Mortality in the General Population

Repolarization heterogeneity is associated with adverse outcomes, including mortality, in the general population. An analysis of 5812 healthy individuals over the age of 55 years in the Rotterdam Study showed that during a 4-year follow-up...
Repolarization Heterogeneity in Specific Populations

Repolarization heterogeneity has also been linked to adverse outcomes in numerous cardiovascular disease populations. In patients presenting with acute coronary syndromes (ACSs), repolarization heterogeneity has been associated with an increased risk of fatal arrhythmias. TpTe and TpTe/QT were significantly increased in ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients who experienced ventricular fibrillation (VF) within 24 hours of admission. Eslami et al. found that percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) reduced both QTd and TpTe in patients presenting with STEMI. Interestingly, failure of these ECG parameters to improve after reperfusion was associated with development of major arrhythmias within 1 year. Post-MI (myocardial infarction) patients who show clinical or inducible ventricular tachycardia (VT) have longer TpTe than those who are not inducible. TpTe is independently associated with all-cause mortality as well as risk of fatal cardiac arrhythmia within the first year after ACS.

Repolarization heterogeneity is also linked to adverse long-term outcomes in ACS populations. Haarmark et al. showed that pre-PCI TpTe was associated with increased mortality in STEMI patients during 22 months of follow-up. In 334 survivors of acute MI followed for 41 months, TCRT was an independent predictor of long-term arrhythmic mortality. And, in patients who develop left ventricular systolic dysfunction post-ACS, TpTe is independently predictive of implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy and all-cause mortality. Repolarization heterogeneity has also been linked to adverse outcomes in genetic arrhythmia syndromes, congenital heart disease, and valvular heart disease. In patients with Brugada syndrome, TpTe and TpTe dispersion were prolonged in patients with recurrent aborted SCD or syncope compared to asymptomatic individuals. TpTe and TpTe/QT were predictive of VT/VF inducibility in Brugada patients undergoing programmed ventricular stimulation. Patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot were found to have increased QTcD and TpTe compared to healthy controls. QTD was increased in patients with mitral valve prolapse and ventricular arrhythmias on Holter, compared to matched controls. QTd and QTcD were increased in patients with aortic stenosis, compared to controls, and were linearly related to disease severity. In patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, QTd and markers of T-wave complexity were increased, compared to controls, and were significantly greater in symptomatic patients. TpTe has also been predictive of outcomes in other populations, including end-stage renal disease, LV hypertrophy (LVH), and hypertension, as summarized in Table S1.

Mechanical Abnormalities in Patients With LQTS

Studies in LQTS have revealed that electrical repolarization abnormalities were accompanied by abnormal myocardial mechanics. Nador et al. noted that patients with LQTS had a more-rapid early phase of ventricular contraction, as noted by a decreased time to early contraction (Th1/2). Hence, they reached half maximal systolic contraction more rapidly than controls. Furthermore, slow-speed thickening in late systole, termed TsTh, was increased in LQTS patients, indicating that they spend more time at a low thickening rate. Taken together, rapid early contraction and prolonged slow thickening phase represent a particular pattern of abnormal myocardial mechanisms that was observed more frequently in symptomatic versus asymptomatic patients. The same group then assessed the response of these contraction abnormalities to verapamil. Verapamil was associated with increase in Th1/2 and reduction in TsTh, with normalization of the abnormal thickening patterns at peak effect. They suggested that symptomatic LQTS patients may have an
abnormal increase in transcellular Ca levels which normalized with administration of Ca-channel blockers.\textsuperscript{54}

