Increasing Muscle Mass Improves Vascular Function in Obese (db/db) Mice
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Background—A sedentary lifestyle is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease and exercise has been shown to ameliorate this risk. Inactivity is associated with a loss of muscle mass, which is also reversed with isometric exercise training. The relationship between muscle mass and vascular function is poorly defined. The aims of the current study were to determine whether increasing muscle mass by genetic deletion of myostatin, a negative regulator of muscle growth, can influence vascular function in mesenteric arteries from obese db/db mice.

Methods and Results—Myostatin expression was elevated in skeletal muscle of obese mice and associated with reduced muscle mass (30% to 50%). Myostatin deletion increased muscle mass in lean (40% to 60%) and obese (80% to 115%) mice through increased muscle fiber size (P<0.05). Myostatin deletion decreased adipose tissue in lean mice, but not obese mice. Markers of insulin resistance and glucose tolerance were improved in obese myostatin knockout mice. Obese mice demonstrated an impaired endothelial vasodilation, compared to lean mice. This impairment was improved by superoxide dismutase mimic Tempol. Deletion of myostatin improved endothelial vasodilation in mesenteric arteries in obese, but not in lean, mice. This improvement was blunted by nitric oxide (NO) synthase inhibitor L-NG-nitroarginine methyl ester (L-NAME). Prostacyclin (PGI₂) and endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor (EDHF)-mediated vasodilation were preserved in obese mice and unaffected by myostatin deletion. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) was elevated in the mesenteric endothelium of obese mice and down-regulated by deletion of myostatin in obese mice. Impaired vasodilation in obes mice was improved by NADPH oxidase inhibitor (GKT136901). Treatment with sepiapterin, which increases levels of tetrahydrobiopterin, improved vasodilation in obese mice, an improvement blocked by L-NAME.

Conclusions—Increasing muscle mass by genetic deletion of myostatin improves NO-, but not PGI₂- or EDHF-mediated vasodilation in obese mice; this vasodilation improvement is mediated by down-regulation of superoxide. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2014;3:e000854 doi: 10.1161/JAHA.114.000854)
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Obesity significantly reduces both metabolic and cardiovascular (CV) function, most notably inducing a state of insulin resistance (IR) in the former case and impeding endothelial control of vascular function in the latter. Endothelial dysfunction is generally thought to result from decreased bioavailability of nitric oxide (NO) and/or elevated levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Although impairment in vasomotor control in obesity has been well described, the mechanisms underpinning these defects are poorly understood and interventional therapies remain few. Exercise is a powerful method to limit or improve obesity-associated diseases. Clinical studies have shown that exercise can improve glucose disposal, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C), and lipid profile in type 2 diabetic patients. CV function is also improved after exercise in obese patients. The beneficial effects of exercise are multifactorial and include increases in muscle mass, reduction in fat mass, and alterations in components of the plasma milieu. The relationships between the physiological changes induced by exercise and improvements in vascular function in the obese patient population are poorly defined. Myostatin is a transforming growth factor-beta superfamily member, primarily derived from skeletal muscle that negatively regulates muscle mass during fetal development and in adults. Genetic deletion of myostatin results in increased...
muscle mass in rodents, dogs, and humans. In contrast, obesity is associated with reduced muscle mass and elevated myostatin expression and correlates with increased CV disease (CVD) and all-cause mortality. Skeletal muscle is the predominant site for insulin-stimulated glucose disposal and myostatin inhibition is efficacious in ameliorating metabolic defects in obesity by improving insulin sensitivity. Deletion of genes that cause IR improves CV function in obese mice and genetic disruption of myostatin reduced aortic atheromatous lesions in nonobese LDLr/C0 mice. Based on these observations, we hypothesized that increasing muscle mass in obesity through inhibition of myostatin would confer CV benefits.

To test this hypothesis, we have created a novel animal model in which mice harbor a genetic deletion of myostatin at db/db background to produce muscular obese mice. Myostatin deletion was verified by expression profiling and multiple measures of muscle mass. Metabolic impact was assessed using glucose tolerance and plasma markers of the metabolic syndrome. Vascular function was assessed to vasoconstrictors and endothelium-dependent and -independent vasodilators in the presence and absence of specific pharmacological agents. Oxidant load was determined by gene expression, immunohistochemical, and pharmacological blocker studies.

