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Myocardial ischemia is commonly associated with coronary artery disease as well as many congenital and acquired heart diseases without obstructed coronary arteries. With brief ischemia ventricular function is impaired but myocytes recover, whereas prolonged ischemia causes necrosis and associated fibrosis. Necrosis may be confluent, as in complete obstruction of a branch coronary artery, or patchy, as with intermittent decreases of regional blood flow, for example in aortic stenosis. These ischemic changes are predominantly subendocardial in either ventricle.\(^1\),\(^2\) Once assessed by an appropriately designed study, knowledge of whether these ischemic changes are likely might be useful in determining the timing of surgery, for example, deciding when to replace an aortic valve. It seems reasonable to repair the lesion before permanent myocardial damage has occurred.

In 1972, Buckberg et al\(^3\) showed that an index based on left ventricular and aortic pressures could predict subendocardial ischemia. They argued that the area between the diastolic aortic and left ventricular pressures (DPTI) represented the oxygen supply to the myocardium, and the area under the systolic left ventricular pressure curve (SPTI) represented the oxygen demand by the myocardium (Figure 1). (Strictly speaking, the myocardium does not "demand" oxygen but "needs" it. The term "supply:demand" ratio is, however, firmly established and it would be confusing to change it now.)

Using models of heart disease in anaesthetized dogs, they found that after a critical value of the ratio was reached the ratio of these 2 areas correlated well with decreased subendocardial blood flow relative to subepicardial blood flow as measured by radioactive microspheres (Figure 2). This flow ratio is often termed the endo:epi or the inner:outer flow ratio. (The subendocardial and subepicardial layers were each about one-third of the free wall thickness.)

The rationale for the relationship shown in Figure 2 is that as perfusion pressure is decreased, autoregulation ceases first in the subendocardial region\(^4\),\(^5\) (Figure 3). Once autoregulation fails, myocardial blood flow is pressure dependent; a decreased perfusing pressure cannot be compensated for by vasodilatation, with resulting ischemia, or an increased myocardial oxygen need at a given perfusing pressure cannot increase flow, again resulting in ischemia.

Because subepicardial flow is protected until perfusion pressures fall to very low levels, using subepicardial flow as a reference level to show how much flow the muscle requires avoids the need to measure absolute flows. Normally, flow per gram per minute is about 1 to 1.2 times higher in the subendocardium than in the subepicardium.\(^5\),\(^6\)

Although these studies did not provide direct evidence of ischemia, the association of subendocardial hypoperfusion with biochemical changes of ischemia\(^7\) and decreased regional function\(^4\),\(^8\)–\(^10\) is well established.

Using the supply:demand index to predict subendocardial hypoperfusion (and ischemia) can be considered proof of concept, but there are many barriers to its application in humans. The remainder of this review discusses how to overcome these. The first part considers how the ratio as measured at cardiac catheterization can be modified for greater accuracy. The second part considers whether there are noninvasive techniques that would be useful for serial measurements of the myocardial oxygen supply:demand ratio.

Cardiac Catheterization

Diastolic Pressure-Time Index

The basis for using DPTI as an index of oxygen supply is the resistance formula:

\[
\text{Flow} = \frac{\text{Pressure drop across the vascular bed}}{\text{Resistance}}
\]

If the coronary vessels are maximally dilated, then for diastolic flow the formula becomes
Maximal diastolic flow per beat

\[ \text{Maximal diastolic flow per beat} = \frac{\text{Mean diastolic pressure difference} (P_{Ao} - P_{LVd})}{\text{Minimal diastolic resistance}} \]

where \( P_{Ao} \) and \( P_{LVd} \) are aortic and left ventricular diastolic pressures respectively. There is some evidence that the true pressure drop is from aorta to a waterfall pressure of about 11 mm Hg.\(^{11} \) Given the other errors of measurement, it is doubtful if this correction is warranted.

(All flow from the epicardial coronary arteries into the subendocardium occurs in diastole.\(^{12} \) The systolic flow seen at the origin of the left coronary artery [Figure 1] distends and is stored within the extramural artery, although some flow may nourish the outermost subepicardial myocytes.)

Because diastole is only part of the cycle we must multiply the mean pressure difference by the duration of diastole to determine the area between the left atrial and left ventricular pressure curves.