Haugaa et al. used tissue Doppler to show that contraction duration was longer in LQTS patients with past cardiac events compared to those without.\textsuperscript{55} Prolonged contraction duration showed higher specificity and sensitivity than QTc at predicting events. Greatest heterogeneity in contraction was observed in symptomatic LQTS mutation carriers compared to asymptomatic carriers or controls. The investigators concluded that prolonged myocardial contraction may lead to heterogeneous and delayed onset of the tissue Doppler e\textsuperscript{0} wave, implying diastolic dysfunction.\textsuperscript{55} Strain analysis confirmed longer mean contraction duration in symptomatic LQTS mutation carriers compared to asymptomatic carriers and healthy controls. Contraction duration by longitudinal strain was longer than by circumferential strain in symptomatic patients, suggesting increased transmural dispersion.\textsuperscript{56} Haguaa et al. recently demonstrated reduced global longitudinal strain in subjects with LQTS compared to healthy controls, as well as reduced e\textsuperscript{0} velocity (implying impaired LV relaxation) and increased left atrial volume index.\textsuperscript{57} Table S2 summarizes studies that have found associations between echocardiographic parameters and repolarization heterogeneity.

Electromechanical Heterogeneity in Heart Failure

Early work by Alessandrini et al. revealed that electrocardiographic repolarization changes in T-wave amplitude and QT interval induced through ventricular pacing were accompanied by echocardiographic changes in peak left ventricular filling rate and isovolumic relaxation time (IVRT). Specifically, QT prolongation was associated with increase IVRT and T-wave amplitude was correlated with increase in peak LV filling rate. These findings were not accompanied by changes in systolic function and thus could not be explained on this basis. This link between electrical repolarization and diastolic mechanics may be, in part, mediated by the effects of calcium handling.\textsuperscript{58} Specifically, increase in APD (as was observed with ventricular pacing) has been linked to near doubling of cellular calcium influx and marked slowing of its decline.\textsuperscript{59} It is thus not surprising that prolonged APD may be associated with changes in LV relaxation and filling, processes that are calcium dependent. When relaxation and filling are most abnormal, in end-stage heart failure, mapping of coronary-perfused LV wedge preparations from human hearts confirmed prolongation of APD.\textsuperscript{60}

**Figure 4.** Proposed mechanism of electromechanical heterogeneity as a marker or contributor to heart failure. Progression from normal (left-hand side) to overt heart failure (right-hand side) is propagated by accumulation of risk factors such as hypertension, coronary artery disease, and diabetes and their consequences, which include left ventricular hypertrophy, heterogeneous dysregulation of Ca handling, and fibrosis. This manifests as electrical repolarization heterogeneity and abnormal myocardial mechanics, which includes diastolic dysfunction, reduction in peak longitudinal systolic strain, as well as contraction-relaxation heterogeneity observed using strain imaging. AP indicates action potential; Endo, endocardial; Epi, epicardial; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; M Cell, Masonic myocardial Moe cell.
During the progression from structural heart disease to heart failure, there is development of an extensive amount of intercellular variability in Ca^{2+} kinetics. Disorganization in T tubules and impairment in Ca^{2+} cycling accompany reductions in absolute strain values and tissue Doppler velocities in spontaneously hypertensive rats.\(^6\) Initial myocardial remodeling is associated with heterogeneous increase in Ca^{2+} transient duration, which may, in part, explain the development of diastolic dysfunction as the result of a prolonging in relaxation. These changes in strain and diastolic function occur early in the remodeling process and precede the development of cardiac fibrosis and overt LV systolic dysfunction (Figure 4).\(^6\)

Additionally, derangement in calcium cycling leads to increased vulnerability to intercellular repolarization gradients and cellular Ca^{2+} alternans, a setup for reentrant and triggered ventricular arrhythmias. Deficient sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} uptake has been identified in cardiac myocytes from failing human hearts and has been linked to a decrease in expression and activity of the enzyme, Ca^{2+}-ATPase (SERCA2a). In animal models, transfection of SERCA2a reduced Ca^{2+} alternans, decreasing susceptibility to ventricular arrhythmias.\(^6\) In the Calcium Upregulation by Percutaneous Administration of Gene Therapy in Cardiac Disease (CUPID) gene therapy trial, intracoronary delivery of SERCA2a was associated with decreased events, clinical improvement in heart failure symptoms, as well as LV remodeling.\(^5\)