Ex Vivo Microvessel Preparation

Second- or third-order mesenteric arteries were cleared from connective tissue under an Olympus dissection scope (Olympus America, Center Valley, PA), and segments were mounted on 2 glass cannulas and secured with 10-0 silk ophthalmic sutures in a small-vessel arteriograph (Living Systems Instrumentation, Burlington, VT). By raising the pressure using Pressure Servo System PS/200 (Living Systems Instrumentation) to 10 mm Hg, vessels were flushed gently to remove blood cells. The distal cannula was closed off, and vessels were maintained at 60 mm Hg. Segments were placed in a chilled, oxygenated (21% O2, 5% CO2, and 74% N2) Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate solution composed of NaCl, KCl, CaCl2, MgSO4, KH2PO4, NaHCO3, and d-glucose. A video camera mounted on a Nikon inverted light microscope (Nikon Inc., Melville, NY) connected to a Video Dimension Analyzer V94 (Living Systems Instrumentation) was used to monitor inner arteriolar diameters continuously. Waveform Recording Systems (DATAQ Instruments, Akron, OH) were connected to a Video Dimension Analyzer to acquire data. Buffer temperature was increased to 37°C using a Temperature Controller (Living Systems Instrumentation), and vessels were allowed to develop a spontaneous myogenic tone. After myogenic tone was developed and incubated with or without Tempol (10−3 mol/L), sepiapterin (10−6 mol/L), or L-NG-nitroarginine methyl ester (L-NAME; 10−4 mol/L), vessel responses were observed with sequential doses of drugs: phenylephrine (PE; 10−9 to 10−4 mol/L); acetylcholine (ACh; 10−9 to 10−4 mol/L); and sodium nitroprusside (SNP; 10−9 to 10−4 mol/L). L-NAME (10−4 mol/L), indomethacin (INN; 10−5 mol/L), and high K+ (35 mmol/L) were used to assess the contribution of NO, prostacyclin (PGI2) and endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor (EDHF), respectively. The novel NOX1/NOX4 antagonist, GKT136901, was used to assess the effect of NADPH oxidase (NOX) in obesity and was provided by Dr Athanassios Giannis (University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany). Wall thickness, inner lumen diameter, wall-to-lumen ratio, and cross-section area (CSA) were as previously described. Vasodilation was calculated as %=(vessel diameter−preconstriction diameter)/(passive diameter−preconstriction diameter).
Grip Strength Measurement

Muscle strength in mice was measured using the Animal Grip Strength System (San Diego Instruments, San Diego, CA). This system uses an electronic digital force gauge that measures the peak force exerted upon by the action of the mice. Forelimb grip strength was measured. The mouse was held by the tail and allowed to put its forepaws on the flat pull bar until it released the pull bar. Peak tension was recorded from the system.

Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction

Mesenteric arteries were harvested and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol Plus RNA (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and cDNA was synthesized using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). cDNA was then used to assess relative gene expression. Primer sequences for the selected genes are described in Tables 1 and 2.

Western Blotting

Aliquots of 40 µg of protein were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were incubated with anti-Ser1177 endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS; 1:1000; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA) and anti-NOX 1 (1:1000; GeneTex, Irvine, CA). Membranes were washed and then incubated with the appropriate secondary antibody (Ab). After washing with TBS-T, the bands were visualized using Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate chemiluminescence (Pierce, Indianapolis, IN) and HyBlot CL film (Denville, Scientific Inc., Metuchen, NJ). Membranes were stripped and then washed with TBS-T and reblocked with 5% skim milk before reprobing with the following Abs: anti-eNOS (1:1000; BD Transduction Laboratories, San Jose, CA), anti-GAPDH (1:10 000; Ambion, Austin, TX); and the appropriate secondary Ab.

Confocal Microscopy of NOX1 and Superoxide

Mesenteric arteries were isolated, fixed with formalin, and then embedded in paraffin. Paraffin slides were double stained using Abs against NOX1 (1:100; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or 8-hydroxyguanosine (8-OHG; 1:150; Sigma-Aldrich) and α-actin (1:700; Sigma-Aldrich) as well as secondary Abs, including goat anti-rabbit 488 (1:500; NOX1; Invitrogen) and goat anti-mouse 594 (1:500; α-actin; Invitrogen).

Intraperitoneal Glucose Tolerance Test

Mice were fasted overnight and then injected with 0.5 mg of glucose/g body weight (intraperitoneally). The blood was sampled (through the tail vein) for glucose determinations every 10 minutes for 150 minutes. At the end of the glucose tolerance test (GTT), mice were returned to cages and allowed free access to food. Blood glucose levels were determined using a glucometer (AlphaTRAK; Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL).

Hematoxylin and Eosin

Muscle and adipose tissue was fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution (pH 7.0). Tissues were processed routinely for paraffin embedding, and 5-µm-thick sections were cut and placed on glass slides. Tissue samples were then stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). A total of 8 sections in each group, each section randomly selected 5 fields and was photographed counting the size of cells.

Statistical Analysis

All data are reported as means±SEM, with “n” representing the number of mice used in each of the experimental groups. Concentration-response curves from isolated mesenteric arteries (Figures 7 and 8) were computer fitted to a sigmoidal curve using nonlinear regression (Prism version 5.0; GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Maximum vessel relaxation to agonists (Figures 7D, 10A, 11D, and 12A) was measured as a percentage of preconstriction to PE and was analyzed using a multivariable regression analysis in NCSS software (NCSS, LLC, Kaysville, UT). Figure 1A was analyzed using a 1-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparisons to test myostatin mRNA level difference among different tissues. In Figure 8A