The equation above yields flow, and what we want is oxygen supply. To derive this, multiply the area (representing flow) by the arterial oxygen content. (Arterial oxygen content [mL.100 mL blood\(^{-1}\)] = oxygen carrying capacity [mL.g\(^{-1}\) Hb.100 mL\(^{-1}\)] × hemoglobin oxygen saturation [%] = 1.36 × Hb concentration [g.100 mL\(^{-1}\)] × 0.99, as long as arterial \( P_O_2 \) is >80 torr. Thus for a hemoglobin concentration of 15 g.100 mL\(^{-1}\) and normal oxygen saturation, the oxygen content is 1.36 × 15 = 20.4 mL.100 mL\(^{-1}\) blood, ignoring the tiny amount of dissolved oxygen.) The importance of oxygen capacity was shown by Brazier et al\(^{13} \) who varied the hemoglobin concentration with or without a supravalvar aortic stenosis, and showed that oxygen content had to be incorporated in the index in order to obtain a consistent relationship (Figure 4).

Although similar experiments have not been done with hypoxemia, a similar correction should apply.
This correction has changed a measure of flow supply into a measure of oxygen supply, but this is still an index and not a true oxygen supply, because it does not consider the vascular resistance. In normal dogs and humans, the minimal resistance of normal coronary vessels probably lies within narrow limits. In many chronic cardiac diseases, the small intramural arteries are thickened and have narrowed lumens. Without measuring resistance there is no way to know how much the minimal resistance is raised; increases up to 40% have been described. The best we can do is to set an upper limit for the oxygen supply by assuming that the resistance is normal.

We can, however, allow for changes in blood viscosity at different hematocrits. As an approximation, a hematocrit of 20% has a viscosity that is half normal, and a hematocrit of 75% has a viscosity about double normal. If hematocrit is abnormal, we must divide DPTI by the relative viscosity to estimate the maximal flow at that hematocrit.

We conclude that left ventricular myocardial oxygen supply can be represented by

\[ \text{Oxygen supply} \propto \frac{\text{DPTI} \times \text{Arterial oxygen content}}{\text{Relative viscosity}}. \]

where the constant of proportionality is related to the minimal coronary vascular resistance.

**Systolic Pressure-Time Index**

Using SPTI to estimate myocardial oxygen usage stems from the time-tension index (TTI) of Sarnoff. This index is not ideal because myocardial oxygen usage is more closely related to wall stress than to pressure and because it does not take muscle mass or contractility into account.

One of the main determinants of myocardial oxygen usage is peak systolic meridional wall stress; the term tension is also used loosely, although some define stress as tension divided by area. Meridional stress is stress in the long axis of the left ventricular wall. Stress calculation is based on the law of LaPlace for thin-walled cylinders:

\[ \text{Stress} = \frac{\text{Pr}}{2h}, \]

where \( P \) = pressure, \( r \) = radius, and \( h \) = wall thickness. Because of geometric assumptions about the left ventricle, various methods of approximating the average stress have been developed. They all use left ventricular pressure, the radius or diameter of the left ventricular cavity short-axis cross-section (and sometimes the long axis dimension), and left ventricular wall thickness (postero-lateral wall, septum, or an average). Because of methodological differences the absolute values may differ by up to 100%, but relative changes are still usable.

Two of the commonly used formulas are:

1. Wall stress = \( \frac{\text{Pr}}{2h^{1.33}} \), where \( h \) is the wall thickness, \( r \) is the internal radius, and \( P \) is peak systolic pressure. The factor of 1.33 converts mm Hg to kdynes.cm\(^{-2}\). The normal values in humans are 123 to 179 kdynes.cm\(^{-2}\). This formula is perhaps the one that is best validated in humans. Because the different components of the
formula may reach their maxima at different times in the cardiac cycle, they are measured repeatedly during the cycle and the maximum stress value is taken.

2. A variant of the above formula to eliminate the iterative procedure is

$$\text{Peak wall stress} = \frac{0.86 \times \frac{P_{\text{peak}}}{h} - 27}{h(1+0.32)}$$

with the correction factors used to convert peak left ventricular systolic pressure (P) to peak systolic stress which occurs earlier than peak pressure. The normal values are 137 (28 sd) kdynes.cm⁻².²⁰

Echocardiography has replaced ventriculography to measure wall thickness and chamber diameter non-invasively throughout the cardiac cycle, and thus derive the stress measurements. It is also possible to measure left ventricular mass by echocardiography (see below).