Finally, it has recently been shown that QTc correlates with severity of diastolic dysfunction on echocardiography in the general population, as well as in patients with clinical symptoms of heart failure.\(^6\) Sauer et al. recently showed that increased baseline TpTe was inversely associated with e’ velocity as well as peak exercise E/e’ ratio (Figure 5).\(^6\) Additionally, a linear association was noted between TpTe and SD in time to peak radial strain, a measure of heterogeneity in contraction duration. This finding was novel in establishing that the link between electrical repolarization and myocardial contractility occurred in patients without LQTS or significant cardiomyopathy.\(^6\) “Excitation-contraction” coupling may represent a unifying theory linking the subclinical changes in myocardial dysfunction, calcium handling, and repolarization abnormalities with the development of symptomatic heart failure syndromes.

**Unanswered Questions**

Cellular work, animal models, and human cohorts have all suggested that heterogeneity in myocardial repolarization exists, is increased in numerous disease states, and appears to confer increased cardiovascular risk. Unfortunately to date
there is no consensus as to how best to measure repolarization heterogeneity in human subjects, given that various techniques in open-chest animal models have revealed conflicting results. Furthermore, controversy also exists as to whether commonly used ECG measures, such as TpTe or QTd, truly represent the repolarization heterogeneity itself or are simply a surrogate for it. Future efforts should focus on prospectively assessing outcomes to determine which of the currently identified ECG measures of repolarization heterogeneity provides the greatest predictive value.

Furthermore, there is limited understanding currently as the role of repolarization heterogeneity in the development or progression of heart failure syndromes. Recent work revealed an association between abnormal TpTe duration and increased abnormalities in diastolic function, as well as several other structural and mechanical myocardial abnormalities. It is not yet clear whether increased repolarization heterogeneity is a marker of myocardial mechanical dysfunction, let alone causative. Understanding the role of repolarization heterogeneity in clinical heart failure symptoms represents a promising avenue of future investigation. Demonstrating independent association of repolarization heterogeneity with outcomes in heart failure populations would be noteworthy, given that it may identify a subgroup of patients that could uniquely be tailored for certain heart failure therapies. Similarly, whether normalization of repolarization heterogeneity with medical therapy is associated with improved outcomes in heart failure represents another avenue for further research. If improvements in ejection fraction are associated with decrease in repolarization heterogeneity, then perhaps identifying a pharmacological intervention to restore repolarization to a more-normal state may have a role in improving cardiovascular risk for numerous populations.