Table 1. Primers Sequences That Were Used in the Genotyping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Primer Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>db/db F</td>
<td>5’-CCCCACAGTCCATACAAATTTGAGATTTTATCTATTTTGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>db/db R</td>
<td>5’-GTCGAAACTGAACTACATCAAACTAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myostatin wild type F</td>
<td>5’-AGAAGTCAAGTGACACAGACACAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myostatin wild type R</td>
<td>5’-GGTGACACAGGATGATGATG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myostatin F</td>
<td>5’-GGATGGCCATTGAGAAGAGT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myostatin R</td>
<td>5’-GAGCGAGGTAGTACAGAGGAG-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
through 8D, results were ranked and a 2-way ANOVA was performed on the ranks. There were 3-full-model repeated-measures analyses. All 3 used the same 2 between factors, which were factor 1 (lean versus obese) and factor 2 (with versus without myostation). For GTT results (Figure 6), the within factor was time. For vessel response curves (Figure 7), the one within factor was different doses ranging from $10^{-9}$ to $10^{-4}$ mol/L. For the passive mechanical measurements (Figure 13), within factor was pressure. All 3 full-model repeated-measures ANOVA were performed using the NCSS software. All remaining experiments were analyzed using a 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test. The 2 factors in the 2-way ANOVA was factor 1 (lean versus obese) and factor 2 (with versus without myostation). Figure 8A through 8D was analyzed using nonparametric repeated measurement. For all analyses, statistical significance was accepted at $P<0.05$.

### Results

#### Myostatin Expression

Expression of myostatin, as assessed by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in tissue from normal lean mice is shown in Figure 1A. Myostatin expression shows the well-known concentration in striated muscle with other metabolic sites.
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myostatin had no significant effect on adult body weight or the pattern of body-weight gain (Figure 2B).

The effect of myostatin on muscle mass is depicted in Figure 3 in a number of ways. In Figure 3A, lower-limb muscles from cadaver mice are shown for the purpose of illustration. Limb mass was observably larger after myostatin deletion than those of lean and obese control mice. Figure 3B shows the axial T1-weighted cross-section of the lower-limb muscles by MRI in anesthetized mice. Obese mice showed a decreased cross-section area of lower-limb muscles, whereas deletion of myostatin increased muscles in both lean and obese mice. For Figure 3D, individual muscles were dissected free and weighed for quantitative assessment. Deletion of myostatin significantly increased tibialis anterior (TA) in both lean and db/db mice, essentially restoring obese muscle mass back to lean levels. Similar results were observed in the gastrocnemius (GS), gluteal, and triceps muscles (Table 3). To determine the anatomic basis of increased muscle mass, TA muscle fiber size was assessed histologically with H&E-stained cryosections (representative examples in Figure 3C) as well as as box and whisker plots comprising minimum, median, and maximum value for muscle fiber diameter (Figure 3E). Obese mice showed decreased TA muscle fiber size, compared to lean mice (28.52±0.25 vs. 43.29±1.01 μm; P<0.05), deletion of myostatin increased TA muscle fiber size in both lean and db/db mice (lean myostatin⁻/⁻: 50.06±1.02 μm; db/db myostatin⁻/⁻: 46.08±0.96 μm; P<0.05).

To determine whether myostatin deletion increases functional as well as physical muscle mass, experiments were performed to assess the strength of myostatin KO mice. Consistent with skeletal muscle phenotype, the peak forelimb grip force of db/db mice was smaller, compared to lean mice (P<0.001), whereas deletion of myostatin in both lean and db/db mice increased peak forelimb grip force (Figure 4; P<0.01, lean vs. lean myostatin⁻/⁻; P<0.001, db/db vs. db/db myostatin⁻/⁻). It indicates that myostatin deletion not only increases muscle mass, but also improves muscle strength.

The effect of myostatin on fat mass was examined in a similar fashion as muscle mass. Abdominal axial T1-weighted cross-section of all groups of mice is shown for illustrative purposes in Figure 5A. Myostatin deletion in lean mice caused a modest reduction of adipose tissue, but it had no significant effect in obese mice, indicating that whatever drives myostatin’s effect in lean mice requires functional leptin signaling. Adipose tissue size was assessed histologically with H&E-stained cryosections (Figure 5B). Visceral adipose tissue weight from each mouse genotype is shown in Figure 5C. Quantification showed that deletion of myostatin produced
modest decreases in adipose tissue of lean myostatin−/−, as shown in MRI images, but db/db myostatin−/− mice remain markedly obese. Quantification of H&E staining is shown as box and whisker plots of adipocyte size (Figure 5D). Adipocytes from fat pad of obese mice were found to be of a significantly larger size (90.92 ± 3.26 μm) than those from lean mice (50.37 ± 1.68 μm). Myostatin deletion decreased adipocytes size (37.45 ± 1.17 μm) in lean mice, but it had no significant effect on obese mice adipocyte size (86.59 ± 2.44 μm; Figure 5D). Lean myostatin−/− mice had modest reduction in plasma leptin levels versus lean mice, which is consistent with reduction of adipose tissue in lean myostatin−/− mice. In obese mice, plasma leptin levels along with body weight were markedly increased and were not