Relationship Among LV Mass, Peak Systolic Tension and LV Myocardial Oxygen Consumption

In adult humans, the left ventricular mass index averages 55 to 95 g.m⁻².¹⁷,¹⁹,²¹ There is much normal variation related to gender, physical fitness, and age. An abnormal increase in LV mass implies hypertrophy that may cause a 2- to 3-fold increase in LV mass.²²

Resting myocardial oxygen consumption is normally 8 to 13 mL.100 g⁻¹.min⁻¹.¹⁷,²³ At rest, it is linearly related to LV mass (Figure 5).

Resting LV myocardial oxygen consumption (mL.min⁻¹) is also linearly related to peak meridional wall stress (kdynes. cm⁻²).¹⁷ Doubling wall tension approximately doubles LV oxygen consumption.

Hypertrophy and Myocardial Blood Flow

If hypertrophy is acquired after infancy intramyocardial vessels must at the very least lengthen to maintain flow across the thickened wall. There may also be other changes. In one recent study in mice,²⁴ left ventricular pressure overload increased the number of microvessels early, but subsequently their number decreased. These microvessels were mainly capillaries that play little role in coronary vascular resistance, and there is no or little growth of the resistance vessels.

Therefore with maximal vasodilatation a given perfusion pressure produces about the same total myocardial blood flow in a hypertrophied as in a normal left ventricle. As a result, the maximal flow per unit mass of myocardium decreases.²⁵–²⁸ During autoregulation, though, resting flow is increased by hypertrophy to supply the increased oxygen needs of the myocardium. This produces a decrease in coronary flow reserve²⁹ so that less flow is available to meet increased usage with exercise⁹,¹⁰,³⁰,³¹ or tachycardia.³²

If hypertrophy occurs in utero or at birth, however, there may be an increased growth of intramyocardial resistance vessels so that maximal flow per unit mass is normal. This has been well demonstrated in the right ventricle³³–³⁶ but has not been shown for the left ventricle³⁷ except for thyroxine-induced left ventricular hypertrophy in the rat.³⁸ Therefore, it is not clear if we need to make an allowance for an increased vascular bed in children with severe aortic stenosis in infancy.

Ventricular hypertrophy is an adaptive response to pressure or volume overload that tends to keep wall stress normal, although if the overload persists or increases maladaptation leads to pathological changes. Most patients with concentric hypertrophy due, for example, to aortic stenosis, have an abnormally high systolic ventricular pressure that would increase wall stress except for the fact that compensatory changes in wall thickness and ventricular radius usually prevent this increase in wall stress. With eccentric hypertrophy, as in aortic or mitral regurgitation, the increased ventricular radius would raise wall stress except that its effect is counterbalanced by a modest increase in wall thickness. (Athletes may also have substantial LV hypertrophy, but for unknown reasons have normal ventricular function and no long-term pathological consequences.) For these reasons, many patients with these lesions have been found to have normal peak systolic meridional wall stress¹⁷,¹⁹,³⁹–⁴¹ so that we do not need to allow for changes in stress-derived myocardial oxygen consumption. We should, however, allow for the increased muscle mass, and this can be done simply by multiplying SPTI by the relative LV mass as
determined by echocardiography, CT, or MRI. On the other hand, there are patients who deteriorate and dilate their ventricles, or who have inappropriate hypertrophy, and in these wall stress may be increased, sometimes markedly. It would therefore be safer to estimate wall stress rather than to assume that it is normal.

Some patients decompensate acutely with increasing LV dilatation and decreasing ejection fraction, particularly with added stress from infection or noncardiac illness. The radius \( r \) increases and the wall thickness \( h \) decreases, thereby increasing wall stress, sometimes markedly. It is possible to calculate the increase in wall stress and use this as a multiplier for oxygen consumption.

**Determining LV Mass**

LV mass can be determined by imaging methods such as echocardiography, angiography, computerized tomography, or magnetic resonance imaging. For accuracy, some type of geometric correction for shape, for example, the trapezoidal (Simpson’s) method can be used, but is tedious. A simpler method is based on echocardiographic measurements of LV internal diameter (LVID), and the thickness of the interventricular septum (IVST) and posterior free wall (PWT), measured just below the tips of the mitral valves at end-diastole. Using the Penn convention of excluding endocardial thickness from the wall thickness measurements and including it in the measurement of cavity diameter, a close linear relation was found between anatomic mass and that calculated from a simple cube formula:

\[
\text{Anatomic LV mass} = 1.04 \left( \frac{(LVID_p + PWT_p + IVST_p)^3}{LVID_p^3} \right) - 13.6 \text{g}
\]

(see Figure 6).