Conclusions

Accumulating evidence suggests that repolarization heterogeneity, a process initially understood at the cellular level through work on canine wedge preparations, may play a significant role in the pathophysiology of several cardiovascular conditions. Though initially linked to increased risk of arrhythmia in patients with inherited LQTSs, repolarization heterogeneity is now known to predict sudden death even in the general population. Analysis of T-wave characteristics on the ECG may help in risk stratification in multiple cardiovascular conditions. More-recent work linking repolarization heterogeneity to abnormalities in myocardial mechanics may provide insight into development and progression of clinical heart failure syndromes. Whether drugs that stabilize repolarization heterogeneity can improve electromechanical abnormalities or clinical outcomes requires further analysis. Additional studies are needed to identify other populations in which repolarization heterogeneity may confer risk, and determine whether targeting these electrical and mechanical abnormalities leads to improved cardiovascular outcomes.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Table S1. Studies of clinical outcomes related to increased repolarization heterogeneity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Okin et al, 2002¹</td>
<td>American Indians</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>QTD and PCA</td>
<td>PCA ratio was an independent predictor of CV mortality in men and women. QTD was a significant predictor in women only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okin et al, 2005²</td>
<td>American Indians</td>
<td>1729</td>
<td>PCA, TWR</td>
<td>Increased PCA ratio and TWR were significant predictors of CV mortality and TWR of all-cause mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okin et al, 2004³</td>
<td>American Indians with DM</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>PCA ratio was an independent predictor of CV and all cause mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ducceschi et al, 1998⁴</td>
<td>Aortic stenosis</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>QTD, QTcD</td>
<td>QTD and QTcD both linearly correlated with severity of aortic stenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castro Hevia et al, 2006⁵</td>
<td>Brugada</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
<td>TpTe was prolonged in patients with recurrent VT/VF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letsas et al, 2010⁶</td>
<td>Brugada</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>TpTe and TpTe/QT</td>
<td>TpTe and TpTe/QT were increased in patients with inducible VT/VF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morin et al 2012⁷</td>
<td>Decreased EF</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
<td>TpTe predicted of ICD therapy, all-cause mortality, and the composite endpoint of ICD therapy or death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al, 2007⁸</td>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>TWR</td>
<td>TWR was an independent predictor of CV and arrhythmia-related mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tun et al, 1999⁹</td>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>QTcD, TpTe</td>
<td>QTcD and TpTe were significantly higher in the ESRD group compared to controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimizu et al, 2002¹⁰</td>
<td>HCM</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>TpTe/QT, QTD</td>
<td>QTD increased in HCM. TpTe/QT was increased in HCM patients with SCD/VT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yetman et al, 1998¹¹</td>
<td>HCM</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>QTcD</td>
<td>QTcD was associated with reduced time to death or resuscitated SCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi et al, 1998</td>
<td>HCM</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>QTD</td>
<td>QTD was increased in patients with symptomatic HCM and worse NYHA class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kardys et al, 2003</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>6134</td>
<td>QRST angle</td>
<td>Abnormal QRST angle was associated with cardiac death, non-fatal cardiac events, sudden death, and total mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porthan et al, 2009</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>5917</td>
<td>PCA,</td>
<td>PCA ratio was independent predictor of all-cause and CV mortality in men. In women, independent mortality predictors were total TCRT (CV mortality) and TWR (all-cause and CV mortality)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrucci et al, 2015</td>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
<td>TpTe was higher in hypertensive than in normotensive individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saba et al, 2005</td>
<td>LVH</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
<td>TpTe increased in patients with LVH compared to controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smetana, et al 2011</td>
<td>Male CV patients</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>TpTe, TWR</td>
<td>TpTe was shorter in non-survivors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zabel et al, 2002</td>
<td>Male CV patients</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>TWR</td>
<td>TWR was an independent predictor of all-cause mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tieleman et al, 1995</td>
<td>MVP</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>QTD</td>
<td>QTD was increased in patients with MVP and ventricular arrhythmias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watanabe et al, 2004</td>
<td>NSVT, VT, VF, syncope, SVT</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
<td>TpTe was greatest in the VT inducible and VT spontaneous groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Bruyne MC, 1998</td>
<td>Patients age &gt;55</td>
<td>5812</td>
<td>QTD</td>
<td>Highest tertile relative to the lowest tertile had a twofold risk for cardiac death and sudden cardiac death, and 40% increased risk for total mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batchvarov et al, 2004</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>TCRT</td>