Figure 3. The myostatin gene significantly increased muscle mass in both lean and obese (db/db) mice. A, Increased skeletal muscle mass by deletion of myostatin in both lean and obese (db/db) mice. B, Axial leg MRI scans. Muscle is shown in gray; adipose tissue is shown in white. C, Sections of distal hindlimbs (TA muscle) stained with hematoxylin and eosin (x 200); bars represent 50 μm. A through C, left to right: lean, lean myostatin−/−, db/db, and db/db myostatin−/−. D, Tibialis anterior muscle weight of all groups of mice. E, Tibialis anterior myofiber diameter. Data reported here as representative hematoxylin and eosin–stained cryosections, and as box and whisker plots comprising minimum, median, and maximum value for myofiber diameter. Data are shown as mean ± SEM (A through E: n = 8). *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001, lean myostatin−/− versus lean or db/db myostatin−/− versus db/db. #P < 0.05; ##P < 0.01, db/db versus lean or db/db myostatin−/− versus lean myostatin−/−. db/db myostatin−/− indicates mice lacking both myostatin and leptin receptor; db/db, obese leptin receptor-deficient mice heterozygous for myostatin; lean myostatin−/−, myostatin-null mice heterozygous for leptin receptors; lean, lean dual heterozygotes; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; TA, tibialis anterior.
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Table 3. Organ Weights and Body Length for All 4 Genotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lean</th>
<th>Lean Myostatin&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>db/db</th>
<th>db/db Myostatin&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart weight, g</td>
<td>0.158±0.004</td>
<td>0.148±0.003</td>
<td>0.172±0.006</td>
<td>0.156±0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver weight, g</td>
<td>1.274±0.044</td>
<td>1.230±0.045*</td>
<td>4.103±0.217</td>
<td>3.061±0.292&lt;sup&gt;###&lt;/sup&gt;***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney weight, g</td>
<td>0.406±0.015</td>
<td>0.349±0.008</td>
<td>0.496±0.022</td>
<td>0.448±0.027&lt;sup&gt;###&lt;/sup&gt;***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine weight, g</td>
<td>2.115±0.125</td>
<td>1.822±0.113</td>
<td>3.780±0.158</td>
<td>2.465±0.214&lt;sup&gt;###&lt;/sup&gt;***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body length, cm</td>
<td>16.73±0.31</td>
<td>16.56±0.76</td>
<td>16.64±0.27</td>
<td>16.42±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrocnemius, g</td>
<td>0.212±0.015</td>
<td>0.335±0.023&lt;sup&gt;###&lt;/sup&gt;***</td>
<td>0.139±0.009</td>
<td>0.250±0.015&lt;sup&gt;###&lt;/sup&gt;***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluteus maximus, g</td>
<td>0.248±0.017</td>
<td>0.399±0.021&lt;sup&gt;###&lt;/sup&gt;***</td>
<td>0.131±0.008</td>
<td>0.277±0.027&lt;sup&gt;###&lt;/sup&gt;***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps, g</td>
<td>0.193±0.026</td>
<td>0.304±0.029**</td>
<td>0.117±0.011</td>
<td>0.238±0.026**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean±SEM (n=8). db/db myostatin−− indicates mice lacking both myostatin and leptin receptor; db/db, obese leptin receptor-deficient mice heterozygous for myostatin; lean myostatin−−, myostatin-null mice heterozygous for leptin receptors; lean, lean dual heterozygotes.

significantly affected by deletion of myostatin (Table 4). As shown in Table 3, deletion of myostatin produced modest reductions in visceral organ weights, explaining why significant increases in muscle mass do not greatly increase body weight, despite persistent fat mass.

Taken together, these data indicate that deletion of myostatin increases muscle mass without significantly affecting adiposity in obese mice, and thus differences in vascular function between myostatin-intact and depleted mice cannot be attributed to simple weight loss.

Metabolic Phenotype

The effects of myostatin deletion on fasting glucose levels are shown in Table 4. Fasting blood glucose was significantly elevated in obese mice, compared to lean mice. Myostatin deletion in both lean and obese mice reduced fasting glucose, suggesting an increased muscle uptake of glucose, whereas fasting insulin level remained elevated in obese mice, indicative of persistent hepatic IR<sup>2</sup> (Table 4). Glucose tolerance was measured by clearance of an intraperitoneal bolus, as shown in Figure 6. Lean mice displayed rapid glucose disposal, and clearance of glucose in lean myostatin−− mice was similar, showing no effect of larger muscle mass on whole-body glucose tolerance. Obese mice showed impaired glucose tolerance (P<0.001), and myostatin deletion in obese mice improved glucose tolerance significantly (P<0.01).

As an index of long-term glycemic control, HbA1c levels are shown in Table 4. Both lean and lean myostatin−− mice showed HbA1c levels ~5%, suggesting euglycemic control. Obese mice displayed higher levels of HbA1c (P<0.001), which is consistent with hyperglycemia and impaired glucose tolerance. Although not completely normalized, HbA1c levels were significantly improved by deletion of myostatin in obese mice (P<0.001). Taken together, these data indicate that deletion of myostatin improves glucose control in obese mice.

Fasting lipid parameters are also shown in Table 4. Myostatin deletion has no effect on nonesterified fatty acids (NEFAs), triglycerides (TGs), and cholesterol levels in lean mice. Obese mice displayed significant increased NEFA and cholesterol levels, compared to lean mice (P<0.001). Deletion of myostatin decreased cholesterol level in obese mice (P<0.01), but had no significant effect on NEFAs or TGs.

To determine the effects of obesity and myostatin on food and water intake, animals were maintained in metabolic cages.