**Effect of Heart Rate**

Tachycardia affects the areas that make up the myocardial oxygen supply:demand ratio by shortening the relative duration of diastole, and is taken into account when measuring the supply:demand ratio, which is the same whether calculated per beat or per minute. Extreme tachycardia can produce ischemia in normal hearts, but is more likely to do so when there is left ventricular hypertrophy or even aortic stenosis without hypertrophy. Tachycardia, however, also increases contractility so that it exaggerates the effect of a low supply:demand ratio. Canty et al. found that doubling the heart rate in conscious dogs increased the threshold at which subendocardial autoregulation failed from 40 to 60 mm Hg (see Figure 3).

**Increased Contractility**

Increased contractility due to isoproterenol infusion was studied by Buckberg and Ross. In anesthetized dogs they observed that the critical DPTI:SPTI ratio at which relative subendocardial perfusion occurred was raised from 0.8 to 1–1.1, suggesting that myocardial oxygen consumption was increased by about 25% over and above any mechanical changes to the supply:demand ratio areas. A similar increase in myocardial oxygen consumption relative to TTI was observed in humans. Graham et al. found that when peak developed tension was controlled in the anesthetized dog ventricle, a 42% increase in Vmax produced by infusing norepinephrine produced the same increase in myocardial oxygen consumption as an 83% increase in peak developed tension, and derived the formula:

\[
\text{MVO}_2 \left( \text{mL} \cdot \text{beat}^{-1} \cdot 100 \text{ g}^{-1} \right) = k + 0.25 \text{ peak developed tension (g cm}^{-2} \right) + 1.43 \text{ Vmax (cm sec}^{-1} \right)\]

In practice, correcting for contractility is seldom needed except during maximal inotropic stimulation in the intensive care unit. The best correction method remains to be found.

**Heart Disease**

There is little information about the value of the supply: demand ratio in humans with heart disease. In 1975 Buckberg et al. compared 4 patients who had aortic stenosis but normal lactate metabolism before and after isoproterenol administration with 4 other aortic stenosis patients who had reduced lactate extraction or lactate production after
isoproterenol was given. The first group had a DPTI:SPTI ratio of 0.55±0.06 at rest and 0.41±0.06 after isoproterenol, whereas the second group had a ratio of 0.34±0.05 at rest and 0.16±0.04 after isoproterenol. Left ventricular mass and hematocrit were not mentioned.

Since that time several studies of patients with aortic valve disease but normal coronary arteries have been reported in which an attempt was made to relate the DPTI/SPTI ratio (corrected or uncorrected) to symptoms or signs of ischemia. They are summarized in Table.

A group of Newfoundland dogs with congenital subaortic stenosis had a left ventricular mass 1.67 times that of the control dogs. If the true critical value of the DPTI/SPTI ratio is 0.45 without hypertrophy, then 0.45×1.67=0.75, close to the critical value of 0.8 below which subendocardial hypoperfusion occurred in these dogs.56

Although none of these studies included left ventricular mass in the formulas, it seems that an uncorrected DPTI/SPTI ratio <0.45 to 0.5 (equivalent to a ratio corrected for arterial oxygen content of 9 to 10) should raise suspicion about subendocardial ischemia. Furthermore, even if absolute numbers are not entirely reliable, a change towards a lower ratio suggests the need for further investigations or intervention.

### Indirect Measurement of Supply:Demand Ratio

During cardiac catheterization the 2 areas can be determined easily. It would be preferable, however, to have less invasive methods so that serial measurements could be made. A relatively simple but still invasive method is to obtain a brachial intra-arterial pressure. This provides systolic and diastolic time intervals as well as pressures throughout the cycle. In the intensive care unit this could be supplemented by estimating left ventricular diastolic pressure from the pulmonary arterial wedge pressure. Nevertheless, the true measurements of DPTI and SPTI as defined by cardiac catheterization will not be obtained (Figure 7).

Relative prolongation of systole occurs in many patients with heart disease.45,57 The prolongation of systole is associated with a decrease in contractility and prolonged time for left ventricular pressure to fall at the end of systole, both of which tend to increase SPTI and decrease DPTI.