<td>TCRT was an independent predictor of cardiac and arrhythmic mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Study Type</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonnemeier et al, 2001</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td>QTV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erikssen et al, 2012</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>1359</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eslami et al, 2013</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>TpTe, QTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haarmark et al, 2009</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubinski et al, 2000</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oikarinen et al, 2001</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perkiomakl et al, 2006</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>437</td>
<td>TWLD, TCRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenthar et al, 2015</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tatlisu et al, 2014</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>488</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zabel et al, 1998</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>280</td>
<td>TpTe, QTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zabel et al, 2000</td>
<td>Post MI</td>
<td></td>
<td>280</td>
<td>TCRT, TWLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savelieva et al, 1998</td>
<td>Post MI, HCM</td>
<td></td>
<td>156</td>
<td>TpTe, QTD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patients. QTD was significantly greater in both, compared to controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Overview</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sarubbi et al, 1999</td>
<td>Repaired TOF</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>QTD, TpTe</td>
<td>TOF patients have increase QTD and TpTe interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panikkath et al, 2011</td>
<td>SCD</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>TpTe</td>
<td>TpTe was increased in patients with SCD than in controls. Odds of SCD increased stepwise with increase in TpTe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pye et al, 1994</td>
<td>VT</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>QTD</td>
<td>QTD increased in patients with sustained ventricular arrhythmias compared with controls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV, cardiovascular; DM, diabetes mellitus; EF, ejection fraction; ESRD, end stage renal disease; HCM, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; HTN, hypertension; ICD, implantable cardiac defibrillator; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; MI, myocardial infarction; MVP, mitral valve prolapse; NSVT, non sustained ventricular tachycardia; NYHA, New York Heart Association; PCA, principal component analysis; PCI percutaneous coronary intervention; QTcD, QTc dispersion; QTD, QT dispersion; QTV, QT variability; SCD, sudden cardiac death; SVT, supraventricular tachycardia; TCRT, total cosine R to T; TOF, tetralogy of fallot; TpTe, T peak T end; TWLD, T wave loop dispersion; TWR, T wave residuum; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia
Table S2. Echocardiographic parameters associated with repolarization heterogeneity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Echo mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nador et al, 1991</td>
<td>Thl/2</td>
<td>Time to reach half of maximal systolic thickening</td>
<td>MM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nador et al, 1991</td>
<td>TSTh</td>
<td>Slow movement in the late thickening phase</td>
<td>MM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakayama et al, 1998</td>
<td>Wall thickening time (ThT)</td>
<td>Period in which the instantaneous wall thickness exceeds 90% of the maximum wall thickness</td>
<td>MM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayet et al, 1996</td>
<td>E/A ratio</td>
<td>Ratio of early and late mitral inflow velocities</td>
<td>PWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sauer et al, 2012</td>
<td>Diastolic dysfunction grade</td>
<td>Composite score using mitral inflow patterns, TD velocity, and left atrial size</td>
<td>PWD, TD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sauer et al, 2012</td>
<td>E/E’</td>
<td>Ratio of early mitral valve inflow velocity to TD velocity</td>
<td>PWD, TD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haugaa et al, 2010</td>
<td>Delta contraction duration</td>
<td>Time difference between the longest and shortest contraction durations</td>
<td>Strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haugaa et al, 2010</td>
<td>Mean contraction duration</td>
<td>Time from ECG onset of the R wave to maximum myocardial shortening</td>
<td>Strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haugaa et al, 2010</td>
<td>Mechanical dispersion</td>
<td>Time difference in longitudinal and circumferential contraction duration in the 6 basal LV segments</td>
<td>Strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haugaa, et al 2009</td>
<td>E'</td>
<td>Peak E' velocity</td>
<td>TD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haugaa, et al 2009</td>
<td>Post ejection velocity</td>
<td>Upstroke of the biphasic spike after</td>
<td>TD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haugaa, et al 2009(^{42})</td>
<td>Onset E' wave</td>
<td>Time from start of R wave to onset of E'</td>
<td>TD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haugaa, et al 2009(^{42})</td>
<td>Contraction duration by velocity</td>
<td>Time from start of R wave to end of post ejection velocity</td>
<td>TD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savoye et al, 2003(^{43})</td>
<td>Isovolumic relaxation time</td>
<td>Time between closure of the aortic valve and the opening of the mitral valve</td>
<td>TD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savoye et al, 2003(^{43})</td>
<td>VHT</td>
<td>Deceleration time to reach half of maximal systolic velocity</td>
<td>TD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savoye et al, 2003(^{43})</td>
<td>Peak systolic and diastolic velocity</td>
<td>Systolic and diastolic peak velocity</td>
<td>TD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MM, m-mode; TD, tissue doppler; PWD, pulsed wave doppler
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