Figure 4. Forelimb grip strength of all groups of mice (n=5 to 10). *P<0.05; **P<0.01, lean myostatin−− versus lean or db/db myostatin−− versus db/db; #P<0.05; ###P<0.001, db/db versus lean or db/db myostatin−− versus lean myostatin−−. Data are shown as mean±SEM. db/db myostatin−− indicates mice lacking both myostatin and leptin receptor; db/db, obese leptin receptor-deficient mice heterozygous for myostatin; lean myostatin−−, myostatin-null mice heterozygous for leptin receptors; lean, lean dual heterozygotes.
for 72 hours. Deletion of myostatin had no effect on either food or water consumption, but obesity markedly increased both, consistent with the dysfunctional leptin receptor in db/db mice.

Vascular Function

To determine whether deletion of myostatin improves endothelium-dependent vasodilation, we measured ACh (10^{-9} to 10^{-4} mol/L)-induced vasodilation in mesenteric arteries, which is shown in Figure 7A. Mesenteric arteries from obese mice showed impaired vasodilation, whereas deletion of myostatin in obese mice improved this impairment (P<0.01 myostatin^{+/−} vs. myostatin^{−/−}; P<0.001 lean versus db/db). Obese mice displayed decreased maximum dilation to Ach, compared to lean values (33±5% vs. 61±6%, respectively; n≥8; P=0.002; Figure 3D). Deletion of myostatin in obese mice improved ACh-induced maximum dilation, compared to obese mice (54±5% vs. 33±5%, respectively; n≥8; P<0.05), suggesting that deletion of myostatin improves endothelium-dependent vasodilation in obesity. ACh-induced vasodilation in the lean myostatin^{−/−} group was similar to the lean group, suggesting that myostatin has no direct effect on endothelium-dependent vasodilation. In contrast, endothelium-independent vasodilator responses to SNP (Figure 7B) and

Figure 5. Deletion of myostatin reduces fat mass in lean, but not obese, mice. A, Abdominal axial T1-weighted cross-section of MRI scan; adipose tissue is shown in white (n=3). B, Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining for visceral fat (×200); bars represent 50 μm. A and B, From left to right: lean, lean myostatin^{−/−}, db/db, and db/db myostatin^{−/−} (n≥8). C, Visceral fat weight of all the genotypes (n≥8). D, Quantification of representative H&E-stained cryosections, presenting as box-and-whisker plots comprising minimum, median, and maximum value for adipocytes diameter (n≥8). *P<0.05; **P<0.01, lean myostatin^{−/−} versus lean or db/db myostatin^{−/−} versus db/db; ***P<0.001; db/db versus lean or db/db myostatin^{−/−} versus lean myostatin^{−/−}. Data are shown as mean±SEM. db/db myostatin^{−/−} indicates mice lacking both myostatin and leptin receptor; db/db, obese leptin receptor-deficient mice heterozygous for myostatin; lean myostatin^{−/−}, myostatin-null mice heterozygous for leptin receptors; lean, lean dual heterozygotes; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
Table 4. Metabolic Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lean</th>
<th>Lean Myostatin−/−</th>
<th>db/db</th>
<th>db/db Myostatin−/−</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td>158.47±5.80</td>
<td>135.44±4.39*</td>
<td>244.37±29.84###</td>
<td>180.19±18.89###</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, %</td>
<td>4.63±0.06</td>
<td>4.46±0.05</td>
<td>8.32±0.30###</td>
<td>6.77±0.24###</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>58.35±4.91</td>
<td>52.99±6.39</td>
<td>133.05±12.79###</td>
<td>93.31±8.62###</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride, mg/dL</td>
<td>44.34±7.37</td>
<td>30.73±2.80</td>
<td>44.24±6.34</td>
<td>40.95±7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA, mEq/mL</td>
<td>0.33±0.04</td>
<td>0.42±0.05</td>
<td>1.07±0.14###</td>
<td>1.05±0.16###</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin, pg/mL</td>
<td>3101.26±633.09</td>
<td>644.38±136.99*</td>
<td>11 842.66±1031.30###</td>
<td>9528.70±1011.70###</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin, ng/mL</td>
<td>0.17±0.05</td>
<td>0.07±0.04</td>
<td>1.16±0.15#</td>
<td>3.07±0.30#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake, g</td>
<td>2.67±0.35</td>
<td>2.06±0.46</td>
<td>4.02±0.74</td>
<td>4.42±0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water intake, g</td>
<td>3.06±0.30</td>
<td>2.74±0.46</td>
<td>5.06±1.49</td>
<td>4.04±0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean±SEM (n=8). db/db myostatin−/− indicates mice lacking both myostatin and leptin receptor; db/db, obese leptin receptor-deficient mice heterozygous for myostatin; lean myostatin−/−, myostatin-null mice heterozygous for leptin receptors; lean, lean dual heterozygotes.

vasoconstrictor responses to PE (Figure 7C) were similar in lean and obese mice, whether myostatin was deleted or not. To determine whether endothelium-dependent vasodilation improvement by myostatin deletion in obese mice is mainly mediated by NO, L-NAME (10−4 mol/L), an inhibitor of NO synthesis, was preincubated (30 minutes) before ACh-induced (10−4 mol/L) vasodilation measurement. Improved vasodilation caused by deletion of myostatin in obese mice was blunted by L-NAME (Figure 7D), and in the presence of L-NAME, responses to ACh were similar. Because endothelial-dependent dilation is similar among groups in the absence of NO, the difference between groups must reflect differences in NO. Blockade of cyclooxygenase with INN caused no further reduction in vasodilation in any group, and inhibition of EDHF with high concentration of K+ (35 mmol/L) abolished dilation in all groups, indicating that residual L-NAME-resistant dilation was mediated by EDHF. Taken together, these data indicate that NO-mediated vasodilation is specifically impaired by obesity and improved by deletion of myostatin in obese mice.