There is also an error in estimating DPTI if we assume a left ventricular diastolic pressure of 10 mm Hg. Many patients with heart disease have mean left ventricular diastolic pressures >10 mm Hg. Chemla et al58 noted in 11 patients with miscellaneous heart disease that a change from 10 to 20 mm Hg in left ventricular end diastolic pressure decreased the supply:demand ratio by about 20%. SPTI is overestimated by the peripheral augmentation effect that causes peak brachial systolic blood pressure to exceed peak central aortic blood pressure by <21 mm Hg or even more.59,60 This problem can be overcome by using one of the recently developed oscillometric or tonometric methods together with a routine sphygmomanometer blood pressure measurement. Applying certain algorithms allows the central blood pressure curve to be determined with minimal

### Table. Ischemia and DPTI/SPTI Ratio in Humans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigator</th>
<th>Lesion</th>
<th>Number Patients</th>
<th>No Ischemia</th>
<th>Ischemia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Test Index</td>
<td>Test Index</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckberg et al3</td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>Lactate production 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.41(iso)</td>
<td>0.16(iso)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bertrand et al22</td>
<td>VAS/AR</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.6 to 0.9</td>
<td>Angina: rest exercise 0.45 to 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 to 0.8</td>
<td>0.22 to 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis et al48</td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(&gt;-8c)</td>
<td>Abnormal T waves (&lt;10c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(&gt;10c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent et al</td>
<td>VAS/SVAS</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>Normal T waves</td>
<td>Abnormal T waves (&lt;7c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krovetz and Kurlinski</td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>No symptoms</td>
<td>Symptoms: mild or severe &lt;0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.43 to 1.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smucker et al</td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>Lactate production 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(iso)</td>
<td>Before operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swanton et al</td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.62 (sd 0.24)</td>
<td>Angina 0.49 (sd 0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LV mass 362 g with angina, 291 g without</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badano et al13</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>&gt;0.51</td>
<td>Angina (#6) &lt;0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard et al55</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal ST segment</td>
<td>&gt;0.45 (or &gt;9c)</td>
<td>Ischemic ST segment &lt;0.45 or &lt;9c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AR indicates aortic regurgitation; c, corrected for arterial oxygen content; DPTI, diastolic pressure-time index; iso, after isoproteren infusion; SPTI, systolic pressure-time index; SVAS, supravalvar aortic stenosis; VAS, valvar aortic stenosis.
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Application to Normal Humans

A test of the ratio in humans was provided by having healthy firemen perform strenuous exercise on a treadmill either after a warm-up period or on another occasion without a warm-up period. A brachial artery needle was used to obtain pressures. When exercise was preceded by a warm-up period the electrocardiogram remained normal except for appropriate tachycardia, but when strenuous exercise started abruptly the electrocardiogram in the first 30 seconds showed prominent ischemic ST depression in the left chest leads. Examples of the brachial arterial pressures are shown in Figure 8.

When the investigators examined the results, they observed that all subjects with a DPTI:SPTI ratio <0.45 had ischemic changes on the electrocardiogram, whereas the electrocardiogram was normal whenever DPTI:SPTI exceeded 0.45. This is below the critical value of 0.8 found in the dog experiments, and is probably explained by the fact that in the dog subendocardial autoregulation fails at a mean coronary perfusion pressure of 70 mm Hg when anaesthetized but at 38 mm Hg when conscious. (This difference implies that at times anesthesia for noncardiac purposes may cause subendocardial ischemia if the resting supply:demand ratio is just above the critical value.)

There is another possible reason why in normal humans the critical DPTI:SPTI ratio was 0.45 but in normal dogs and in aortic stenosis in both dogs and humans was about 0.8. In the initial dog experiments, acutely increasing the severity of, for example, supravalvar aortic stenosis, would probably have caused the heart to handle the increased load by means of the Frank-Starling mechanism, that is, by dilating the left ventricle. This would have thinned the wall and substantially increased wall stress, so that oxygen demand was increased over and above any effect that the procedure had on SPTI. In dogs and humans with aortic stenosis, however, wall tension usually remains normal but left ventricular mass increases, also elevating myocardial oxygen demand more than the increase in SPTI. If the product of wall stress and left ventricular mass was approximately constant in the two different sets of studies, that might explain why the critical DPTI:SPTI ratio was similar in normal and pathological hearts, even though left ventricular mass was not allowed for in any of the human studies.

Right Ventricle

Can we apply a SPTI/DPTI index to the right ventricle? In principle the involved factors should be similar in both ventricles, but differences in ventricular geometry and pressures modify the index. Unlike the left ventricle that receives blood from the left coronary artery almost exclusively in diastole, the normal right coronary artery has almost as much flow in systole as in diastole. As this means that some right ventricular myocardial perfusion occurs during systole, it seems appropriate to regard the supply area as the difference between the aortic and right ventricular pressures throughout the cardiac cycle, as proposed by Cross. Cross termed this the right coronary driving pressure, and others have termed it the pressure index (PI). As for the left ventricle, correction for arterial oxygen content is preferred. Because of this physiology, any increase in right ventricular systolic pressure not only increases myocardial oxygen usage but also decreases the driving (supply) pressure.