To exclude the possibility that myostatin has a direct effect on vasculature, we measured ACh-induced vasodilation with the presence of myostatin (20 ng/mL). Pretreatment with myostatin did not affect vasodilation response in all 4 groups of mice (Figure 8A through 8D). It is shown that relative to other tissues, myostatin expression in the vasculature is relatively low. Myostatin (Figure 8E) and activin receptor IIb (AcvRIIb; Figure 8F) mRNA expression in the mesenteric arteries was assessed. Neither the level of AcvRIIb nor myostatin were affected by obesity. Myostatin expression was not detected in vascular tissues of KO mice, consistent with an effective KO. These results suggested that deletion of myostatin improves endothelium-dependent vasodilation through indirect mechanisms.

Mechanisms of Vascular Dysfunction

To determine the role of eNOS in the observed results, eNOS expression was determined by Western blotting. As shown in Figure 9, total eNOS protein expression was elevated in obesity and partially normalized with myostatin deletion. The increased eNOS was likely a compensation for another deficit.
that was normalized by myostatin deletion (ie, superoxide). Phosphorylation state of eNOS was assessed with Abs to serine 1177 of eNOS. There was no effect of either obesity or myostatin deletion on eNOS phosphorylation expression.

To determine whether elevated superoxide production was a mechanism of impaired vasodilation in obese mice, vascular function was assessed in the presence of a superoxide dismutase mimetic, Tempol (10^{-3} mol/L). Tempol improved impaired ACh-induced vasodilation in obese mice (peak responses in Figure 10A) with no significant effect on lean mice. To localize superoxide production, the oxidized base, 8-OHG, a marker of oxidative damage in DNA/RNA, was assessed in mesenteric arteries by confocal microscopy (CFM). High levels of 8-OHG (indicated in green) were only evidenced in mesenteric arteries from obese mice with intact myostatin (Figure 11B). Lean mice or mice lacking myostatin had little to no staining.

NOX1 is considered a major source of oxidant tone in the vasculature and thus its expression was assessed to explore the source of superoxide. Western blotting showed that NOX1 protein level was elevated in obese mice and modestly decreased in the myostatin KO group (Figure 11A). Using CFM, NOX1 was identified in both endothelial and smooth muscle cells in all groups of mice. However, NOX1 was noticeably increased in mesenteric arteries of obese mice and reduced by deletion of myostatin (Figure 11B). The NOX1/4 inhibitor, GKT136901 (1 μmol/L), restored impaired endothelial-dependent vasodilation in obese mice and did not change vessel dilation in obese myostatin^{-/-} mice, indicating that myostatin deletion improved endothelial function by down-regulation of elevated NOX1 in obese mice (Figure 11C). Taken together, these results suggest that elevation of NOX1-mediated ROS production triggers endothelial dysfunction, which is restored by deletion of myostatin.

Superoxide contributes to deterioration of endothelial function by degrading tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4), an NOS cofactor. To assess whether BH4 level was limiting, ACh-induced vasodilation responses were obtained before and

Figure 7. Endothelium-dependent vasodilation was improved by deletion of myostatin in obese mice. A, Obese mice demonstrated a significant drop in acetylcholine-induced dilation, which was improved by deletion of myostatin. B, Endothelium-independent vasodilation response to SNP (B) and vasoconstriction to PE (C) were similar among all 4 groups of mice. D, Deletion of myostatin in obese mice improved nitric oxide–mediated dilation, which is blocked by l-NAME. PGl2- and EDHF-mediated dilation were measured in the presence of l-NAME+indomethacin and l-NAME+indomethacin+high K+. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001, lean myostatin^{-/-} versus lean or db/db myostatin^{-/-} versus db/db; #P<0.05, ##P<0.01, ###P<0.001, db/db versus lean or db/db myostatin^{-/-} versus lean myostatin^{-/-}. A through D, n>6. Symbols represent the results from repeated measures by using NCSS software (NCSS, LLC, Kaysville, UT). The data are given as the mean±SEM. db/db myostatin^{-/-} indicates mice lacking both myostatin and leptin receptor; db/db, obese leptin receptor-deficient mice heterozygous for myostatin; EDHF, endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor; lean myostatin^{-/-}, myostatin-null mice heterozygous for leptin receptors; lean, lean dual heterozygotes; l-NAME, Nω-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester; PE, phenylephrine; PGl2, prostacyclin; SNP, sodium nitroprusside.
after administration of BH4 precursor sepiapterin (10^{-6} \text{ mol/L}), which is converted intracellularly by a salvage pathway to BH4. Administration of sepiapterin enhanced vasodilation in mesenteric arteries of obese mice (Figure 12A), but it did not affect vessel responses of other groups significantly. L-NAME equalized dilation among groups, indicating that improvements observed with sepiapterin are mediated by NO.

Expression of GTP cyclohydrolase I (GCH1), the rate-limiting enzyme in de novo BH4 biosynthesis and dihydrofolate reductase, was assessed by real-time PCR. No significant difference was found among all the genotypes (Figure 12B and 12C).

Small mesenteric artery vascular structure and mechanics were assessed in a Ca^{2+}-free Krebs solution, and results are shown in Figure 13 and Table 5. Maximal vessel wall dilation was assessed by acetylcholine concentration-response curves in mesenteric arteries from lean, lean myostatin^{-/-}, db/db, and db/db myostatin^{-/-} mice in the absence (●) or in the presence (◊) of myostatin (20 ng/mL for 30 minutes). E, Myostatin mRNA expression in mesenteric artery of all 4 genotypes. F, AcvRIIB mRNA expression in mesenteric artery of all 4 genotypes. Data are shown as mean±SEM (A through D: n=3 to 8; E and F: n=6). db/db myostatin^{-/-} indicates mice lacking both myostatin and leptin receptor; db/db, obese leptin receptor-deficient mice heterozygous for myostatin; lean myostatin^{-/-}, myostatin-null mice heterozygous for leptin receptors; lean, lean dual heterozygotes.
thickness and vessel wall/lumen ratio (150 mm Hg of intraluminal pressure) were similar in all genotypes. Inner lumen diameter and CSA of obese mice were significantly increased, compared to lean mice (P<0.01). Myostatin deletion decreased inner lumen diameter and cross-section area of mesenteric arteries in obese mice (P<0.001). Deletion of myostatin had no effect on circumferential wall stress or strain. Taken together, the data suggest that generalized deficits in vasomotor control exist in obese mice. Myostatin deletion has no effect on vascular structure and mechanics. Thus, structural deficits are unlikely mechanisms of impaired vasodilator function in obesity, further arguing an NO-specific defect.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to determine whether increasing muscle mass by deletion of myostatin in obese mice improves vascular function. To test this hypothesis and explore the mechanisms involved, we established a new animal model by genetically deleting the negative regulator of muscle mass (myostatin) in obese mice. The key findings in the current study are: Deletion of myostatin in obese db/db mice (1) increases muscle mass significantly, (2) improves fasting glucose, HbA1c, and glucose tolerance, (3) selectively improves NO-mediated vasodilation in mesenteric arteries, (4) reduces elevated oxidative stress (OS) in the endothelium, which contributes to improvement of vasodilation, and (5) reduces expression of NOX1.

Muscle Atrophy and Vascular Function

Correlative links between muscle mass and vascular homeostasis have previously been described. Impaired endothelium-dependent vasodilation and elevated superoxide is observed secondary to muscle atrophy that occurs with hindlimb unweighting. Duchenne muscular dystrophy, a classic muscle-wasting disease, is associated with perfusion deficits secondary to endothelial dysfunction. Obese patients or animals also exhibit muscle atrophy and compromised vascular function. Consistent with these reports, our study suggests that genetically obese mice develop significant muscle atrophy and impairment of NO-mediated dilation, compared to lean mice. Through deletion of myostatin, we have successfully generated muscular obese mice (Figure 3) that maintain muscle mass in obesity with commensurate improvements in metabolic and vascular function.

Metabolic Effects of Myostatin Deletion in Obese Mice

Obese db/db mice on the C57/Bl6 background displayed reduced muscle mass, compared to lean controls. Deletion of myostatin increased muscle mass in both lean and obese mice, but did not fully restore muscle mass to control levels in obese mice. The loss of muscle mass in obese mice correlated
with impairment of glycemic control and was reversed by deletion of myostatin, suggesting a significant role of skeletal muscle in metabolic control.

Increased muscle mass in the myostatin KOs trended to increase body weight in both lean and obese mice, but these changes were not statistically significant. There were no

**Figure 10.** Elevated oxidant load in the endothelium of obese db/db mice is reduced with increases in muscle mass. A, Superoxide scavenging by Tempol produced an improvement of acetylcholine-induced dilation in obese mice. *P<0.05, in the absence of Tempol (−) versus in the presence of Tempol (+). B, Oxidized DNA marker 8-OHG is elevated in the endothelium of obese db/db mice and reduced by deletion of myostatin. The data are given as the mean±SEM (A and B: n=6 to 8). 8-OHG indicates 8-hydroxyguanosine; DAPI, 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; db/db myostatin−/−, mice lacking both myostatin and leptin receptor; db/db, obese leptin receptor-deficient mice heterozygous for myostatin; lean myostatin−/−, myostatin-null mice heterozygous for leptin receptors; lean, lean dual heterozygotes.
significant effects on visceral fat levels or adipocyte diameters in obese mice. In agreement with our findings, a previous study showed that inhibition of myostatin using soluble AcvRIIB treatment did not cause fat loss in mice with diet-induced obesity. In the present study, we compared metabolic function in both obese and obese myostatin KO groups, which had similar body weights. Accordingly, improvement of metabolic function must therefore be the
result of myostatin deletion and not the indirect effects of weight loss.