Determining the oxygen needs of the right ventricle is even more difficult than for the left ventricle. Not only are there substantial geometric differences, but there may be differ-
ences between the right ventricular free wall and the septum. Because it is difficult to measure all the right ventricular venous drainage, our knowledge of right ventricular myocardial oxygen consumption is limited. Flow min \(^{-1}\) g \(^{-1}\) is about 60% to 70% of that in the left ventricle. \(^{33,66,67}\) Oxygen extraction is similar in both ventricles,\(^{68}\) so that right ventricular myocardial oxygen consumption min \(^{-1}\) g \(^{-1}\) is about 60% to 70% of that in the left ventricle. The basic mechanisms affecting oxygen usage are probably similar in both ventricles, with pressure work having a greater effect than volume work per se, but there are no detailed studies of the relationship between right ventricular wall stress and right ventricular oxygen usage.

Fixler et al\(^{65,69}\) examined the relationships among right ventricular driving pressure (PI), area under the right ventricular pressure curve in systole (TII), regional and total right ventricular myocardial blood flow, and reactive hyperemia. They found that the normal PI/TII ratio was about 10, decreased to 5.6 with mild/moderate right ventricular pressure overload, and with severe pressure overload decreased to 2.4 at which stage reactive hyperemia disappeared. There was no change in the endo:epi ratio of the right ventricle so that ischemia was global. In a later study,\(^{70}\) when the pulmonary artery was constricted until severe congestive heart failure occurred, the endo:epi ratio decreased from 0.94 in control state to 0.75, suggesting preferential subendocardial ischemia. A similar experiment in awake dogs\(^{71}\) found that the control endo:epi ratio of 1.36 decreased to 0.77 during congestive heart failure secondary to acute pressure overload, confirming predominant subendocardial hypoperfusion. In these studies of congestive heart failure secondary to acute marked pulmonary artery narrowing, increasing right ventricular blood flow via a cannula in the right coronary artery,\(^{72}\) partly occluding the descending aorta to raise aortic pressure,\(^{71}\) or raising aortic pressure with neosynephrine,\(^{70}\) reversed the congestive heart failure and restored right ventricular function without removing the pulmonary artery obstruction. Conversely, experimental right ventricular systolic hypertension secondary to pulmonic stenosis in which right ventricular function is well maintained may develop into congestive heart failure if the driving pressure is decreased further by opening systemic to

**Figure 8.** Effect of sudden strenuous exercise (cold run) and similar exercise after a warm-up period (warm-up run). In the cold run SPTI was increased by a higher peak pressure and a longer duration of systole, and DPTI was reduced by the shortened diastole. A typical ischemic pattern ECG is shown for the cold run. Adapted from Barnard et al.\(^{55}\) Note the prolonged systole shown during the cold run. DPTI indicates diastolic pressure-time index; SPTI, systolic pressure-time index.
pulmonary artery shunt.\(^7\)\(^3\) The clinical conterpart to this is the patient with a tetralogy of Fallot who has too large a Blalock-Taussig shunt created.

When there is right ventricular hypertrophy, if it had occurred in late childhood or adult life, total right ventricular myocardial blood flow is increased but coronary flow reserve is decreased. The flow pattern in the right coronary artery resembles that in the left coronary artery,\(^6\)\(^2\) and it is likely but unproven that the DPTI/SPTI index as used for the left ventricle will predict right ventricular subendocardial ischemia.

### Conclusions

Even with its imperfections, the existing DPTI/SPTI ratio allows the likelihood of subendocardial ischemia in normal hearts if the ratio is <0.45 or, corrected for arterial oxygen content, <9. Subendocardial ischemia probably occurs at higher ratios with large increases in left ventricular mass or dilatation, with critical values of 0.8 (or corrected for oxygen content of about 16) being reasonable. The best current supply:demand index that incorporates all the major variables except for contractility is

\[
\text{DPTI} \times \frac{\text{Arterial oxygen content}}{\text{SPTI} \times \text{Relative LV mass} \times \text{Relative LV wall tension}}.
\]

Because most patients with compensated left ventricular hypertrophy have normal systolic peak meridional wall stress, the only correction usually needed for SPTI would be to multiply it by relative ventricular mass. Even without this correction, however, changes in the ratio can suggest improvement or deterioration of subendocardial perfusion and oxygen supply.
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