Skeletal muscle is the predominant site of insulin-mediated glucose uptake after meals. Loss of muscle mass known as muscle atrophy occurs in catabolic disease, such as in diabetes mellitus patients. Consistent with previous studies, diabetic mice demonstrated loss of muscle mass, impaired glucose tolerance, higher fasting glucose, and HbA1c levels. Conversely, increased muscle mass in myostatin KO mice resulted in improved glycemic control in obese mice. Collectively, these data suggest that long-term glycemic control was improved by deletion of myostatin in obese mice. However, plasma insulin levels remained unchanged, suggesting continued impairment of insulin sensitivity, most likely in liver or adipose tissue.

**Effects of Myostatin Deletion on Vasodilation**

The endothelium is a potent regulator of vascular tone, and abnormal endothelial function underlies CVD in obesity. Impaired vasodilation to the endothelium-dependent vasodilator, ACh, has been well documented in obese rodents. PG12, NO, and EDHF are the 3 major mediators of endothelium-dependent vasodilation. However, the respective role of each factor in mediating the impairment of endothelium-dependent vasodilation in obese mice is not fully understood. Previous studies have shown that physical activity can improve CV function, but whether the exercise itself or the effects physical activity to increase in muscle mass improves vascular function is yet to be determined. In the current study, we show that obese mice exhibit significant...
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**Mechanisms of Vasodilator Improvement With Myostatin Deletion**

Although the effect of obesity and IR on NO-mediated dilation is compelling, the mechanisms are not clear. NO-dependent endothelial function is, in part, the balance of NO produced by eNOS and NO scavenged by ROS. Excess ROS is a leading cause of reduced NO and endothelial dysfunction in obese mice. In our study, incubation of superoxide scavenger improved vasodilation in obese mice and did not change vasodilation in obese myostatin KO mice, suggesting that deletion of myostatin improved NO-mediated dilation by decreasing superoxide levels. To determine the location of superoxide production, mesenteric arteries were double stained for 8-OHG (a DNA damage marker) and a-actin. We found that OS is elevated in vasculature of obese mice, whereas deletion of myostatin decreased OS. NOX is known to activate oxygen to form highly reactive oxygen radicals through generation of superoxide radical. Consistent with superoxide production results, Western blot results showed that NOX1 expression is elevated in obese mice, whereas deletion of myostatin modestly reduced NOX1 expression. Specifically, CFM demonstrated that NOX1 expression was elevated in the vasculature of obese mice and was down-regulated with increase of muscle mass. Furthermore,

**Table 5. Vasculature Mechanics of All Groups of Mice Were Assessed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vascular Mechanics at 150 mm Hg</th>
<th>Lean</th>
<th>Lean Myostatin^−/−</th>
<th>db/db</th>
<th>db/db Myostatin^−/−</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner lumen diameter, mm</td>
<td>214.28±7.26</td>
<td>206.97±5.53</td>
<td>259.37±9.10***</td>
<td>229.20±9.51****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall thickness, mm</td>
<td>7.22±0.67</td>
<td>7.98±0.99</td>
<td>9.13±0.99</td>
<td>8.74±1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall: lumen ratio</td>
<td>0.07±0.01</td>
<td>0.08±0.01</td>
<td>0.07±0.01</td>
<td>0.08±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-section area, μm²</td>
<td>5016.20±514.84</td>
<td>5477.93±761.84</td>
<td>7786.36±894.38**</td>
<td>6528.36±1275.30***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as the mean±SEM, n=8. db/db myostatin^−/− indicates mice lacking both myostatin and leptin receptor; db/db, obese leptin receptor-deficient mice heterozygous for myostatin; lean myostatin^−/−, myostatin-null mice heterozygous for leptin receptors; lean, lean dual heterozygotes. ***P<0.001, lean versus lean myostatin^−/− or db/db versus db/db myostatin^−/−; **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, lean versus db/db or lean myostatin^−/− versus db/db myostatin^−/−.
blockage of NOX1/NOX4 with GKT136901 normalized obesity-induced endothelial-dependent vasodilation. Whereas GKT136901 inhibits both NOX1 and NOX4, NOX4 is thought to be primarily a source of hydrogen peroxide, which, in microvessels, is a vasodilator.56 Thus, these results suggest that the improvement of NO-mediated vasodilation by myostatin deletion may be attributed to a reduction in NOX1-mediated oxidant tone.

eNOS requires BH4 as a cofactor to produce NO and, in its absence, produces superoxide (O2•−) rather than NO, a condition referred to as eNOS uncoupling.56,57 BH4 infusion increases NO production, decreases O2•− production, and therefore restores impaired NO-dependent vasodilation.58–62 We found that the BH4 precursor, sepiapterin, improved impaired vasodilation in blood vessels from obese mice. The effects of sepiapterin were less pronounced in vessels from obese mice with myostatin deletion, suggesting that deletion of myostatin improves endothelial dysfunction in obese mice by increased BH4.

Taken together, the results of these studies suggest that loss of muscle mass, as occurs in sedentary obesity, is a significant contributor to CV risk. Deletion of myostatin reverses this loss of muscle mass and provides protection to endothelial health. This protection is likely mediated by improved glycemic control and/or improved balance of NOX1, BH4, and oxidant tone. We conclude from these studies that novel therapies targeting muscle growth or exercise regimes focused on maintaining or improving overall muscle mass are critical to restoring CV health in the sedentary, obese population.
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